

From: charlotte cayton
Sent: 28 October 2011 21:15
To: LDF
Subject: COMPLAINT - PINKHAM WAY REDESIGNATION
to whom it may concern,

RE redesignation of the Pinkham Wood site

I am writing to you in relation to the redesignation of the pinkham wood site and my concerns in relation to the danger to the site's nature conservation status caused by any planned development. I believe this process and consultation has not been conducted soundly or fairly. My key concerns are the loss of a ecologically rich and valuable site and the heavy industrial uses, with all their potential for noise, pollution and traffic congestion.

I strongly object to this re-designation for the following reasons:

1) **It is not based on robust or credible evidence.** No credible evidence was produced at the first Examination in Public, and the re-consultation document (CSSD-3) has no new evidence. The updated Sustainability Appraisal which has been produced by Hyder Consulting UK Limited to provide further evidence in support of this re-consultation does not contain any new evidence to support this re-designation.

2) There is no evidence that Haringey considered whether this was the most appropriate strategy against alternatives such as Metropolitan Open Land designation, alternative Local Green Space designation or Green Grid cross boundary green space connecting Barnet, Haringey and Enfield.

3) It is not deliverable: The LSIS designation is only deliverable if the Grade 1 Borough Importance for Nature designation is removed or substantially compromised. The Council's own additional evidence points out in relation to the Friern Barnet site in particular that any development on the site has potential to have biodiversity impacts because it is a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation

I urge you to re consider for the sake of the area and families living here

Charlotte cayton

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>
