

London Borough of Haringey

Haringey Local Development Framework

Core Strategy Submission

Legal Compliance Self-Assessment

March 2011 (updated Feb 2012)



Haringey Council

Introduction

The Legal Compliance Self Assessment is a method by which the Local Planning Authority delivering Development Plans Documents (DPDs) can ensure that it meets the requirements set out in The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, The Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 (as amended 2008); Planning Policy Statement 12 (PPS 12) Local Spatial Planning; and other relevant guidance and legislation.

This guidance says the Core Strategy must meet the following legal requirements;

- Has it been prepared in accordance with the Local Development Scheme, which sets out the work programme for the LDF?
- Is it in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement, which sets out how the Council will involve the community in the preparation of planning documents and in considering planning applications?
- Has it been subject to a Sustainability Appraisal to examine the social, economic and environmental impacts of the policies?
- Does it have regard to national policy?
- Does it conform generally with regional policy as set out in the London Plan?
- Is it in line with the objectives set out in Haringey's Sustainable Community Strategy?

The matrix below assesses whether Haringey has met all the above requirements during the production of the Core Strategy.

Further guidance can be found in PPS 12: Local Spatial Planning
<http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/planningandbuilding/pdf/pps12lsp.pdf>

and the Plan Making Manual <http://www.pas.gov.uk/pas/core/page.do?pagelid=109798>

Further details of the legal requirements can be found in the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (5), and the Town Country Planning (Local Development) England) (Amendment) Regulations 2008 at www.opsi.gov.uk

Stage one: Inception

Introduction

You should aim to build up the evidence in the tool. This can be done by anticipating the submission requirements and ensuring that the correct procedures are carried out as the development plan document is prepared. The components of the required statements will be progressively put in place as plan preparation proceeds.

Where the 'Possible evidence' column refers to a document that will not be complete until a later stage (for example, the sustainability appraisal report), documents that will contribute to that report are relevant at the earlier stages. This way, the submitted report provides the evidence at submission, with an audit trail back to its source.

In terms of legal compliance, the main issues for the inception stage are in relation to:

- pre-planning for community engagement
- planning the sustainability appraisal (including consultation with the statutory environment consultation bodies)
- ensuring that the plan rests on a credible evidence base, including meeting the Act's requirement for keeping matters affecting the development of the area under review.

Regulation 24 notes that a statement setting out which bodies and people the council invited to make representations under Regulation 25 is one of the proposed submission documents. In this tool, the term 'consultation statement' is used to describe this statement.

Stage 1: Inception

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>1. Is the development plan document identified in the adopted local development scheme and have you recorded the timetable for its production?</p>	<p>The Act section 15(2); section 19(1)</p>	<p>PPS12 paragraphs 4.50; 4.53-4.58</p>	<p>Milestones are set out in PPS12 (box after paragraph 4.55).</p>	<p>i. The adopted local development scheme at the time of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • commencement of the development plan document • the published development plan document • the submitted development plan document <p>ii. The relevant annual monitoring report (if changes need to be explained)</p>	<p>Yes. The Local Development Scheme 2010 identifies the Core Strategy and sets out the relevant timetable and milestones.</p> <p>Yes. The Annual Monitoring Report records and monitors the timetable for production of the Core Strategy as set out in the LDS.</p>
<p>2. Have you considered how community engagement will be programmed into the preparation of</p>	<p>1. The Act section 19(3) 2. Regulation 25</p>	<p>PPS12 paragraphs 4.19-4.29</p>	<p>Unless the statement of community involvement has been amended, you may need to set out any changes to</p>	<p>i. The statement of community involvement ii. The project plan for the development plan document</p>	<p>Yes. Haringey's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) (updated) 2011 sets out how we engage with the local community through the plan making process.</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
the development plan document?			community engagement as a result of changes in legislation.		
3. Have you considered the appropriate bodies you should consult?	Regulation 25	1. PPS12 paragraphs 4.25 -4.26 2. Plan Making Manual – Consultee list	Regulation 2 defines the general and specific consultation bodies	i. The statement of community involvement ii. Reports and decisions setting out the approach to be taken to specific and general consultation bodies iii. Consultation statement	Yes. The SCI lists our consultees in Section 3. In addition to this, we have a database of general consultees and all consultees who have requested to be notified about the preparation of Haringey’s planning policy documents. The Consultation Statement prepared under Regulation 30 sets out how the Council involved the community and statutory bodies in the consultation process.
4. Is baseline information being collected and evidence being gathered to keep the matters which affect the development of the area under review?	The Act, section13	PPS12 paragraphs 4.36 – 4.47		i. Documents dealing with collection of baseline information ii. Relevant technical studies iii. The annual monitoring report	Yes. The Council’s evidence base is listed in Section 1.1 of the Core Strategy. It includes but is not limited to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Sustainability Appraisal; • Haringey Annual Monitoring Report; • Haringey Retail Capacity Study, 2008; • Haringey Employment Study, 2009; • Haringey Employment Land Update, 2012; • Haringey Housing Needs Assessment, 2007; • Haringey Open Space and Sports

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
					<p>Assessment 2004 (updated 2008 as Haringey Open Space and Recreational Standards Supplementary Document);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Climate change and capacity assessment for sustainable energy demand and supply in new buildings in Haringey (2009); • North London Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, 2008; • Census information; • Haringey Borough Profile; • Haringey Biodiversity Action Plan, 2009 • Haringey Community Infrastructure Plan, 2009. <p>An Annual Monitoring Report has been produced each year since 2005. Future AMRs will monitor the indicators sets out in the Core Strategy in Section 3-8, and Significant Effects Indicators as identified in the Sustainability Appraisal.</p>
5. Is baseline information being collected and evidence being gathered to set the framework for the sustainability appraisal?	The Act section19(5)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PPS12 paragraphs 4.50; 4.39-4.43 2. Strategic Environmental Assessment Guide, chapter five 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Sustainability report scoping document ii. Sustainability appraisal report 	Yes. The Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report was produced for the Core Strategy in 2007 and for the Development Management DPD and Site Allocations DPD in 2010, and contains baseline information.
6. Have you consulted the statutory	Regulations 9 and 13 of The Environmental	PPS12 paragraph 4.40 SEA Guide Ch 3	The Strategic Environmental Assessment	Copies of the consultation letters sent to the bodies	Yes. All statutory environmental consultation bodies were consulted for the sustainability appraisal.

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>environment consultation bodies for five weeks on the scope and level of detail of the environmental information to be included in the sustainability appraisal report?</p>	<p>Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633.</p>		<p>consultation bodies are also amongst the 'specific consultation bodies' which are defined in Regulation 2)</p>		

Stage two: Plan preparation - frontloading phase

Introduction

The council is required to invite specific and general consultation bodies to make representations about the content of the development plan document. The New Regulation 25 section in the Plan Making Manual observes that the requirements of the regulations may be fulfilled by other activities of the council and its partners. You should review all the legal requirements set out in this, as well as the following phase, to satisfy yourself that they are properly addressing all the matters they should.

Information assembled during this phase contributes to:

- showing that the procedures have been complied with
- developing alternatives and options and appraising them through sustainability appraisal and against evidence.

The council should record actions taken during this phase as they will be needed to show that the plan meets the legal requirements. They will also show that a realistic and reasonable approach has been taken to plan preparation.

You can refer to the following sections of the Plan Making Manual:

- Preparation of development plan documents
- Core strategy: managing its development
- Sustainability Appraisals: challenge questions
- Developing the evidence base

Stage 2: Plan preparation - frontloading phase

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>1. Have you notified the specific consultation bodies that have an interest in the subject of the development plan document and invited them to make representations about its contents?</p>	<p>Regulation 25(1) and (2)(a)</p>	<p>PPS12 paragraphs 4.24 – 4.29</p>	<p>Specific consultation bodies are defined in Regulation 2 If any bodies are omitted, you should give a clear justification for doing so, including reference to the SCI.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Consultation statement ii. Copies of documents consulting these bodies iii. Record of discussions iv. Copies of representations made v. A brief statement setting out the reasons why any bodies have been omitted from consultation 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Consultation database consists of a list of all consultees including specific consultation bodies.</p> <p>The Consultation Statement (prepared under Regulation 30) sets out how all consultees were notified about the consultation through letters, public notices, articles and newsletters. Copies of these are available to view.</p> <p>Responses and feedback from consultation are summarised in the Consultation Statement.</p> <p>Full copies of notes, reports and feedback from meetings and workshops are available to view from the Planning Policy team.</p> <p>Copies of all representations made in response throughout the process are available to view.</p>
<p>2. Have you notified the general consultation bodies that you consider have an interest in the subject of the development plan document</p>	<p>Regulation 25(1) and (2)(b)</p>	<p>PPS12 paragraphs 4.24 – 4.29</p>	<p>General consultation bodies are defined in Regulation 2. You should be able to give a clear justification for your selection of the bodies,</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Consultation statement ii. Copies of documents consulting these bodies iii. Record of discussions iv. Copies of representations 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Consultation database consists of a list of all consultees including general consultation bodies.</p> <p>The Consultation Statement sets out how all consultees were notified about the consultation through letters, public notices, articles and newsletters. Copies of these are available to view in electronic and paper format.</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
and invited them to make representations about its contents?			including reference to the statement of community involvement.	v. A brief statement setting out the reasons why any bodies were or were not included	<p>Responses and feedback from consultation are summarised in the Consultation Statement.</p> <p>Full copies of notes, reports and feedback from meetings and workshops are available to view from the Planning Policy team.</p> <p>Copies of all representations made in response throughout the process are available to view in electronic and paper format.</p>
3. Are you inviting representations from people resident or carrying out business in your area about the content of the development plan document?	Regulation 25(3)	PPS12 paragraphs 4.24 – 4.29		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Consultation statement ii. Copies of documents consulting these persons iii. Record of discussions iv. Copies of representations made 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Consultation database consists of a list of all consultees including residents and the business community.</p> <p>The Consultation Statement sets out how all consultees were notified about the consultation through letters, public notices, articles and newsletters. Copies of these are available from the Planning Policy team.</p> <p>Responses and feedback from consultation are summarised in the Consultation Statement.</p> <p>Full copies of notes, reports and feedback from meetings and workshops are available to view from the Planning Policy team.</p> <p>Copies of all representations made in response throughout the process are available to view in electronic and paper format.</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
4. Are you engaging with stakeholders responsible for delivery of the strategy?	Regulation 25	PPS12 paragraphs 4.4; 4.27 – 4.29; 4.45	PPS12 paragraph 4.29 gives examples of relevant delivery agencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Consultation statement ii. Copies of documents consulting these people iii. Record of discussions 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Consultation database consists of a list of all consultees including all stakeholders responsible for delivery of the strategy.</p> <p>This was the early stage of frontloading work with the infrastructure delivery providers and development of a draft infrastructure priority list.</p> <p>Letters sent to the specific consultees and notes and feedback from meetings and workshops with infrastructure providers are available.</p> <p>Copies of representations made are available in electronic and paper format.</p>
5. Are you taking into account representations made?	Regulation 25(5)	PPS12 paragraphs 4.19-4.29; 4.37	Evidence from participation is part of the justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Consultation statement ii. Any reports on the selection of alternatives and options for the development plan document 	<p>Yes. All duly made representations have been taken into account.</p> <p>The Consultation Statement summarises the main issues raised by the representations.</p> <p>The Sustainability Appraisal for the Core Strategy assessed alternatives and impact of the policies proposed.</p> <p>Further discussion of the alternatives considered can be found under questions 7-9 in the soundness self assessment.</p>
6. Does the consultation	1. The Act section19(5)	1. PPS12 paragraphs		i. Consultation statement	Yes. Responses to the Issues and Options and Preferred Options commented on the alternatives

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>contribute to the development and sustainability appraisal of alternatives?</p>	<p>2. Regulations 12 and 13 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633.</p>	<p>4.39-4.43 2. SEA Guide, chapter three</p>		<p>ii. Any reports on the selection of alternatives and options for the development plan document iii. Sustainability appraisal report</p>	<p>and options offered in these documents. These representations aided in developing the further stages of the Core Strategy. These alternatives and options raised during consultation were assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal reports.</p>
<p>7. Is the participation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • following the principles set out in your statement of community involvement • integrating involvement with the sustainable community strategy • proportionate to the scale of issues involved in the development plan 	<p>1. The Act s.19(3) 2. Regulation 25</p>	<p>PPS12 paragraphs 4.19 – 4.26; 4.42</p>		<p>i. Consultation Statement ii. The statement of community involvement iii. The relevant sustainable community strategies</p>	<p>Yes. The Consultation Statement sets out how participation in the consultation stages of the Core Strategy followed the principles set out in the SCI.</p> <p>The Core Strategy is closely linked with the Sustainable Community Strategy as set out in Section 1.5 of the Core Strategy and with reference throughout the document.</p> <p>As the Core Strategy affects the whole borough, as well as surrounding areas, for the next 15 years, it is considered that the participation was proportionate to the scale of the DPD. The process and methodology of the consultation stages are set out in the Consultation Statement.</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
document?					
<p>8. Are you keeping a record of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the individuals or bodies invited to make representations How this was done The main issues raised? 	Regulation 24	PPS12 paragraphs 4.24 – 4.29	<p>You will need to submit a statement of representations under Regulation 30(1)(d): see Submission stage below.</p> <p>Regulation 49 deals with the availability of documents and the time of their removal</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Consultation statement ii. Reports by the council on the consultation iii. Copies of representations and relevant correspondence iv. Technical reports on the engagement process 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Consultation Statement sets out who was invited to make representations; the methodology of engaging these consultees; and a summary of the responses and feedback received.</p> <p>The Consultation database consists of a thorough list of all consultees.</p> <p>Copies of all representations made are available to view in electronic and paper format.</p>
<p>9. Are you developing a framework for monitoring the effects of the development plan document?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Act section 35 Regulation 48 <p>Reg 17 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No1363</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> PPS12 paragraphs 4.39 – 4.43 and 4.47 SEA Guide, Chapter five Office of the Deputy Prime Minister monitoring guide 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Sustainability appraisal report ii. The annual monitoring report iii. Reports or documents setting out the appraisal and monitoring framework 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Council’s Monitoring Framework was prepared alongside the Core Strategy Proposed Submission.</p> <p>Section 8.2 of the Core Strategy sets out how the Core Strategy will be delivered and monitored over the plan period.</p> <p>The Annual Monitoring Report will assess the monitoring indicators identified in the Core Strategy, as well as the milestones, policies and objectives set out in the Core Strategy.</p>
<p>10. Have you arranged to send copies</p>	<p>Not statutory, but will assist in identifying</p>	<p>Plan Making Manual - New Regulation 25</p>		<p>Copies of correspondence with the</p>	<p>Yes. A set of all consultation documents at each stage of consultation, Issues and Options, Preferred Options and Proposed Submission, were sent to the</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
of documents used in consultation to the Government Office and Planning Inspectorate?	issues leading towards a sound development plan document			Government Office and Planning Inspectorate	Government Office for London. There was regular email contact with Planning Inspectorate up to this stage. Copies of all documents will be sent to the Planning Inspectorate at publication and submission stages.

Stage three: Plan preparation - formulation phase

Introduction

This stage has many legal matters, for process and content, to address. The council should be beginning to formulate the preferred strategy for the core strategy (or whichever vehicle the council chooses to address Regulation 25 requirements). To do this, the council should use the information gathered and previous collaborative work with stakeholders.

For other types of development plan document, the term 'preferred strategy' refers to the preferred site allocations or policies. Paragraphs 4.26 and 4.38 of PPS12 make it clear that explicit consideration of alternatives is a key part of the plan making process. They also state that for significant development plan documents it is usually appropriate to involve the community in considering them. Paragraph 4.5 of PPS12 notes that it is essential that the core strategy makes clear spatial choices about where development should go in broad terms.

You should evaluate the reasonable alternatives identified in 'stage two: frontloading phase – plan preparation' phase against the:

- completed body of information from evidence gathering
- results of sustainability appraisal
- findings from community participation.

This may be written up as a preferred strategy report. The results of participation on the preferred strategy and an accompanying sustainability report will enable the council to gauge the community's response and receive additional evidence about the options. The council can then decide whether, and how, the preferred strategy and policies should be changed for publishing the finished development plan document.

Alternatives developed from the evidence and engagement during the frontloading stage need to be appraised to decide on the preferred strategy. Participation will also need to be carried out on it.

We stress that these matters need to be considered, and dealt with, in good time, and not left until publication. Supporting documents will assist in providing evidence that decisions on alternatives and strategy are soundly based. These documents will, in due course, become part of the proposed submission documents in stage four.

The council should tell all parties that this is the main participation opportunity on the emerging plan. The publication stage will not be a participation or consultation process. Instead, the publication stage is a formal opportunity for anyone to communicate their dissatisfaction with an aspect of the development plan document's soundness. This is carried out with representations made to the inspector for consideration at examination. The more effectively this message is put across, the lower the chance of late changes being brought forward following publication.

Please refer to the following sections in the Plan Making Manual:

- Core strategy: managing its development
- Sustainability appraisal
- Considering alternatives

Stage three: Plan preparation - formulation phase

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>1. Are you preparing reasonable alternatives for evaluation during the preparation of the development plan document?</p>	<p>Regulation 12 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No. 1633</p>	<p>1. PPS12 paragraph 4.38 2. SEA Guide, Chapter five</p>	<p>The sustainability appraisal report and supporting documents relevant to the preparation of the development plan document are part of the proposed submission documents (see Regulation 24)</p>	<p>Documents supporting decisions on alternatives and any preferred strategy</p>	<p>Yes. The Issues and Options document set out a number of possible options and spatial objectives. In response to the feedback received at this stage of consultation four alternative strategic approaches were assessed.</p> <p>The Sustainability Appraisal reports for the Core Strategy assess alternative options proposed in the Core Strategy.</p> <p>Further detailed information relating to alternatives can be found in the soundness self-assessment under questions 7-9.</p>
<p>2. Have you assessed alternatives against:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • consistency with national policy • general conformity with the regional spatial strategy? 	<p>The Act section 19(2), section 24</p>	<p>PPS12 4.30 – 33</p>	<p>General conformity with the regional spatial strategy is tested formally later but you need to consider it during preparation of the development plan document</p>	<p>i. Supporting documents ii. Correspondence with Government Offices and regional planning body (or Mayor of London)</p>	<p>Yes. Alternatives considered in the Issues and Options consultation document were assessed against national policy and the London Plan.</p> <p>Further detailed information relating to alternatives is discussed in the soundness self-assessment under questions 7-9.</p>
<p>3. Are you having regard to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • adjoining regional spatial 	<p>1. The Act section 19(2) 2. Regulation 15(1)(g)</p>			<p>i. Supporting documents ii. Correspondence with the relevant bodies</p>	<p>Yes. The Core Strategy has regard for the London Plan.</p> <p>Further detailed information relating to conformity with the London Plan can be found in the soundness self-</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
strategies, the spatial development strategy for London, or Welsh Spatial Plan (as appropriate) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the National Planning Framework for Scotland? 					assessment under question 12.
4. Are you having regard to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the sustainable community strategy of the authority or other authorities whose area comprises part of the area of the council any other local development documents adopted by the council? 	The Act section19(2)	PPS12 paragraphs 1.6; 4.22 - 4. 23; 4.34 - 4. 35		i. Supporting documents ii. The Sustainable community strategies iii. Relevant local development documents iv. Correspondence with the local strategic partners	Yes. The Core Strategy is aligned closely with the Sustainable Community Strategy. It adopts the SCS vision as the vision for the Core Strategy; and sets out how each policy contributes to the spatial aspects of the priorities in the SCS. Further detailed information on the SCS can be viewed in the soundness self assessment under questions 14-19.
5. Do you have regard to other matters and strategies	1. The Act section19(2) 2. Regulation		As well as the matters and strategies listed in the Act and	i. Supporting documents ii. Correspondence with the relevant	Yes. The Core Strategy has regard to a number of plans, strategies and guidance documents. In addition to the supporting documents listed in Stage 1, under question 4 above the following documents were

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
relating to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • resources • the regional development agencies' regional economic strategy • the local transport plan and transport facilities and services • waste strategies • hazardous substances and accidents? 	15		Regulations there are likely to be other matters identified in planning policy statements, regional and local strategies that you will need to have regard to in preparing the development plan document.	bodies and the Government Office	included in the preparation of the Core Strategy. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Haringey's Housing Strategy 2009 • The Local Implementation Plan 2007 • Haringey's Regeneration Strategy 2008 • Haringey's Children and Young People's Plan 2009-2020 • Child Poverty Strategy 2008-2011 • Haringey's Greenest Borough Strategy 2008 • Safer for all Strategy 2008-2011 • Experience Still Counts: Haringey's strategy for improving the quality of older people 2009-2012 • Well-being Strategic Framework 2007 Further documents and strategies relevant to Haringey's Core Strategy are listed in each section under Key evidence and references.
6. Are you having regard to the need to include policies on mitigating and adapting to climate change?		Annex to PPS1 on climate change	This is expected to be an amendment to section 19(2) of the Act.	Supporting documents	Yes. Section 4 of the Core Strategy relates to how the Council will protect and enhance environmental standards in the borough. SP 4 specifically deals with how the Core Strategy will aim to reduce energy use in buildings and work towards a low carbon borough, in line with national and regional policy. Mitigation and adaptation to climate change are also addressed through other policy including SP1, SP2 and SP11.
7. Have you undertaken the necessary sustainability appraisal of alternatives,	1. The Act section 19(5) 2. Regulation 12 and 13 of The Environment	1. PPS12 paragraphs 4.38 – 4.43 2. SEA Guide, Chapter five	Regulation 13 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes	i. Reports on the sustainability of alternatives ii. Record of work undertaken on sustainability	Yes. The Sustainability Appraisal Reports for the Core Strategy assesses all alternatives and policy options. Each SA report has been consulted on along with each stage of the Core Strategy.

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
including consultation on the sustainability appraisal report?	tal Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633		Regulations 2004 No 1633 sets out the consultation procedures	appraisal iii. Supporting documents	
8. Are you setting out clear reasons for any preferences between alternatives?	Regulation 13(1)	PPS12 paragraphs 4.36 – 4.38	This will include Information from the sustainability appraisal.	i. Any reports setting out alternatives and choices considered ii. Supporting documents	Yes. The Core Strategy Preferred Options document set out the vision, spatial objectives and preferred options, based on the spatial approach. The Sustainability Appraisal assesses alternative options proposed in the Core Strategy and in response to consultation representations received.
9. Have you taken into account any representations made on the content of the development plan document and the sustainability appraisal? 10. Are you keeping a record?	1. Regulations 24, 25(5) and 30(1)(d)(iv) 2. Regulation 13(4) of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No	PPS12 paragraphs 4.19 – 4.29	Records on the sustainability appraisal should also include recording any assessment made under the Habitats Directive	i. Correspondence from those making representations ii. Any reports on issues raised iii. Consultation statement iv. sustainability appraisal report	Yes. The Consultation Statement includes summaries, and analysis of representations made at each stage of consultation. All representations made have been considered and recorded. Full copies of all representations are available in electronic and paper format.

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
	1633				
<p>11. Where sites are to be identified or areas for the application of policy in the development plan document, are you preparing sufficient illustrative material to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> enable you to amend the currently adopted proposals map inform the community about the location of proposals? 	Regulations 9 and 14	PPS12 paragraphs 4.6 - 4.7; 8.1-8.3	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Regulation 2 defines the terms 'submission' and 'adopted' proposals map. A map showing changes to the adopted proposals map is part of the proposed submission documents defined in Regulation 24. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The adopted proposals map Any reports on proposals to amend the proposals map Illustrative material that shows how the proposals map will be amended or replaced 	<p>Yes. Haringey's growth areas are identified on the key diagram in Section 2.1, page 55. And in more detail in Section 3.1.</p> <p>The boundaries of the proposed five Area Action Plans, and other sites identified in the Plan are shown in the changes and additions to the Proposals Map which accompanies the Core Strategy.</p> <p>The Core Strategy and the changes to the Proposals Map were subject to consultation at the Proposed Submission stage.</p>
12. Are the participation arrangements compliant with the statement of community involvement?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Act, section 19(3) Regulation 25 	PPS12 paragraphs 4.19-4.29	You should make sure the arrangements remain in line with legislative changes affecting community engagement.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The statement of community involvement Consultation statement 	Yes. The Consultation Statements sets out how the participation arrangements were compliant with the Council's SCI.

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
13. Have you remained in close contact with the Government Office and discussed any emerging issues that might affect the soundness of the development plan document?		Plan Making Manual - New Regulation 25		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Copies of correspondence with the Government Office ii. Copies of the relevant documents 	<p>Yes. There were regular meetings with the Government Office for London throughout the preparation of the Core Strategy. Comments made by GOL have been taken account of, and copies of responses are available to view in electronic and paper format.</p>

Stage 4: Publication

Introduction

The 2008 Local Development Framework Regulations change the procedure for submission of development plan documents. They bring the period for formal representations forward, which now take place **before** the development plan document is submitted for examination.

When moving towards publication stage, the council should consider the results of participation on the preferred strategy and sustainability appraisal report and decide whether to make any change to the preferred strategy. In the event that changes are required, the council will need to choose either to:

- do so and progress directly to publication

OR

- produce and consult on a revised preferred strategy.

The latter may be appropriate where the changes to the development plan document bring in changed policy or proposals not previously covered in community participation and the sustainability appraisal. It avoids having to treat publication as if it were a consultation, which it is not. It also provides insurance in relation to compliance with the Strategic Environmental Assessment Regulations. Legally, during any participation on a revised preferred strategy, you should:

- comply with the requirements of the statement of community involvement
- update the sustainability appraisal report.

The council should then produce the development plan document in the form in which it will be published. This includes removing of material dealing with the evaluation of alternatives and the finalisation of the text. The council should be fully happy that it wishes to adopt the development plan document in this form, and that it considers it to be sound and fit for examination.

You should make it clear that publication of a development plan document is not public participation, nor a consultation. The six weeks publication period is the opportunity for those dissatisfied (or satisfied) with the development plan document to make formal representations to the inspector about its soundness.

The possibility of change under certain circumstances (which should be exceptional) is allowed for in the new procedures, and is described in 'stage five: Submission'.

Please refer to the following sections of the Plan Making Manual:

- Publication and submission of a development plan document
- Sustainability appraisal
- Considering alternatives

Stage 4: Publication

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
1. Have you prepared the sustainability appraisal report?	1. The Act section 19(5) 2. Regulation 12 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633	1. PPS12 paragraphs 4.38 – 4.43 2. SEA Guide Chapter five		Sustainability appraisal report	Yes. The Sustainability Appraisal for the Proposed Submission was prepared in April 2010. An update to the SA was prepared alongside the additional Reg 27 consultation Nov-Dec 2010. An addendum to the SA main report was prepared for the revised consultation on the fundamental changes Sept-Nov 2011. An additional, erratum to the SA was prepared ahead of the EIP Feb 2012.
2. Have you made clear where and within what period representations must be made?	Regulation 28(2) and (3)		The period must be at not less than 6 weeks from when you give notice under Regulation 27(e) (see below)	i. Report or record of decisions ii. The statement of community interest	Yes. The consultation period for Proposed Submission ran for 6 weeks. Notification was displayed through: Consultation letters, emails, website, press releases, public notices, guidance for libraries and for Council customer service staff, committee report. As a result of additional evidence and consultation responses, an additional consultation, under Reg 27, relating to changes to SP2 and SP8 was carried out in Nov-Dec 2010. As this was considered a focused consultation, notification was sent only to those consultees who responded to

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
					<p>these issues in the initial Reg 27 consultation.</p> <p>Following discussion at the EiP June/July 2011, a revised consultation on the changes to SP2 and SP8 was carried out to ensure all regulations and guidance was met. This was carried out for six weeks Sept-Nov 2011. Notification was displayed via letter, emails, public notices, website and corporate consultation calendar.</p>
<p>3. Have you made copies of the following available for inspection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed submission documents? • the statement of the representations procedure? 	Regulation 27(a)		Regulation 24 gives definitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Copies of the relevant statements ii. Report on where and when made available iii. Record of where and when made available 	<p>Yes. Copies of all consultation documents were available for public inspection at all Haringey's libraries and Council customer service offices (for Reg 27 consultation May-June 2010, and Revised Consultation Sept-Nov 2011)</p> <p>A representation guidance note accompanied all consultation letters and emails and website postings. Guidance was given to all library and Council customer service staff.</p>
<p>4. Have you published on your website the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed submission documents? • the statement of 	Regulation 27(b)		Regulations 2 and 24 give definitions	Record of publication	<p>Yes. All relevant documents and guidance were published on the Council website.</p> <p>For further evidence of this, please see Haringey's website.</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>the representations procedure?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> statement and details of where and when documents can be inspected? 					
<p>5. Have you sent to each of the specific consultation bodies invited to make representations under Regulation 25(1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A copy of each of the proposed submission documents The statement of the representations procedure? 	Regulation 27(c)		Regulations 2 and 24 give definitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Copies of correspondence ii. Record of sending 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Copies of the letter/email, documents and all relevant forms and representation guidance sent to the specific consultation bodies are available to view.</p>
<p>6. Have you sent to each of the general consultation bodies invited to make representations under Regulation</p>	Regulation 27(d)		Regulations 2 and 24 give definitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Copies of correspondence ii. Record of sending 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>A letter or email was sent to the general consultation bodies on our database including an explanation of the representation procedure, how to access the documents, and by which date to return all comments.</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>25(1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the statement of the representations procedure? where and when the documents can be inspected? 					<p>Copies of the letters/emails sent are available from the Planning Policy team.</p>
<p>7. Have you given notice by local advertisement setting out:</p> <p>8. the statement of the representations procedure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> where and when the documents can be inspected? 	<p>Regulation 27(e)</p>		<p>Regulation 24 gives definitions</p>	<p>i. Copy of advertisement</p> <p>ii. Where and when placed</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>For Reg 27 consultation May-June 2010, Adverts were placed in all local newspapers and the Council's monthly borough-wide newsletter including an explanation of the representation procedure and where and when to inspect the documents.</p> <p>For Revised consultation Sept-Nov 2011, public notices were placed in local and neighbouring borough newspapers, with information about how to respond and where and when to inspect documents.</p> <p>Notification of the Reg 27 consultation May – June 2010 appeared in local papers on 15/04/2010.</p> <p>Notification of the Revised Consultation Sept-Nov 2011 appeared in local and neighbouring boroughs' papers on 23/09/11.</p>
<p>9. Have you requested the</p>	<p>1. The Act section 24</p>	<p>PPS12 paragraph 4.21</p>	<p>The period is six weeks from</p>	<p>Copies of correspondence</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Mayor of London was notified and asked</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>opinion of the regional planning body (or the Mayor in London) on the general conformity of the development plan document with the regional spatial strategy (or spatial development strategy)?</p>	<p>2. Regulation 29</p>		<p>when you make copies available for inspection under Regulation 27(a)</p>		<p>for comments on the general conformity of the DPD.</p> <p>A copy of the letters sent, and comments received are available to view in electronic and paper format.</p>

Stage five: Submission

Introduction

At the submission stage, the council should receive and collate the representations made at publication stage. Reporting these representations to elected members is not mandatory. However, there may be requirements deriving from other legislation, Standing Orders or council procedures that must be considered.

However, if they are reported, they should report the facts of the representations made, not the results of a consultation process by the council. They should not treat it as a consultation or consider whether to make changes to try to answer representations.

The section called Changing your plan after publication in the Plan Making Manual distinguishes between 'focused changes', 'extensive changes' and 'minor changes'. You should be guided by the manual and its accompanying changes diagram in making decisions on how far to go back in the procedures, or to supplement existing work.

You should ensure you are in legal compliance with the statement of community involvement, the Habitats Directive and the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive in any additional work. Any formal publication of additional or changed matters would need to allow at least a six-week period for representations to be made.

There are many possible combinations of approaches that could be taken to changes. You should be satisfied that you remain fully compliant with the legal requirements when any changes are made (and any consequential effects on the development plan document as a whole). All decisions and new steps taken should be fully documented and reflected in the 'evidence provided' column.

The PINS guide identifies seven key questions that inspectors will use in relation to legal compliance. These have been incorporated into the tool and are identified by shading in the left-hand 'Question' column.

Apart from notification of the examination, this tool does not deal with the legal requirements that need to be followed after submission. You should refer to the PINS guidance for further advice.

Please refer to the following sections of the Plan Making Manual:

- Publication and submission of a development plan document
- Changing your plan after publication
- Examination of a development plan document

Stage five: Submission

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>1. Are you ready to submit the DPD?</p> <p>2. Are there any major issues revealed by the representations on publication?</p> <p>3. Are all the relevant documents in place?</p>	<p>1. The Act section 20(2)(b)</p> <p>2. The Act section 20(1)</p> <p>3. Regulation 30(1)</p>		<p>If you are not ready to submit, you may need to do more work on the development plan document or consider withdrawing and republishing a revised version.</p> <p>Regulation 30(1) sets out the documents which must be submitted in addition to the development plan document.</p>	<p>i. Report on issues resulting from Regulation 28 representations</p> <p>ii. Resolution to carry out more work on part of the development plan document or to withdraw plan</p> <p>iii. The development plan document</p> <p>iv. The documents prescribed at Regulation 30(1)</p>	<p>Yes. The DPD is ready to be submitted.</p> <p>The Consultation Statement details the main issues revealed by the representations on publication.</p> <p>Yes. All relevant documents are in place.</p>
<p>4. Has the development plan document been prepared in accordance with the local development scheme?</p> <p>5. Does the development plan document's listing and description in the local development scheme match the document?</p> <p>6. Have the timescales set out in the local</p>	<p>The Act, section 19(1)</p>	<p>PPS12 paragraph 4.50; 4.53 – 4.55</p>	<p>The Act section 15(2) and Regulation 8 note the matters specified in the local development scheme</p> <p>The box on local development schemes in PPS12 after paragraph 4.55 sets out milestones</p>	<p>i. The local development scheme</p> <p>ii. Explanation of any changes from the milestones set out in the local development scheme</p> <p>iii. Relevant annual monitoring reports</p> <p>iv. Correspondence with the Government Office on the local development</p>	<p>Yes. The Core strategy has been prepared in line with the LDS.</p> <p>The preparation timetable for the Core Strategy was changed slightly from that set out in the original LDS due to a delay in completion of necessary evidence studies at publication stage.</p> <p>Please see the LDS 2010 for further details.</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
development scheme been met?				scheme	
7. Has the development plan document had regard to any sustainable community strategy for its area (like a county and district)?	The Act section 19(2)	PPS12 paragraph 4.34 – 4.35; 4.50		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The sustainable community strategy(ies) ii. Reference to sections of the development plan document showing how regard has been had to them 	<p>Yes. The Core Strategy is aligned closely with the Sustainable Community Strategy as it adopts the SCS vision as the vision for the Core Strategy's; and sets out how each policy contributes to the spatial aspects of the priorities in the SCS.</p> <p>Further detailed information on the SCS can be seen in the soundness self assessment under questions 14-19.</p>
8. Is the development plan document in compliance with the statement of community involvement (where one exists)? 9. Has the council carried out consultation as described in the statement of community involvement?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Act s19(3) 2. Regulation 32(1)(c) 	PPS12 paragraph 4.50; box after paragraph 4.26	Before the statement of community involvement is formally amended to take into account the changes in the regulations, you may need to set out how the community engagement that you carried out met the regulations (as amended).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The statement of community involvement ii. The Regulation 32(1)(c) statement 	<p>Yes. The Core Strategy complies with the Council's SCI.</p> <p>Yes. All consultation has been carried out in line with the description in the SCI. Section 3 of the SCI sets out the process of involvement in the LDF process.</p>
10. Has the development plan document been subject to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Act section19(5) 2. Regulation 	1. PPS12 paragraph 4.39		Sustainability appraisal report	Yes. The Core Strategy has been subject to sustainability appraisal.

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>sustainability appraisal?</p> <p>11. Has the council provided a final report of the findings of the appraisal?</p>	32(1)(a)	<p>– 4.43; 4.50</p> <p>2. SEA Practical Guide, chapter five</p>			Please see the Sustainability Appraisal.
12. Is the development plan document to be submitted consistent with national policy?	The Act section 20(2) and Schedule 8	PPS12 paragraphs 4.30 – 33; 4.50	You need to be sure changes made up to the time of submission are compliant with the requirements	<p>i. Correspondence with Government Offices</p> <p>ii. Representations from Government Offices</p>	<p>Yes. It is considered that the Core Strategy is consistent with national policy.</p> <p>Please see GOL's representation.</p> <p>Please see question 40 in the soundness self assessment for further information.</p>
<p>13. Does the development plan document contain any policies or proposals that are not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy?</p> <p>14. If yes, is there local justification?</p> <p>15. Has the council got confirmation from the regional planning body about the</p>	<p>1. The Act s 24(1)(a); 24(2) and 24(4)</p> <p>2. Regulation 29</p>	PPS12 paragraphs 4.30 – 33; 4.50	In London the requirement is for general conformity with the spatial development strategy (The London Plan)	<p>i. Correspondence with or representations from the regional planning body, or Mayor of London</p> <p>ii. Confirmation of conformity from the regional planning body, or Mayor</p>	<p>The GLA's representations in response to the Proposed Submission stated that the Core Strategy was not consistent with the Mayor's London Plan, specific to London Plan policies 4A.21 and 4A.24. Haringey met with GLA and resolved these issues to ensure consistency with the London Plan.</p> <p>Further comments were received from the GLA in response to the additional Regulation 27 consultation. The Council met with the GLA to discuss issues raised and achieved</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>general conformity of the plan with the regional spatial strategy?</p>					<p>consensus on objectives. The Council are awaiting formal acknowledgement of compliance of the Core Strategy with the London Plan.</p> <p>Evidence of correspondence with the GLA is available on request.</p>
<p>16. Does the development plan document comply with the 2004 regulations (as amended)?</p> <p>17. Specifically, has the council published the prescribed documents, and made them available at their principal offices and their website?</p> <p>18. Has the council placed local advertisements?</p> <p>19. Has the council notified the development plan document bodies?</p> <p>20. Does the development plan document contain a list of superseded saved policies?</p>	<p>1. The Act section 20(2), 20(3) and 20(5)(b)</p> <p>2. Regulations 13(1), 13(2), 13(5) and 30(1)</p>	<p>PPS12 paragraphs 4.36; 4.50</p>	<p>Requirements relating to publication of the prescribed documents are listed later in this table.</p>	<p>i. The documents prescribed at Regulation 30(1)</p> <p>ii. Relevant annual monitoring reports</p> <p>iii. Records of the actions undertaken (see below)</p>	<p>Yes. The Core Strategy complies with the 2004 regulations (as amended).</p> <p>Yes. The Council published the prescribed documents and made them available at the planning offices, the civic centre, all local libraries and on our website.</p> <p>The Council advertised the submission of the Core Strategy in local newspapers and on its website.</p> <p>Notification of submission was sent to all relevant bodies.</p> <p>Appendix 1 of the Core Strategy lists the superseded saved policies.</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>21. Are there any policies applying to sites or areas by reference to an Ordnance Survey map or to amend an adopted proposals map?</p> <p>22. If yes, have you prepared a submission proposals map?</p>	Regulations 13(4) 14 and 30(1)(b)	PPS12 paragraphs 4.6 -4.7; 8.1-8.3		<p>i. Submission proposals map</p> <p>ii. Brief statement if a submission proposals map is not required</p>	<p>The boundaries of the proposed five Area Action Plans, other sites identified in the Plan, and other minor amendments following the UDP 2006 are shown in the changes and additions to the Proposals Map which accompanies the Core Strategy.</p> <p>Please see Schedule of Changes to Proposed Maps and accompanying extract maps.</p> <p>The Council did not produce a single submission map due to financial constraints. A series of extract maps were produced as an alternative.</p> <p>Final amended Proposals Map will be produced following adoption of the Core Strategy.</p>
<p>23. If the development plan document is not a core strategy, is it in conformity with the core strategy?</p>	Regulation 13(6)			<p>i. The core strategy</p> <p>ii. Documents or reports demonstrating conformity</p>	The Core Strategy is the assessed DPD.
<p>24. Have you prepared a statement setting out:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which bodies and persons were invited to make 	The Act section20(3) Regulation 30(1)(d)		This will bring forward material from the Consultation statement (see Stage 2 above)	<p>i. Consultation statement</p> <p>ii. The Statement as required in Regulation 30(1)(d)</p>	Yes. A Consultation Statement was prepared setting out the processes and outcomes of all stages of consultation during the development of the Core strategy.

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>representations under Regulation 25</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How they were invited • A summary of the main issues raised • How the representations have been taken into account? 					<p>Please see the Consultation Statement prepared under Regulation 30.</p>
<p>25. Have you prepared a statement giving:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the number of representations made under Regulation 28(2) • a summary of the main issues raised <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • that no representations were made? 	<p>The Act section20(3) Regulation 30(1)(e)</p>			<p>The Statement as required in Regulation 30(1)(e)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Please see the Consultation Statement prepared under Regulation 30.</p>
<p>24. Have you collected together all the representations made under Regulation28?</p>	<p>The Act section20(3) Regulation 30(1)(f)</p>			<p>Copies of the representations</p>	<p>Yes. All representations made under Regulations 28 are available in electronic and paper format.</p>
<p>27 Have you assembled the relevant supporting documents?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Act section20(3) 2. Regulation 30(1)(g) 			<p>All necessary evidence and records of decisions relevant to the development plan</p>	<p>Yes. The following documents have been assembled:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core Strategy Proposed

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
				document	Submission Document <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposals Map changes • Core Strategy Submission Document (with track changes made to the Proposed Submission • Schedule of proposed minor changes • Sustainability Appraisal report • Equalities Impact Assessment • Statement of Community Involvement • Consultation Statement • Statement of Representations made at Regulation 28 • Soundness Self Assessment • Legal Compliance Self Assessment
28 Has your council approved the development plan document for submission?	The Act section20		The full council has to approve the development plan document for submission (requirements are set out in Local Authorities Functions Regulations)	Report and resolution of the council	Yes. The Core Strategy was approved for submission by full council on 24 th February 2011.
29 Have you sent the Secretary of State (the Planning Inspectorate) a paper	1. The Act s20(1) and 20(3)		Regulation 49 deals with the availability of documents and the	Record of sending	Yes. Paper copies of these documents were sent to PINS on the 9 th March 2011.

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> copy of the following: the development plan document? the submission proposals map (unless there are no site allocation policies)? the documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1)? 	2. Regulations 30(1) and 30(2)(a)		time of their removal.		
<p>30 Have you sent the Secretary of State (the Planning Inspectorate) an electronic copy of the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> development plan document? submission proposals map (unless there are no site allocation policies)? documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1)? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Act s20(1) and 20(3) Regulations 30(1) and 30(2)(b) 		<p>Electronic copies of some of the representations and supporting documents may not be practicable.</p> <p>Regulation 49 deals with the availability of documents and the time of their removal.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Record of sending Reasons why documents cannot be sent electronically 	Yes. Electronic copies of these documents were sent to PINS on 9 th March 2011.
<p>31. Have you made the following available at the same places where the proposed submission documents were to be seen:</p>	Regulation 30(3)(a)		You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submitting to the Secretary of State	Record of where and when made available	<p>Yes. The documents were available for inspection at 639 High Road, Tottenham, N17 8BD, the Civic Centre, High Road, Wood Green, N22 8LE, all local libraries and online at www.haringey.gov.uk/local_development_framework/corestrategysubmiss</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development plan document? • The documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1)? 					<p>ion</p> <p>Documents relating to the Revised Consultation (Sept-Nov 2011) were made available for inspection on 6th Floor River Park House, Wood Green, N22 8HQ, all local libraries and online.</p>
<p>32 On your website, have you published the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • development plan document • submission proposals map • sustainability appraisal report • Regulation 30(1)(d) statement • Regulation 30(1)(e) statement • supporting documents (where practicable) • representations made under Regulation 28 (where practicable) • statement as to where and when the development plan document and the 	Regulation 30(3)(b)		You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submitting to the Secretary of State	Record of publication	<p>Yes. These documents are available to view and download on the Council website</p> <p>www.haringey.gov.uk/local_development_framework/corestrategysubmission</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
documents are available?					
<p>33 For each specific consultation body invited to make representations under Regulation 25(1), have you sent the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • development plan document • submission proposals map • sustainability appraisal report • adopted statement of community involvement • Regulation 30(1)(d) statement • Regulation 30(1)(e) statement • supporting documents you consider relevant to each body • statement as to where and when the development plan document and the documents are available? 	Regulation 30(3)(c)		You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submitting to the Secretary of State	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Copies of correspondence ii. Record of sending 	Yes. Electronic copies of these documents have been sent to all statutory consultees 11 ^h March 2011.

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
<p>34 For each general consultation body invited to make representations under Regulation 25(1), have you sent:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. notification that the documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1) are available for inspection 2. where and when they can be inspected? 	Regulation 30(3)(d)		You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submitting to the Secretary of State	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Copies of correspondence ii. Record of sending 	<p>Yes. Letters and emails have been sent to all general consultees notifying them that the submission documents are available for inspection at 639 High Road, Tottenham, N17 8BD, the Civic Centre, High Road, Wood Green, N22 8LE, all local libraries and online at www.haringey.gov.uk/local_development_framework/corestrategysubmission</p>
<p>35 Have you given notice by local advertisement setting out:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the title of the development plan document? • the subject and area covered by the development plan document? • notification that the documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1) are available for inspection • where and when they 	Regulation 30(3)(e)		You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submitting to the Secretary of State	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Copy of advertisement ii. Where and when placed 	<p>Yes. Advertisements were placed in the local newspapers on 10th March 2011 setting out the title of the Core Strategy, what the document is, and notification that the submission documents are available for inspection at 639 High Road, Tottenham, N17 8BD, the Civic Centre, High Road, Wood Green, N22 8LE, all local libraries and online at www.haringey.gov.uk/local_development_framework/corestrategysubmission</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Guidance Reference	Additional Notes	Possible evidence	Evidence provided
can be inspected?					
36 Have you given notice to persons who have requested to be notified that submission has taken place?	Regulation 30(3)(f)		You should do this as soon as reasonably practicable after submitting to the Secretary of State	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Copies of correspondence ii. Record of sending 	Yes. Letters and emails were sent to those who requested to be notified that submission has taken place.
37 If an examination is being held, at least six weeks before its opening has the Programme Officer: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • published the time and place of the examination and the name of the person appointed to carry out the examination on your website • notified those who have made representations on the published development plan document which have not been withdrawn of these details • advertised these details? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Act section 20 2. Regulation 34 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Record of publication of information ii. Record of sending iii. Copies of correspondence iv. Copy of advertisement 	<p>Following submission the Programme Officer published details relating to the Examination in Public (EIP) on the Council website www.haringey.gov.uk/local_development_framework/corestrategyexamination including time and place of examination and the name of the Planning Inspector.</p> <p>The Programme Officer notified those who made representations on the published Core Strategy which have not been withdrawn of the details of the EIP.</p> <p>The details of the EIP were advertised in local newspapers.</p> <p>The Programme Officer also sent notification six weeks ahead of the Feb 2012 hearing and met all relevant regulations.</p> <p>The details of the EIP Feb 2012 were advertised in local and neighbouring borough newspapers.</p>

