

## London Borough of Haringey Core Strategy

### Statement of Common Ground, final position at 31<sup>st</sup> May 2011

Between  
London Borough of Haringey (LBH)

And  
The Greater London Authority (GLA)

In respect of issue:

In respect of issue (document order)	Representation Ref. No.
SP6 Waste & Recycling	22/1/4.3
SP6 Waste & Recycling	22/2/4.3
SP8 Employment	22/48/5.1
SP8 Employment	22/46/5.1

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared to identify areas of agreement between the Greater London Authority (GLA) and the London Borough of Haringey (LBH) on matters relating to the Council's Core Strategy Submission DPD and the representations submitted by the GLA concerning that document.

#### Background

This Statement of Common Ground relates to the revised statement of general conformity with the London Plan submitted by the GLA on the 20<sup>th</sup> April 2011. It is the Mayor's opinion that the submission Core Strategy is still not in general conformity with the London Plan in respect of the representations listed above. However, the Mayor has stated that the amendments proposed within the Council's schedules of changes, would resolve these issues, and if incorporated into the document before adoption, would result in the Core Strategy being in general conformity with the London Plan.

LBH has continued to engage in full with the GLA while preparing the two schedules of proposed changes which have been put forward by the Council in order to address the Mayor's outstanding concerns. It is important to note that Haringey's 'Submission' Core Strategy is made up of the Proposed Submission Core Strategy (May 2010) and the two schedules of proposed changes (March 2011).

LBH met with the GLA on the 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2010 to discuss the representations relating to SP6 *Waste and Recycling*, see proposed changes below. A meeting took place on the 27<sup>th</sup> January 2011 to discuss the representations relating to SP8 *Employment* as a result of the additional Regulation 27

consultation on employment and housing which was carried out in November/December 2010.

This Statement of Common Ground takes an opportunity to confirm agreement between both parties in respect of these four issues. The Council is committed to making these changes (which form part of the submission Core Strategy), and the Mayor has indicated that the changes are in line with the London Plan, and the draft replacement London Plan, and has confirmed that if incorporated, would lead to the Core Strategy being in general conformity with the London Plan.

Greater London Authority				
Para/Policy	GLA submission (June 2010)	Agreed action by LBH (April 2011)	GLA Response	LBH Review
SP6	The Core Strategy makes no reference to the commitment to increase recycling of municipal, commercial and industrial waste. It is inconsistent with London Plan policy 4A.21.	<b>Amend 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point of SP6 to read:</b>  <i>“Seek to minimise waste creation, increase recycling rates in relation to commercial, industrial and municipal waste in order to achieve the Mayor’s recycling targets, address waste as a resource and look to disposal as a last option, in line with the Mayor’s waste hierarchy”</i>	Agree and support this change.	Agree
<b>Representations received at additional regulation 27 stage – December 2010</b>				
SP6	SP6 only makes reference to the collective apportionment of waste across the participating London boroughs. Haringey’s waste apportionment and recycling targets should be included in SP6 as well as a commitment to facilitate the maximum use of waste sites in line with London Plan policy 4A.24.	<b>Amend last part of sentence in the 6<sup>th</sup> bullet point to read:</b>  <i>“...to meet the London Plan apportionment of 1,907,000 tonnes and the Haringey apportionment of 237,000 tonnes”.</i>	Agree and support this change.	Agree
SP8	Millmead is part of existing SIL and should be incorporated in the SIL designation in SP8.	<b>Amend the list of Strategic Industrial Locations in SP8 to read as follows:</b>  <b><i>Strategic Industrial Locations</i></b> <i>The Council will safeguard the following sites as Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL) as identified in the London Plan:</i>	Agree and support this change.	Agree

Greater London Authority				
Para/Policy	GLA submission (June 2010)	Agreed action by LBH (April 2011)	GLA Response	LBH Review
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <i>Brantwood Road, N17;</i></li> <li>▪ <i>Lindens/Rosebery Works, N17;</i></li> <li>▪ <i>Marsh Lane, N17;</i></li> <li>▪ <i>North East Tottenham, N17; and</i></li> <li>▪ <i>Millmead.</i></li> </ul>		
SP8	<p>Regeneration Areas (RA) are intended to introduce a flexible approach and could include mixed use development, including retail. While the principle of mixed use regeneration of certain areas of local employment land would be supported in principle, the GLA has concerns with how this designation has been defined and with regard to a number of the areas identified on the Core Strategy map. Wiloughby Lane, Hale Wharf and South Tottenham are out of centre locations, as such, significant retail development in these locations would not be appropriate. Further refinement to ensure general conformity with London Plan policy 2A.8. Discussions are needed to determine how the sites can help to secure an integrated town centre at Tottenham Hale, in accordance with London Plan policy 2A.8 and 3D1.3 and</p>	<p><b>Amend the text in SP8 policy box to properly define what a Local Employment Area is. Text should read:</b></p> <p><i>Local Employment Areas (LEAs) are employment sites that offer a more flexible approach to the uses on them. This category has been divided into two sub-categories: Employment Land &amp; Regeneration Areas.</i></p> <p><b>Employment Land</b> is land that is deemed acceptable to use for other employment generating uses that complement the traditional 'B' class uses, such as a small scale "walk-to-retail", cafes and creche/nursery.</p> <p><b>Regeneration Area</b> is the most flexible of the categories as it can include uses appropriate in a mixed use development, such as small scale "walk-to" retail, community and residential uses. However, the approach to mixed uses in some Regeneration Areas must have regard to</p>	Agree and support this change.	Agree

Greater London Authority				
Para/Policy	GLA submission (June 2010)	Agreed action by LBH (April 2011)	GLA Response	LBH Review
	emerging draft Replacement London Plan.	<i>London Plan policy 2A.8 so not to encourage retail development outside of town centres.</i>		