

From: Howard Williams

Sent: 31 October 2011 13:02

To: LDF

Subject: Haringey Core Strategy Examination and consultation (Core Document Ref. CSSD-03

To:

LDF Team,

London Borough of Haringey,

River Park House (6th Floor),

Wood Green,

LONDON N22 8HQ.

31 October 2011

Haringey Core Strategy Examination and consultation (Core Document Ref. CSSD-03)

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing in response to the Haringey Core Strategy re-consultation on the Local Development Framework, to object to Haringey Council's plans concerning the proposed Pinkham Way development as a waste disposal site. I live close by the proposed development, in an area already adversely affected by road traffic congestion. My objections are as follows.

- The Council is attempting to clear the way for the proposal by suggesting a change of land-use designation. The Core Strategy contains a brief stab at justifying this: *"A change in designation will ensure this DEA is targeted towards more traditional industrial uses. Complies with pre-application discussions which have already taken place to use part of site for recycling centre and other part as waste station."* This is not a justification for a change in designation. Any justifications for redesignation should be prior to, and independent of, any applications. As it stands, however, it is just a blatant attempt to fix the LDF so that a certain developer can go ahead.
- Your first consultation went nowhere near to conforming with Haringey's own guidelines, in which a site intended for industrial development must be a "well used industrial area" - while the area in question has been wild backland for the past 50 years, used (until recently fenced off) for leisure activities! There is therefore a complete lack of robust or credible evidence to support either the change of land-use or indeed the proposed project itself.
- The supposed Re-consultation has been short and flimsy. I have heard more than one resident say that they specifically requested details of the project and have received none. Residents in and around my road have certainly not been consulted. All this gives leaves the inescapable conclusion that the re-consultation itself is prejudicial. It is certainly at odds with its own Statement of Community Involvement!
- Finally, the proposal is not in line with Government principles on Biodiversity, and it would lead to air quality which would fall below acceptable on even more occasions than is currently the case with any increase of traffic on the local roads and A406. It is clear from the traffic movements outlined in the proposal that both congestion and air pollution will be made a great deal worse.

Yours faithf

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>
