From: Rita Faherty

Sent: 30 October 2011 16:23

To: LDF

Subject: Re-zoning of land at Pinkham Way

I am writing to object to the re-zoning of the land at Pinkham wat from EL - Employment Land (subject to there being no adverse impact on the nature conservation value of the site) to LSIS - Locally Significant Industrial Site (with no linked protection for the nature conservation value of the site).

The implications of this

- the caveat to protect the nature conservation would be lost. This is a major change to the
 protection this site would have and consequently there is a likelihood of losing it
 altogether. This is one of only 9 sites designated Grade 1 of Borough Importance for
 Nature Conservation in Haringey.
- It will widen the range of uses on the site to include heavy industrial type uses with all their potential noise, pollution and traffic congestion
- With this new designation, the site would become vulnerable to Policy 4.4 of the London Plan Policy 4.4 of the London Plan which directs local authorities in London to identify Locally Significant Industrial Sites which might be suitable for eg waste management. If the site was not re-designated LSIS it would not fall within this policy.

I object to this re-designation because:

- It is not based on robust or credible evidence. No credible evidence was produced by Haringey Council at the Examination in Public earlier this year to support this re-designation, on the contrary, their own evidence strongly recommended protection of all Employment Land. The reconsultation document CSSD-3, contains no new evidence. Hyder Consulting UK Ltd have produced an updated Sustainability Appraisal report but it does not contain any new evidence to support it. On the contrary, it points out the threat to the biodiversity of the site, see page 6. Hyder Addendum SA). The reasons Haringey gave for re-designation were (a) that the change will ensure it is targeted towards more traditional industrial uses (no evidence there is such a need) and (b) that it complies with pre-application discussions which have already taken place to use part of the site for recycling centre and other part as waste station. Planning applications should not drive local plan strategies, the planning system is plan led.
- There is no evidence Haringey considered whether this was the most appropriate strategy against alternatives such as Metropolitan Open Space designation alternative Local green

Space designation or Green grid cross boundary green space connecting Barnet, Haringey and Enfield.

- It is not consistent with national policy: PPS 9 PPS 9 is the overarching framework in which policies should be developed particularly para 9 which states that networks of natural habitats provide a valuable resource. Pinkham Way is a natural habitat and designated as Grade I of Borough Importance.

 Continued
- It does not accord with Regional Policy: See The London Plan Policies 7.14; 7.18; 7.19; and 7.21 Policy 7 (7.14 and 7.18-7.21)
- It is not deliverable: The LSIS designation is only deliverable if the Grade 1 Borough Importance for Nature designation is removed or substantially compromised. The Council's own additional evidence points out, in relation to the Friern Barnet site in particular, that any development on the site has potential to have biodiversity impacts because it is a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (p6 of Hyder Addendum SA). The bigger the development the bigger the impact.

I wish my objections to be taken seriously and ask you to respond to them fully and appropriately

Regards, Rita Faherty

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email