



## Green Flag Award 2019

**Name of Site: Lordship Recreation Ground**  
**Managing Organisation: London Borough of Haringey**

### Desk Assessment Feedback (Management Plan and supporting documentation)

Criteria	Strengths	Recommendations
<b>Presentation</b>	Very well presented with lots of interesting facts. Good use of pictures and maps.	This plan isn't presented in the uniform way of other plans and is quite lengthy, it would be worth monitoring how efficient a lengthier plan is when it is reviewed. Consider if the images and tables content page, make it look more of an academic document rather than for general consumption. There is confusing information regarding TCV in one area you mention that they haven't worked in the area since 2014 and then you later mention how much work they did last year.
<b>Health, Safety &amp; Security</b>	It all looks very good from this plan.	
<b>Maintenance of equipment, buildings</b>	All should be well maintained if this plan is followed.	

<b>&amp; landscape</b>		
<b>Litter, cleanliness, vandalism</b>	A good system appears to be in place.	Try to incorporate spot checks on bin emptying and cleaning.
<b>Environmental Management</b>	A very good plan. The straw bale walls and living roof at the eco hub is a great example of how buildings can be managed in a sustainable way.	Chemical use needs to be reviewed with a view to cutting down more on chemicals.
<b>Biodiversity, Landscape and Heritage</b>	A very good section with several dedicated conservation groups.	A list of flora and fauna seen in this park would be of great interest in this plan.
<b>Community Involvement</b>	Lots of community groups being brought together in a forum. Nice to see consultation with the wildlife group about the positioning and use of the bike track. The model traffic area is a great and historic feature.	
<b>Marketing &amp; Communication</b>	Good use of lots of different channels.	The user survey for 2013 no longer seems relevant so could a new survey could be considered.
<b>Overall management</b>	There should be very good results if this plan is followed. It is nice to see a mission statement for the site.	As it appears to be an asset, I would have expected the Shell theatre to be more explicit in the sites mission statement, as its seen as a key heritage feature with its own objectives. An action plan should be just that, aspirations about what you want to achieve. When you have words like annually, daily it is a maintenance schedule. It should also contain some dates to be effective.

**Additional comments**

There is a lot in this plan which is excellent but sometimes it could be clearer.

## Field Assessment Feedback

Criteria	Strengths	Recommendations
<b>A Welcoming Place</b>	A really welcoming site and when you meet the people involved it becomes more alive and welcoming	
<b>Healthy, Safe and Secure</b>	There was no evidence of dog fouling. Bins looked regularly emptied. The judges felt the site felt safe which was enhanced due to the number of people using it.	There is some work needed on the surface in the play area.. There was some litter under the shrubs
<b>Well Maintained and Clean</b>	All looked well looked after including the model traffic area.	Some of the beds and trees look old and are in need of revamping.
<b>Environmental Management</b>	Changes to grass cutting have been made to encourage meadows.	On new projects keep a species list to monitor progress.
<b>Biodiversity Landscape and Heritage</b>	Very good and opportunities are taken as they arise	

<b>Community Involvement</b>	There was an excellent, well engaged group involved in the site.	Ensure that the forum continues to be resilient
<b>Marketing and Communication</b>	Well covered	
<b>Management</b>	Good application of plan	

**Additional Comments**

Really nice site made or the more special by the friends group and reached the Green Flag Award standard during the Judges visit.

