

Hearing 5, Matter 8, Tuesday 5th July 2011

Further statement from the Council regarding Haringey's Proposal Map

As the proposals map is not a stand alone DPD, its purpose is to illustrate what has been adopted in the Core Strategy and subsequent DPDs and to be updated accordingly. Haringey did not provide a single overall Proposals Map as part of their Core Strategy preparation and consultation. Where changes were proposed, individual A4 maps were produced clearly setting out the change proposed. In all, 25 of these individual maps were produced to reflect the changes including, for example, changes to boundaries of AAPs and DEAs and any new or extended conservation areas. **All** of the changes proposed that require mapping are included within these 25 maps. These maps were consulted on at the same time as consultation on the Core Strategy Submission document (May 2010).

The approach that Haringey has taken in producing individual maps outlining changes as opposed to one single new proposed Proposals Map is one also reflected by the approach taken by, among others, Hackney and Enfield in their Core Strategy consultation process. The Schedule of changes and inset maps that were consulted on in May 2010 clearly stated that the series of maps presented form the link between the current UPD Proposals Map and the envisaged Proposals Map to accompany the adopted Core Strategy.

Planning Policy Statement 12 sets out what an updated Proposals Map should do. It states that the map should “show policies for part of the authority’s area, such as the policies for area action plans, which must all be shown on the adopted proposals map. Where inset maps are used, the geographical area they will cover will be identified on the main adopted proposals map. The boundaries of each inset map must be shown precisely on the he adopted proposals map”.

The Council are mindful that, following the EiP into the Core Strategy, the Inspector’s Report and any subsequent amendments to the Core Strategy as a result of the Report, and then adoption of the Core Strategy, that we will, partly in parallel to the report and subsequent amendments, begin a second round of consultation on the DM and Sites DPD, as well as initial work on several AAPs. Much of this work will require agreed boundaries to be reflected on a Proposals Map. The Council is minded to seek to produce a Proposals Map once the Core Strategy has been adopted, with any proposed Site and AAP boundaries indicated on the Map. However, a map produced at this stage in the process will need to carry the necessary health warning that a) Sites and AAPs are the subject of consultation and, where appropriate an EiP, and may change, and that users of the map should refer to the Council/Council’s website for an up-to-date position, and b) that some land designations may be more clearly set out on separate maps, inset maps or consultation document(s). This is particularly relevant to areas such as Wood Green Town Centre where there are several designations, making it

very difficult for one overall Proposals Map to reflect them adequately and clearly, particularly where the boundaries may differ slightly.

Finally, it will be important that the Proposals Map refers to all land designations and development proposals, even if that is caveated by saying that such designations may be updated by DPDs as and when they are adopted.

On the above basis we consider that the preparation of the Proposals Map has and will continue to be undertaken in a transparent and robust manner, with any proposed amendments or future revisions subject to the statutory DPD plan preparation process, as Haringey's Site Allocations document, DM DPD and AAPs emerge, as set out in the Council's Local Development Scheme.