file:///S|/EN/PEP&P/BunF/AllF/Ch. Execs data/COMMON/Local Develo...d/Duly made/Printed/Printed and on spreadsheet/Julie Barber2.htm

From: Julie Barber Sent: 31 October 2011 12:51 To: LDF Subject: Haringey's core strategy - revised regulation

Dear Sirs,

RE: Haringey Local Development Framework (LDF) Public Consultation Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) (Amendment) (2008) Publication of a Development Plan Document (Regulation 27) Haringey's Core Strategy – Revised additional Regulation 27 on Fundamental Changes to Employment Land Designations and Affordable Housing

I write to you to make a case against the proposed change of use of the above site.

This is one of only 9 sites in Haringey designated Grade 1 of Borough Importance for Nature Conservation. I believe Haringey can ill afford to lose this, and the redesignation of the site as LSIS might mean that the site is degraded or loses some of its diversity. National policy states that networks of natural habitats provide a valuable resource. As I said earlier, such resources are already rare in Haringey.

Haringey has not presented any evidence that redesignation as LSIS is the most appropriate use of the site. Indeed, none of the papers submitted by Haringey or by Hyder Consulting presents credible or robust evidence that such redesignation is a good idea. I am very against the council proceeding with this redesignation without a powerful argument and credible evidence to support it.

The redesignation does not accord with Regional Policy, in particular Policy 7 (7.14, and 7.18-7.21).

For all the above reasons I oppose the redesignation and feel it is not soundly based.

Yours sincerely,

`Julie Barber

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email