

Internal Statutory Consultation Report

3 April 2019

Bounds Green Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) – Proposed Extension

Analysis of feedback.

1. Introduction

This statutory consultation letter accompanied by freepost feedback cards was delivered to residents 28 February 2019. While statutory consultations propose specific measures, this does not mean that a final decision has been made. The consultation closing date was 23 March 2019.

The final decision is dependent upon feedback from residents and others who may be affected by the proposed measures.

2. Analysis

Taking the area as a whole many of the roads away from the shops and Bound Green Station report that parking is not congested and there is no need for CPZ controls. However, three roads adjoining the existing CPZ, show significant demand for parking controls.

Woodfield Way leads into Durnsford Road which is already in the existing Bounds Green CPZ. In this road 63% of respondents support extending the existing Bounds Green CPZ.

Park Road and Palace Road lead into Bounds Green Road, which is also part of the existing CPZ. Palace Road has 69% support for CPZ controls, and Park Road shows 83% support.

Uncontrolled roads such as these, attract overspill parking from the existing CPZ and also attract commuter parking; as Bounds Green tube station is a short walk away.

Table 1 shows levels of support by road.

Level 1S River Park House
225 High Road,
London N22 8HQ

020 8489 1000

www.haringey.gov.uk

Support and objections by road.

Table 1

		Support or object					
		Support		Object		Other view	
		Count	Row %	Count	Row %	Count	Row %
Road name	Amethyst Close	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%
	Bailey Close	2	15%	10	77%	1	8%
	Blake Road	2	7%	27	90%	1	3%
	Churston Gdns	3	14%	16	76%	2	10%
	Cline Road	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%
	Lynton Gdns	0	0%	7	100%	0	0%
	Palace Road	22	69%	9	28%	1	3%
	Park Grove	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%
	Park Road	10	83%	2	17%	0	0%
	The Drive	3	23%	10	77%	0	0%
	Torrington Gdns	2	25%	6	75%	0	0%
	Woodfield Way	46	63%	27	37%	0	0%
	Not stated	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%
	Total	91	41%	127	57%	5	2%

The three roads which have expressed clear support for CPZ controls could be included in Bounds Green CPZ, while the remaining roads which do not report any significant parking congestion could remain uncontrolled. The uncontrolled roads which are some distance away from shops and transport hubs, would be less likely to attract overspill and other extraneous parking in the event of Palace Road, Park Road and Woodfield Way being included in the CPZ.

Comments by Respondents – grouped by road and by support / object to the possibility of being included in a CPZ

Road name	Support or object	Comments and suggestions
Amethyst Close	Object	
Amethyst Close	Object	Can you send me a map and a list outlining EXACTLY which roads it will be extended to include under your plans as it's difficult to comment when the plan is not properly explained. While I await this information, I would like to make clear is that my road: Amethyst Close has no problem currently with parking and so does not need to be inside any CPZ and I would like to express my opposition to any proposal to put Amethyst Close in the CPZ.
Amethyst Close	Object	This is an unadopted road managed by Clarion Housing
Bailey Close	Support	CPZ hours should be extended and not limited to 2 hours. Many people and businesses leave their vehicles in Bailey Close and then take the tube / train to central London. This prevents residents parking
Bailey Close	Support	Parking on the estate is limited by commuters going to work by train. Also some flats have up to 3 vehicles

Bailey Close	Object	
Bailey Close	Object	This would cause great disruption to residents
Bailey Close	Object	No need for restrictions so why should we pay for something we don't need, because other roads might want them
Bailey Close	Object	This is a private road and any works are paid for by the residents. We don't have any parking problems and do not wish to pay to park outside our homes. Hence CPZ is not required
Bailey Close	Object	Bailey Close has blocks of flats and houses with no off street parking. We need to park on the street and there are no commuters using the close
Bailey Close	Object	We live in a closed road and therefore do not require CPZ controls
Bailey Close	Object	No parking problems here. CPZ just an income generating exercise
Bailey Close	Object	Object to the extension of the controlled parking for our residential area. With the ULEZ zones vastly approaching north of circular road A406 this is just another bill/payment local councils and Governments bleed it's Community out of. People are struggling enough and this is nothing short an outrage.
Bailey Close	Object	Object to the introduction of a CPZ. Most of the time most the cars parked in Bailey Close appear to belong to residents. The lack of free spaces at certain times is caused simply by the fact that there are too few spaces for the number of residents vehicles and not by any significant numbers of non-residents using the spaces. Introducing a CPZ would not therefore solve the problem of lack of available parking spaces but will certainly cause significant extra costs for residents (costs which may well rise unpredictably in the future).
Bailey Close	Object	Family member has illness and we need support and have visitors without restrictions
Bailey Close	Other view	Support if our 31 allocated spaces remain untouched. Cars should be prevented fro parking on bend at entrance to Bailey Close. Would need to see exact plan before agreeing
Blake Road	Support	
Blake Road	Support	Could the council provide booklets of VPs so residents could purchase these for use by their visitors?
Blake Road	Object	Parking at bottom of Blake Road and Woodfield Way has been bad for at least 30 years and is no worse now than at any time in the last 20 years. I need to use Bounds Green Group Practice (BGGP) in Gordon Road at least twice a month as at 63 my 2 medical conditions require regular repeat prescriptions and consultations with skin specialist GPs or normal GPs. There are many retired people in the area affected who will not be able to afford the parking permits required. It is unlikely that retired people will have been given time to respond to your consultation to object. The parking on Scout Park side of Woodfield Way nearest to Passmoor Gardens where there are no houses does not affect anyone, especially as the vast majority of parents use a car to take their children to St Martin of Porres School rather than walk up Woodfield Way. (SEE EMAILS)
Blake Road	Object	Parking is difficult in the daytime but OK in the evening. Commuters park to use the tube an dthis is not unreasonable as I do teh same when I am at work. Making everywhere permit parking doesn;t help as it just moves the problem elsewhere
Blake Road	Object	No need for restrictions. Spaces available 24/7. Nothing could justify imposition of unwanted expense and bureaucracy

Blake Road	Object	No parking issues. Also we would have to buy resident and visitor permits which would just result in PCNs being issued
Blake Road	Object	No apparent problems at present - no need for CPZ here
Blake Road	Object	I have not received any notification about this. I think all the houses in the proposes extension should have received a letter. I object because all it does is push the parking problem further out.
Blake Road	Object	Ample parking here - no need for a zone. Better to spend money on rat running and speeding issues.
Blake Road	Object	No parking issues here
Blake Road	Object	Plenty of room for cars to park here
Blake Road	Object	
Blake Road	Object	Never had problems. CPZ would restrict friends and family visits. We don't need it or want it.
Blake Road	Object	There's plenty of parking space
Blake Road	Object	Unnecessary
Blake Road	Object	No parking problems in Blake Rd
Blake Road	Object	No parking problems here but Blake Road is a rat run and the road narrowing has created more problems. A barrier sytem with resident access cards would have been preferred
Blake Road	Object	
Blake Road	Object	We do NOT have parking problems except for the school drop-off. CPZ is just a revenue-raising exercise
Blake Road	Object	We don't get any commuter parking here so no need to extend the CPZ.
Blake Road	Object	Parking is not a major problem. Consideration needs to be given to all teachers who drive to work in the local schools. Better to spend the money filling potholes and having traffic calming measures
Blake Road	Object	
Blake Road	Object	Object to having to pay to park where I live
Blake Road	Object	No parking problems as the road is a long way from the station. We do however have speeding traffic which is very dangerous. Road narrowing in some places did not help at all and just took up parking space. Suggest a 20mph limit enforced by cameras
Blake Road	Object	We are not near the tube, so the only congestion is associated with the school run. Never any problems between 10am and 12noon. No need for CPZ
Blake Road	Object	
Blake Road	Object	Objection. Our reasons for not wanting a CPZ a) We have lived here for years and never seen parking problems from commuters parking on our street to use the tube. b)We think we are too far from the tube for people to want to park near our house c)Between us and the tube there are always some spaces which are always free to park on during a weekday, in particular: .Lynton Gardens, which only has houses on one side; .Woodfield Way around the Scout Park. Near us but further from the tube we have the tunnel over the railway line where there is always a space for us to park. Parents sometimes park outside our house because of St Martins Of Porres Primary School - we have no objection to this as they are part of our local community. We have no objection to parking a few houses away or across the road.

Blake Road	Object	<p>I object on the following grounds: ·No map of the proposed CPZ is included ·The CPZ is not necessary in Blake Road -we are on a steep hill, so no commuters want to park here. There is usually ample parking space at the top of Blake road where and in Lynton Gardens - which only has houses on one side of the road. ·I am surprised by the petition from Woodfield Way residents, as the portion between Passmore Gardens and Lynton Gardens next to the Scout Park always has parking available when I walk to and from the tube everyday ·I object to having to pay to park outside my own house. CPZs mean more and more people pave over their front gardens - which is bad for wildlife and causes issues with surface water run off ·The CPZ will adversely affect parents collecting their children from nursery at St Martin of Porres School and school staff who park on the street ·The CPZ will adversely affect the ability of staff at the Care Home/ Supported Housing across the street to park near their place of work ·Haringey Council should concentrate their transport policy on promoting car clubs and providing electric car charging points ·This proposal is just a money making scheme.</p>
Blake Road	Object	<p>1.The consultation process is inadequate and therefore unfair and is in breach of Haringey's 'Statement of Community Involvement'. I assert that the statutory consultation notice has failed to provide me with Haringey's reasons for proposing an extension of the Bounds Green CPZ to Blake Road and if has failed to: a. Evidence the need for a CPZ on Blake Road b. Demonstrate the positive impact a CPZ will have on the residents of Blake Road c .Address the negative impacts a CPZ will have on Blake Road residents d. Evidence that an equalities impact assessment supports the proposed extension of the Bounds Green CPZ to Blake Road e .Illustrate what the financial impact will be on me as a Haringey resident in terms of the cost of parking permits. 2. On average less than 60% of available parking spaces in Blake Road are occupied during the day and therefore there is no evidence that commuter parking is a problem on Blake Road if the Bounds Green CPZ is extended to Woodfield Way. 3.Blake Road does not suffer from traffic congestion and is sufficiently distanced from railway stations to be unaffected by the displacement of commuter parking restrictions if a CPZ is introduced on Woodfield Way. 4.The steep gradient of Blake Road makes is unattractive for non-resident commuters who wish to walk the distance to train and railway stations - 5.A CPZ would have an extremely negative impact on the majority of Blake Road residents because we do not use our cars for commuting and therefore need unrestricted access to all day parking. Most of us leave our cars parked on the road most of the time and only make occasional journeys; this means that our chances of being able to park on our own road will significantly drop and the chances of being unable to find any parking will increase significantly. This is also means that it is unattractive for non-resident commuters. 6.The introduction of parking charges for residents at a time of such economic uncertainty and difficulty will have negative impact</p>
Blake Road	Other view	Prefer not to have CPZ but if other roads wanted it then I would support it

Churston Gdns	Support	We are not happy about having to pay the annual CPZ fee for our car but feel it's becoming necessary. There are old cars that seem unfit for road use being parked in the surrounding area (mainly Cline Road) and also in the Blake Road end of Churston Gardens for weeks on end if not months. Old vans and small trucks are also being parked up in the local area for long periods of time and are being used as dumping stations for builders waste. These vehicles and people are also responsible for fly-tipping in the area so if the CPZ is approved I would like to see more investment in trying to stop this crime. Some of the Churston Gardens residents have multiple vehicles and are swapping cars around to protect parking spaces in front of their properties.
Churston Gdns	Support	Reluctant support because if other roads want CPZ then Churston Gdns would be jam packed
Churston Gdns	Support	
Churston Gdns	Object	I am against the proposal as I don't feel the situation warrants it.
Churston Gdns	Object	Object to the proposed extension of Bounds Green CPZ to Churston Gardens, N11. The reasoning for the proposal is that some residents on Woodfield Way have requested a CPZ to enable residents to park close to their homes. However, on 8th March at 2.15pm I walked around the whole of Woodfield Way. Whilst there were many cars parked along on both sides of the road along the stretch from Durnsford Road and turning left onto Woodfield Way the rest of the road had many car spaces available. I also noted that many houses had off street parking. I took photos as evidence (a selection is attached but not exhaustive). As Haringey are encouraging people to walk, the short distance from parked car to home should be embraced. Being able to park outside one's house is not sufficient reason to have a CPZ. Haringey asserts that a CPZ on Woodfield Way will push incoming traffic onto other local roads including Churston Gardens. Haringey also proposed to include Cline Road however, Cline Road has no houses on it, so why would this road be included. Could this be as simple as a revenue generator for the council? I believe so. I also object on the basis that Haringey proposes to charge for residents "parking" their vehicle based on the car's CO2 emissions. We already pay for that in our road tax. The Mayor of London has also imposed an emissions levy coming in April. For Haringey to impose this on a parking permit is triple taxation and is legally questionable as, if it is parked it is not emitting any harmful emissions.
Churston Gdns	Object	We object to this proposed extension, as we have ample parking space in our street and in our neighbourhood.

Churston Gdns	Object	I object to the extension of the Bounds Green CPZ since I cannot see a need for it. The only residents that could possibly benefit are those closest to the existing CPZ area at Woodfield Way, and most of these have drives. As a regular walker in the area, it is very rare for there to be a lack of parking on the surrounding roads, including Woodfield Way. Blake Road, Churston, Torrington, Cline Road (barely any homes or businesses), Lynton Gardens and the slip roads running parallel to Bounds Green Road are not overly congested at any time other than when we are all home and a CPZ extension will not remedy this. I have also spoken to a number of local residents and they do not support the extension either. Imposing a CPZ will force residents to convert gardens to drives and so remove even more parking. This has a detrimental impact on the natural environment and on water levels, therefore for environmental reasons it cannot be justified. In addition, a CPZ would adversely affect the local shop on Cline Road, which is a valuable community amenity. Furthermore, there is a very simple solution to what might be a problem for the few on Woodfield Way, which is that the CPZ could be extended to Woodfield Way, but only on the sides where there are houses, which is the area closest to the tube station. All the roadsides adjacent to the Scout Park with no residencies could be left as they are. Those few residents, who are most likely to be in favour of an extension, will have their parking protected and there would be minimal detrimental impact on all the surrounding roads. This option removes the concern for us all that one street being included may have a negative knock-on affect on adjacent roads.
Churston Gdns	Object	
Churston Gdns	Object	No problem parking here
Churston Gdns	Object	We are not in agreement with the proposal for CPZ on principle that we don't think we should have to pay to park on our road. Also feel that there is a cynical 'creep' where councils steadily increase the number of CPZ roads so ultimately there will be very few streets that don't have it
Churston Gdns	Object	I doubt the validity of the Woodfield Way petition. Almost half the road is Scout Park, so how can there be pressure on parking? If it was true then we would have displacement in our direction.
Churston Gdns	Object	No need for CPZ as there is plenty of parking. Seems like a money-making plan without reason
Churston Gdns	Object	Do not feel CPZ is required and it would only encourage people to build driveways which are detrimental to the environment. Also I do not feel there should be further charges to local people

Churston Gdns	Object	I understand that this has been triggered by a petition from Woodfield Way. It is apparent from my own investigations that the majority of houses on Woodfield Way either have direct off road parking or access to a rear garage. In addition there is a long stretch of road that is NEVER busy with parked cars at the junction with Linton Gardens. Therefore I cannot approve any proposal that fails to provide objective evidence that the demand for parking exceeds the supply on the whole of Woodfield Way or any other affected road. Where is the parking study that shows that at any particular time it is not possible to park anywhere? I live on Churston Gardens and there is never a parking problem. The introduction of the proposed scheme therefore has even less justification where I live. Given there is no objective justification, this feels much more like a revenue generating scheme rather than a service to the voting public. The most likely outcome is that residents will be paying a tax for owning a car to Haringey and end up parking in the exact same spot where they park currently. I already pay car tax and don't believe that the money this scheme might generate would benefit affected residents in any way. Though I strongly believe that councils deserve more central funding, I do not believe that residents should be expected to make up the shortfall.
Churston Gdns	Object	Totally opposed to CPZ
Churston Gdns	Object	I strongly oppose the idea of introducing CPZs in Churston Gardens as we feel that there is no need for them. We are also concerned that last time that this was proposed, a council employee attempted to hide/disregard letters of objection. We are united as a family and as a street that we do not need or want CPZs
Churston Gdns	Object	
Churston Gdns	Object	It is becoming difficult to park but I can always find a space relatively close to home. I do object to commercial vehicles parking here but I realise that this cannot be addressed unless I supported having a CPZ
Churston Gdns	Object	CPZ not needed and is driven by need to make money for the council. I believe that during a previous attempt to install a CPZ, the consultation results were falsified
Churston Gdns	Other view	I have missed the consultation deadline (been away) but the main issue with parking in my road (Churston Gardens N11) is at early evening and night. In particular long lorry parking taking up space when there is adequate parking in the adjoining industrial area Cline Road. Can we have a restriction on lengthy vehicles at all times please?
Churston Gdns	Other view	No need for CPZ in Cline Rd as there are no houses. Woodfield Way has plenty of space except at each end. No need for CPZ. I have photos to prove this.

Cline Road	Object	I have lived at this address for a decade and can't recall ever having a problem parking on Cline Road. The road has become busier over the years, but that doesn't mean a restriction should be put in place whereby residents, that haven't had to pay to park, will suddenly incur an annual parking fee. Also, paying for visitor permits seems unfair when there hasn't been a problem with the parking since I have lived here. I am opposed to the extra cost and paperwork (in applying for permits every year) - it seems unnecessary. In terms of suggestion, I would recommend some communication with the Housing Association, L&Q, who are aware that the car park beneath the building is not full because not all the spaces are used. Rather randomly, some flats have access to one space, some to two spaces, and some to none - if spaces were distributed evenly to the flats then the road would not be used so much by residents.
Cline Road	Object	I have lived at this address for a decade and can't recall ever having a problem parking on Cline Road. The road has become busier over the years, but that doesn't mean a restriction should be put in place whereby residents, that haven't had to pay to park, will suddenly incur an annual parking fee. Also, paying for visitor permits seems unfair when there hasn't been a problem with the parking since I have lived here. I am opposed to the extra cost and paperwork (in applying for permits every year) - it seems unnecessary. In terms of suggestion, I would recommend some communication with the Housing Association, L&Q, who are aware that the car park beneath the building is not full because not all the spaces are used. Rather randomly, some flats have access to one space, some to two spaces, and some to none - if spaces were distributed evenly to the flats then the road would not be used so much by residents.
Cline Road	Object	This is a money making scheme. I already pay enough and I need to feed my children. These consultations never change anything. Officials just go ahead anyway
Cline Road	Object	CPZ not needed. It would complicate our lives. So 'no' but thanks
Cline Road	Object	Strongly object because families with young children need parking space. Restricting visitors is ridiculous. We are not overcrowded and there is no need for CPZ
Lynton Gdns	Object	I write to object to the Proposed extension of Bounds Green CPZ. There is no need to extend the CPZ into our road. We do not experience any problems with parking. I believe this is simply a revenue raising exercise by the council. We will have to pay for doing something we already do without difficulty - parking outside our own house. When consulting residents the council should make clear the charges it would impose on residents for residents' parking permits; you have deliberately withheld this information which is a significant factor. I believe you are obliged to provide this information during the consultation process.
Lynton Gdns	Object	I live in Lynton gardens, not surprised by your revenue raising scheme there is no reason for cpz in this road so why else would be trying to enforce it.. We do not have a parking problem; there is always space to park without your CPZ. So I say NO to the proposed CPZ. Absolutely no need for it...
Lynton Gdns	Object	Keep it as it is. No need for restrictions as there are plenty of places to park. We object to CPZ

Lynton Gdns	Object	object to the extension of Bounds Green Controlled parking. There is always plenty of parking spaces available on our road and in the nearby roads too. I see no reason for controlled parking and for us will create a problem rather than a solution. I don't see any commuters parking on our roads. >> I would support the establishing of a barrier somewhere around Woodfield Way to deter cars and vans going through our peaceful roads instead.
Lynton Gdns	Object	As Woodfield Way raised a petition, then install the CPZ there. No need for CPZ in Lynton Gdns other than as a stealth tax by the council.
Lynton Gdns	Object	We require carer assistance and support from family. There is one DB nearby and I have a blue badge. However it would be a great inconvenience for the carer and home help.
Lynton Gdns	Object	Don't want CPZ controls from 12-2pm when there are no parking problems. In the evenings and overnight, vans, trucks, roofers, gardeners come and park freely. CPZ would not deal with this.
Palace Road	Support	yes to CPZ. I have a car but can now rarely park outside my house & on a week day can find a parking place on my road. The limited parking In the area has pushed load of commuters to leave cars on palace road and also some go on holiday using the tube to Heathrow leaving their car for weeks. We need to extend CRZ to Palace road.
Palace Road	Support	I hope you will be implementing cpz of some sort on the above street as its a murder parking on our rd. You MUST implement otherwise it will be absolutely chaos
Palace Road	Support	"THEY CAN NOT KEEP HOSPITAL OR GP APPOINTMENTS, THEY ARE TO SCARED TO MOVE THE CAR AS IT IS NEARLY IMPOSSIBLE TO FIND A SPACE NEVER MIND OUTSIDE THE DOOR , ANYWHERE ON PALACE RD. 2. THEY CAN NOT ASK A TRADESMEN TO COME ROUND, WHEN THEY DO THEY SAY SORRY CANT PARK, OFFTHEY GO. 3. THEY CANT GO SHOPPING BECUASE THEY CANT CARRY THE HEAVY BAGS KNOWING THERE BE NO PARKING 3. THERE OWN CHILDREN, GRANDCHILDREN WONT VISIT THEM BECUASE OF PARKUNG ISSUES. this must be a priority to the council to take action, we have COMMUTERS, WORKERS, OUT OF AREA RESIDENTS FROM NEIGHBOURING RDS , PEOPLE GOING ON HOLIDAYS , PEOPLE SELLING CARS USING AS A SHOWROOM ETC all parking on Palace Rd. I am hoping and trusting the council will LISTEN to the residents like us who have been there for many years, not tenants who are there for 6 months. We really look forward for this scheme to be actioned asap. Thank you for taking time to read this.
Palace Road	Support	I like to say it's about time something positive is going to happen in Palace Road. We have been suffering for years not being able to park, or move our cars knowing that when we come back to our house we will not find any parking ,due to commuters ,holiday makers, out of area public etc using Palace Rd as a free car park. ABSOLUTELY, categorically say YES .
Palace Road	Support	Road is always over congested with non-locals parking and sometimes bumping our cars without telling us. We need resident permit holders-only very urgently.

Palace Road	Support	1. We cannot get tradesmen ,to carry out any kind of works ,as they refuse to come on that road because they can never find parking 2. My parents can't go shopping ,they need a car as they both late 70s ,they know if they move the car they would never find a space on their return 3. Can't keep doctor or hospital appointments for this very reason 4. My list is endless; this is why cpz is a MUST. Please bear in mind, the road is used by commuters, out of area resident who live nowhere near there. Mini cabs use the road as a rest point , it is SHAMBLES and action must be taken; we are crying out loud for this.
Palace Road	Support	I agree with the proposal to extend the CPZ to my road. My home is on 1 Palace Rd Bounds Green London N11 2PU. I find it extremely difficult to find a space on my road due to commuters and shoppers at Tesco parking on my road. Quite often I have had to park my car on Bailey Close and my car has been vandalised quite a few times when I've parked here. I shall be grateful if you could keep me updated as I am very much in favour of extending the CPZ.
Palace Road	Support	We've campaigned for years. Never any space when we return home. We cannot get builders nor can we go shopping, to the hospital appointments etc., for the same reason. We cannot thank you enough if you implement this.
Palace Road	Support	Go ahead and bring it on. Our road is a car park for commuters and people going to central London
Palace Road	Support	
Palace Road	Support	Palace Rd is used as a free car park for people using Bounds Green Tube. Most cars ar enon-local. In school holiday periods, cars are left for weeks while owners go on holiday. Vans ad lorries park here. Pavements are in a poor state.
Palace Road	Support	Please go ahead and do it. This road is so busy you can't find a parking space
Palace Road	Support	
Palace Road	Support	
Palace Road	Support	Strongly support having a CPZ. Commuters use the road as a parking lot. Thi sis our road and we should park here. Please implement this.
Palace Road	Support	Please can you let me know when you will be implementing the scheme on Palace rd , as this road is paradise for the commuters, out of area residents etc, but I cannot find parking anywhere near my house it has become ridiculous
Palace Road	Support	
Palace Road	Support	A CPZ would stop the road being used as a parking lot by commuters going on to use the trains. Because it's a narrow road, it would be better for Palace Rd to be one-way. - accessible form Bounds Green Road.
Palace Road	Support	Everyone from outside the area parks in Palace Road and there is also aggressive driving from Bailey Close. We desperately need a CPZ so we can park where we live instead of in nearby roads
Palace Road	Support	Lots of people going to work park in our road. Some people leave their cars to go on holiday. Others park for days, leave litter and building waste. We need to make it resident parking only.
Palace Road	Support	Commuters mean I have to park my car far away. In the evenings the road is quite empty
Palace Road	Support	Yes 10000000000 million percent agree , long overdue can't wait

Palace Road	Object	.I am personally opposed to this extension. Part of what attracted me to the property when i first moved in was the parking. I don't like the idea of having to pay for a permit when I already pay rent. However, I do see the benefit to only allowing residents to use the road, as it would eliminate difficulty in parking. However, I still do not feel this is something we should have to pay for as it is a new addition to the road.
Palace Road	Object	
Palace Road	Object	. I am objecting to it as do not want to be included in CPZ.
Palace Road	Object	I don't think we need a CPZ as when my family visit they will not be able to park without paying. I recommend you get your funding form somewhere else.
Palace Road	Object	As we get older it's nice to just park by our home without having to keep applying for parking permits
Palace Road	Object	We have repeatedly rejected a CPZ in Palace Road. Taxing residents to park their cars is unfair. Also you should go house to house to get residents' views. [See Letter]
Palace Road	Object	
Palace Road	Object	[See attached letter]
Palace Road	Object	
Palace Road	Other view	No parking space here. Let's just make it one way streets like Palace and Park roads.
Park Grove	Support	DYL need to be extended outside Park Court. Hobbit removal lorry has been left parked there for over a week. and there's a blind spot for people exiting Park Court. Thsi is an accident waiting to happen
Park Grove	Object	Residents and Visitors permits would cost us hundreds of pounds annually.
Park Grove	Object	I don't want controlled zone on our street I have a car and I never experienced difficulty to find place for park my car so I don't think to nassesory a controlled zone the park road or park grove we are ok with free parking
Park Grove	Object	
Park Road	Support	Agree with CPZ because Park Road is even advertised on online forums as 'the place to park for free' for commuters. There is a school and by having so many cars constantly passing is also polluting the environment and is going to harm the children's health when they are playing outside. It is unfair that the council have introduced CPZ everywhere and in roads even further away from the station and have negligently turned our street in to a car park.
Park Road	Support	10-12 is insufficient. Need at least 8am to 12noon. Bounds Green school is bad in the morning and the road is not safe for anyone
Park Road	Support	With 2 small children I struggle to park anywhere near my house. It is very difficult with children and shopping when I have to park all the way down the road. Plus with the school opposite, there is double parking and pollution from engines left running
Park Road	Support	People park here to get the tube to central London. Displacement parking and parking by commercial vehicles are also problems. We're one of a hand full of roads not in a CPZ. Please give us a CPZ!

Park Road	Support	Fully support the proposed extension to the Bounds Green CPZ. We live on Park Road and have raised the issue with councillors in the past. They confirmed that a petition had been started, but that there was someone in Palace Road who was strongly opposed, so the petition was dropped. Ours is one of the few roads not in the CPZ, and the impact is obvious: ·People park for the day to use the tube. I have often seen this happen when I leave the house in the morning. It adds to traffic and reduces the amount of space for residents to park. ·There has been a big increase in traffic following the expansion of Bounds Green Primary from a two to three form intake ·There are permanently-parked food trailers which both take up space and make the part of the road in front of Springfield Park feel tatty and neglected. I'm really pleased to hear that plans to extend the CPZ are being considered as I've never really understood why, being so near to a tube station, parking on Park Road is not controlled.
Park Road	Support	CPZ should have been introduced many years. Our road has turned in to a car park for commuters. Around two years ago, we could not find any space on our road to park between Monday- Friday and now, we cannot find parking spaces on weekends too. Countless times, we see people parking their cars on our street, taking suitcases out of their car boots and then disappearing for weeks on end. How is this acceptable? It is not! We feel as though the council are neglecting us. All roads in the local area have it and this is making all commuters come to Park and Palace Road. This CPZ should be introduced as quickly as possible- it is a good tax: it will help both the residents and help the council to fund more public services. I would prefer the CPZ to be from Monday- Sunday, 8am- 6pm, but I understand one of the main reasons for CPZ is to stop commuters and people going on holiday, so we fully support your proposed operational hours.
Park Road	Support	CPZ would be more effective if its hours were extended
Park Road	Support	Vans are left parked outside my house- blocking the view. They use this street for free parking. This is unacceptable
Park Road	Support	
Park Road	Support	A trailer van has been left parked here for nearly three years. It's an orange coloured 'Trixies Tornado Chips' opposite nos 51/53. No road tax etc.
Park Road	Object	I received the letter about the CPZs and I would like to say that I wouldn't want this area to become a CPZ and then require a permit.
Park Road	Object	We just can't afford to pay for VPs
The Drive	Support	Too many cars park in the area
The Drive	Support	Cars often left all day opposite the rose garden. These are obviously commuters using Bounds Green station. CPZ would be useful to deter them
The Drive	Support	The Drive is a narrow road and difficult for vehicles to pass (including refuse lorries and the emergency services. We need CPZ
The Drive	Object	
The Drive	Object	It is fine as it is. We can park near home.
The Drive	Object	I nd strongly oppose the extension of CPZ onto the Drive. It is not needed. Nos 1-10 have off street parking and there is nowhere to park on that stretch of the road. From No12-31 most residents have off street parking so it is not a problem We have not experienced any problems with parking on our stretch of The Drive. We do not need or want CPZ so please do not include The Drive.

The Drive	Object	Many houses have driveways so not possible to park along that part. CPZ not needed on The Drive
The Drive	Object	Not use enough to have the CPZ extended. I have a blue badge
The Drive	Object	A CPZ would stop our carers and visitors (we are elderly). It would be a major problem for us. Please don't allow this project to go ahead
The Drive	Object	We have elderly people here who need carers and visitors. They cannot afford to pay for VPs
The Drive	Object	Parking is sufficient for residents and guests. We are not near tube station or shops so no need for CPZ. Our household objects to this proposal
The Drive	Object	Most houses have driveways for their own cars. We do not want restrictive parking for visitors because the majority of residents are elderly, frail, and need care support from family and friends
The Drive	Object	(a) Residents of The Drive do not have a problem with parking for their own cars nor for their visitors' cars. A number of residents have made parking space in their front gardens, and the remaining street parking is sufficient for other residents, and occasional visiting tradespeople, delivery vehicles, any carers etc. (b) Displacement parking from other roads which may be included in an extended CPZ, is unlikely to affect The Drive, as it is not near enough to Bounds Green Tube, nor Overground railways to be convenient for commuters nor shoppers and has not been used in this way up to the present time.
Torrington Gdns	Support	is in favour of extending the Bounds Green CPZ, including to Woodfield Way. Our main concern is how to manage the spill over effect from this. At present Torrington Gardens is not affected by commuters using Bounds Green tube station. There is a small industrial estate off of Cline Road, and some employees of the businesses there park on Torrington Gardens during the day, but this does not seem to inconvenience residents of the street. Our worry is that if you extend the CPZ further out from Bounds Green station, people who currently park in what will be the new CPZ will then park in streets like ours. Therefore, we, too, would have to be included in the new CPZ boundaries, although at present there is no need for this. If we are included in the new zone, we would need to pay for parking permits in order to meet the costs of administering the zone
Torrington Gdns	Support	We definitely support this as employees from the factory in Cline Road park in our road
Torrington Gdns	Object	
Torrington Gdns	Object	I totally object to this because as resident I never have any difficulty finding a place to park in my road so the charge seem just to serve as an extra revenue for the council. There does not seem to be a need for it and only a cost to the residence. The only time the street is busy to park, is in the evening when people return from work so clearly there is not a commuter problem. Also it would make deliveries more difficult.
Torrington Gdns	Object	We think this would be an unnecessary expense as we are too far from the tube for commuters to park here
Torrington Gdns	Object	While there is congestion, most are residents and I can't see how a CPZ could help. It would also cost us to park. Therefore I definitely object to being included.
Torrington Gdns	Object	We don't really think a CPZ is necessary and we'd prefer not to have to pay £80 / year to park by our own house
Torrington Gdns	Object	No current issues

Woodfield Way	Support	Vehicles parking in the Woodfield Way part of Durnsford Rd make it difficult to exit one's driveway. A CPZ is needed
Woodfield Way	Support	I am in favour of a CPZ parking scheme for the Bounds green area to be extended to Woodfield Way. I hope that in this way, commuter traffic is reduced and parking is made easier for residents. The bus services are really good to Bounds Green, and people should be encouraged to use these.
Woodfield Way	Support	There are cars and vans abandoned in Woodfield Way for months on end, with some of them not even being able to get started when their owners decide to come and drive them away. There was one particular case of a white van that was parked where Gordon Road meets Woodfield Way for 18 months without moving! These cars that are parked for long periods without them moving attract a lot of car crime in our street with cars broken into or vandalised. It was only a week ago that a Toyota had its catalyser stolen opposite our house. There are also owners that bring their untaxed vehicles in our secluded road in the knowledge that the chances of them being caught are slim. All this extra parking from non-residents makes it very difficult for us residents to find parking when we come back from work. It is for the reasons given above that I support a CPZ in Woodfield Way.
Woodfield Way	Support	Support proposal for controlled parking in Bounds Green. I have a disabled relative at Woodfield House, There are elders in residence there who can only go out in wheelchairs or use Dial a Ride. I find it extremely difficult to park outside or near to Woodfield House as non-residents use this area to park their cars before walking to Bounds Green Station. When addressing the controlled parking, can you please consider placing an invalid parking space immediately outside Woodfield House, Woodfield Way. My relative holds a blue badge. This will greatly assist Doctors and Carer's visits. This would also enable family members to park easily to collect their relatives. I look forward with interest regarding your findings and whether a DB can be placed outside Woodfield House.
Woodfield Way	Support	Since moving into the area, we have had vans and other vehicles abandoned on Cline Road and residents had to wait for months for them to be removed. We have also noticed that commuters who do not live locally, will park on our streets to walk to Bounds Green Tube station so they can commute to London. We hope the proposed extension of the Bounds Green CPZ will go ahead.
Woodfield Way	Support	. I really want to stress how much CPZ is needed in our street. We are suffering terribly from commuter cars, vans and people going on holiday for weeks parking and making it impossible for residents to park. For instance, a large white van has been parked, without moving, near my house for well over a month now. Crime has gone up considerably in the area in recent years and large vans parked block anyone seeing what is going on in the house behind it. Woodfield Way is a square surrounding Scout Park. The portion I live in is most affected as it is nearest Bounds Green tube station (Nos 47-61 with 124-164 on the opposite side of the road). I am concerned that the people on the upper side of the square have not been so affected and may possibly vote against CPZ - if that is the case, could our portion of the road get CPZ as I am sure most people will support it? So, to summarise, I am strongly in favour of CPZ for our area.
Woodfield Way	Support	Please implement scheme and also repaint and enforce the DYL on the dangerous blind corner.
Woodfield Way	Support	

Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	Partner has a blue badge but there are limited spaces on our side of the road. There is one nearby but that is usually taken
Woodfield Way	Support	Woodfield Way is a free car park for vans, campers and cars that don't move for months
Woodfield Way	Support	we wish to SUPPORT the CPZ.
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	Support the extension of the proposed CPZ. I am concerned that the greater part of the area is not adversely affected by the unrestricted parking, but for those of us who live on this leg of Woodfield Way there is very limited available parking.
Woodfield Way	Support	Commuters and overspill take up all spaces. Please include a pay & display section at the beginning of Woodfield Way
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	With non-residents that park in Woodfield Way for weeks on end, without moving their vehicles at all, It is very difficult for residents with children to park anywhere near their properties. I pick up a child from school 3 times a week and coming back home sometimes I have to park more than 100 yards from my house because I can find nowhere nearer to park. Most spaces are taken up by non residents.
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	My reasons for supporting are: 1. There has been an increase of drug dealing from cars, this has been noted on two parts of the road. Fewer cars on Woodfield Way would make drug dealers cars more visible and may therefore encourage them to move. 2. At the moment Woodfield Way is a car park for Heathrow Airport. Cars have been left for up to 4 weeks in one case whilst owners have departed the vehicles and wheeled suitcases towards the tube. 3. Cars left for other reasons. A white Mercedes has just been moved from outside number 160 after being left there for five months. In that time it had not moved. This not isolated and at the moment there are two large vans and a VW Up in the vicinity of my house which never or rarely move. 4. There has been a marked increase in work vehicles left in the road by non-residents. I have had the misfortune to have had a large white van parked outside over Christmas and New Year. It was the only thing I could see out of the windows unless I stood directly in front of the window. 5. A visitor had to park his vehicle on the diagonally opposite corner (the nearest space) as there was no immediate parking to my house. His vehicle was broken into and was too far away to hear the alarm.

Woodfield Way	Support	I fully SUPPORT the council's intention to introduce a CPZ in my street. Some of these reasons are as follows - ·Woodfield Way is sited between 2 other CPZ's (Durnsford Road and Gordon Road), which has lead to residents of these roads parking their vehicles in Woodfield Way to avoid paying CPZ fees. ·As it is the only road in the immediate area within easy distance of Bounds Green Tube Station without a CPZ, the street has daily commuters using it to park, this fact has been opening advertised on varying social media platforms and apps. ·Additionally, mainly during school holiday periods, vehicles are left for between 1-4 weeks whilst families go away on holiday, typically catching the train to Heathrow Airport. ·The street also suffers from vehicles being abandoned or hidden, due to them not having any Road Tax or have been stolen, so therefore have a lower chance of being detected or found, due to no CPZ. ·Many residents with their own driveways, have had to have vehicles removed by the council, due to illegal parking on drop curbs or white lines. ·Due to the lack of resident parking, when delivers or tradesmen attend, quite often they are forced to park in the middle of the road to make their delivers or drop off materials. This causes the road to become blocked and causes issues with other traffic movements. ·Quite often visiting vehicles will fly tip their rubbish all over the road, so making our living environment less pleasant. ·The street has suffered a significant increase in both house burglaries and vehicle crime, with one of my immediate neighbours, an elderly lady having had her house broken into 3 times in the past 2 years. One of the most common questions that is asked by our local policing is "did we notice any out of place vehicles or suspicious people". As you can imagine, this is very difficult to identify with the constant flow of unknown vehicles and people in the area. ·More recently, the street is being used by a fleet of private taxis (possibly Uber), as a parking/holding area, with 4-5 of these vehicles parked up at any given time of the day. ·When Scouts Park is in full use, typically during school holidays, quiet often the road is used as an over-spill car park for minibuses and other vehicles.
Woodfield Way	Support	Support CPZ because road is used by commuters going to the tube station. They could easily use public transport to access the tube station
Woodfield Way	Support	I vote SUPPORT for the proposed 10-12 noon on Mon to Fri for Woodfield Way.
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	I am in favour of the proposed CPZ
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	Need to ensure that multicar houses (one neighbour has 4 vehicles!) have permits for every car, otherwise parking will still be a problem
Woodfield Way	Support	CPZ would reduce risk of burglaries, fly-tipping, drug dealing, accidents. Would also tackle obstructive parking which happens at present

Woodfield Way	Support	support for the proposed CPZ in Woodfield Way. Our reasons mainly concern our neighbours at the bottom of the road, who find it very difficult to park their cars during the day. People from other areas leave their cars there either to avoid other CPZ's or to go to Bounds Green tube station. Woodfield Way, as you will be aware, has been divided with those (like us) who live at the top of the road voting against and those at the bottom voting in favour. We now believe that we should all vote in favour for the sake of those nearer the tube station. There are other issues - people abandoning their cars here for weeks/months at a time and people working on their cars and taking up space with a car that is not used. We hope that this will not lead to families paving over their front gardens, since this is bad for the environment, bad for water drainage and ruins the look of the area. I hope you will pass this message on to the relevant department in Haringey council.
Woodfield Way	Support	Woodfield Way is being used as a car park - vans left for days / weeks. Especially bad on the south side closest to Bounds Green tube station.
Woodfield Way	Support	We're surrounded by CPZs and the road is used for parking by non-residents. We and our visitors often can't find parking space. A CPZ is necessary for Woodfield Way
Woodfield Way	Support	I support the proposed measure to extend the cpz to Woodfield Way for 2 main reasons: 1] more and more houses are turning their front gardens into carparks which is bad for the environment, and also very ugly 2] it is very difficult to park in Woodfield Way during the working week One suggestion would be to only cover half of Woodfield Way with a CPZ if the vote is split as it was last time.
Woodfield Way	Support	
Woodfield Way	Support	I support the proposed extension of the CPZ to cover my home 55 Woodfield way N112NR. However, I strongly feel that residents have been forced to support this CPZ in order to stop commuters for the station parking in our streets, but are being penalised by doing so by having to purchase a permit to park outside our homes. The alternative would be destroying front gardens in favour of concrete driveways. I would suggest 1 permit per household being granted, with further permits being chargeable. I look forward to hearing the outcome of this consultation.
Woodfield Way	Support	A large motor home parked in Woodfield Way stops residents parking. Your attention to this is needed
Woodfield Way	Support	

Woodfield Way	Support	<p>I am in complete 100 percent support for a CPZ for Woodfield Way for the following reasons: Since 2011 when I voted in support of CPZ but but did not go through , (so disappointed) we have suffered the following: ·increased cars and vans and traffic searching and finding free parking so that they can commute from Bounds Green tube ·increased security risks : burglary crime, some residents broken into several times and vehicle crime for eg. stealing catalytic converters from cars in daylight hours ·increased parking on Woodfield Way for various ' business' activities eg. open drug dealing ·being situated between 2 CPZ's, residents from those roads using Woodfield Way to park up free to avoid paying for a parking permit ·increase in abandoned / hidden cars ·car and vans static for many weeks at a time ; going to Heathrow on holiday ? ·being used a holding area for a fleet of taxis ·Woodfield Way being openly advertised on social media as a road for free parking therefore residents unable to park outside or close to their homes ·speeding traffic and environmental degradation from polluting fumes - parking up and letting their engines run whilst static i.e. for answering mobiles/ doing business etc ·dangers to wildlife eg we have a small group of hedgehogs who cross the road ·an increase in fly tipping - costly to the council to clear up ·increased rubbish in gutters from car owners emptying their garbage onto the street, costly to the council. I completely support Haringey installing a CPZ in Woodfield Way for both crime reduction and environmental reasons and essential to comply with the ULEZ to try to stop commuters coming from across the North Circular to park in Woodfield Way</p>
Woodfield Way	Support	<p>Please put in CPZ. I am sick of commuters and holiday makers using this road as a car park (for Bounds Green tube). Commuters litter the road and there's been an increase in crime. Please put in CPZ ASAP.</p>
Woodfield Way	Support	

Woodfield Way	Object	<p>I write as a commuter living in Brunswick Park, N11, who currently uses the free parking on either side of Bounds Green Road in order to then utilise Public Transport into work. As such I would understand that I am exactly the type of road user that you are seeking to discourage through this scheme but I hope you will allow my voice to be heard anyway as a concerned road user. I have tried to find further information about this on your website (a map of proposed controls or a detailed rationale for the proposal) but, apart from a passing reference in your letter to 'requests from various roads for CPZ controls - including a petition from Woodfield Way', I have not been able to find this. (I would be grateful if you could indicate in your outcome report of responses precisely what investigations you have carried out of parking in the area before launching this consultation.) In the absence of such information I can only respond with general points objecting to the extension of the CPZ for the following reasons 1) I do not believe there is a serious problem with parking in the area immediately surrounding the current CPZ. Having paid particular attention to this in the time since I became aware of this consultation I have observed that both in the mornings (around 8am) and in the evenings (around 7pm) there is nearly always space available in the vicinity of the boundary at both ends of Woodfield Way. With regards particularly to Woodfield Way I note that in several areas there is only housing on one side of the road (being adjacent to what I understand is a Scout Park) or, in respect of the part of Woodfield Way between Gordon Road and Durnsford Rd runs along the side of the houses rather than the frontages. I also note that there are a significant number of off-road driveways in this area, meaning that the parking does not need to be restricted to the residents of the road in question but can be quite reasonably be shared with the general public. 2) Even if there is seen to be a requirement to extend the current CPZ beyond the current boundaries, the extent to which you are suggesting the expansion is excessive. The North-Western side of the current CPZ runs 300m along Bounds Green Road from Bounds Green Station to Passmore Gardens, your proposal to expand this as far as Cline Rd extends this for a further 360m - more than doubling the distance on this side of the station. If I find it dubious there is a parking issue in the roads immediately surrounding</p>
Woodfield Way	Object	<p>The petition claims that there has been a significant increase in parking in Woodfield Way as a result of the establishment of CPZs in neighbouring areas. Although there has been an increase in parking since the establishment of a CPZ along Passmore Gardens, I would not call it significant. Nor does that increase appear to affect residents' ability to park. I say this for the following reasons: - The vast majority of houses on Woodfield Way have off-street parking. The petition states that vehicles are being hidden in Woodfield Way because they are not taxed or do not have a valid MOT certificate. As tax discs no longer have to be displayed and MOT certificates never had to be displayed, this assertion would appear to be speculative or anecdotal.The Petition mentions that commercial vehicles are using Woodfield Way as a car park. The vast majority of commercial vehicles visiting the area are delivery vans of various sizes which are delivering goods to residents and remain in the area only for a short while.</p>

Woodfield Way	Object	object to the proposed extension of the Bounds Green CPZ. Previous attempts to introduce a CPZ led to the detriment of the character of Woodfield Way as front gardens were replaced with large swathes of paved driveways. Introduction of an actual CPZ is only going to lead to more paving over of gardens. The loss of gardens is having a major environmental impact with loss of habitat for bees and insects. In addition, the road will be scarred with street clutter and road markings related to the CPZ. There is a problem of parking near the station and near the Bounds Green industrial estate but extending over such a large area as proposed is not required. There is not the same parking problem on the west and south side of Woodfield Way. The whole of Woodfield Way does not have to be included in the same way not all of Durnsford Road is within the current CPZ. It is sad that some residents have resorted to praying on people's fears of crime and road safety with exaggerated claims of the virtues of a CPZ.
Woodfield Way	Object	Waste of money. Parking not bad. Problematic for visiting friends and relatives who stay while visiting London
Woodfield Way	Object	Another tax. Unsightly street furniture. We are being encouraged to abandon cars and use public transport / walk. Many families have 2 or 3 cars. Freeing up spaces will encourage that
Woodfield Way	Object	I don't want to pay this extra fee and don't want the inconvenience of visitors not being able to park without paying. A two hour CPZ means people can still park after 12pm. Commuters need somewhere to park and I am pleased to share my road space with them
Woodfield Way	Object	
Woodfield Way	Object	I do NOT support an extension of the Bounds Green CPZ to include Woodfield Way. I do not consider it necessary at all, because most of the arguments that have been brought up by people who support it are largely baseless. If the council were to decide to implement it, the zone should not include the significant swathes of road that are not built up, i.e. those sections that are adjacent to the scout park.
Woodfield Way	Object	
Woodfield Way	Object	
Woodfield Way	Object	
Woodfield Way	Object	to strongly object to the proposed extension to Bounds Green CPZ, particularly in relation to Woodfield Way. Woodfield Way borders the Scout Park and there are long stretches of the road with houses on one side only. This means there is ample extra parking space available. Happened in other roads where a CPZ has been introduced and will happen here is that many people immediately dig up their front garden to provide parking and thereby avoid the charge. This is environmentally damaging in terms of drainage (run-off) and in changes to the general appearance and character of the road. There is very little appetite overall for this in the majority of Woodfield Way. The so-called petition was the work of one zealous and obsessive individual who submitted us to a constant barrage of leaflets (practically daily at one point) and still only managed to get a handful of signatures.

Woodfield Way	Object	Not necessary. There is no problem with parking in much of the area. We object to the environmental damage caused by CPZ when residents pave over their front gardens to avoid paying for permits. This leads to water run-off and loss of habitat for wildlife. Also depreciates the value of properties because the general appearance deteriorates.
Woodfield Way	Object	Road is OK as it is now.
Woodfield Way	Object	CPZ not needed at all.
Woodfield Way	Object	I would like to say no to the proposed CPZ.
Woodfield Way	Object	CPZ too expensive for residents and will not solve the problem. Our road is not congested and there are plenty of spaces in front of our house.
Woodfield Way	Object	I have no problems parking here
Woodfield Way	Object	Are you going to keep asking us? We don't want a CPZ so please accept it and stop wasting our time
Woodfield Way	Object	I have 2 cars - no problem parking
Woodfield Way	Object	This was considered by residents recently. Why another consultation?
Woodfield Way	Object	CPZ not useful to residents and too restrictive. I don't support it
Woodfield Way	Object	We don't have a problem parking
Woodfield Way	Object	If CPZ is emissions-based then you should heavily penalise additional vehicles but make the first one free. There are plenty of spaces on our stretch of the road
Woodfield Way	Object	STRONGLY OBJECT to this proposal on the grounds that it is totally unnecessary to have a CPZ in Woodfield Way, where there is always adequate parking. My attached photos are proof of this - taken now at a time when such parking restrictions proposed would be operative. I challenge any of you to come and see, yourselves. Being a retiree, I cannot afford extra expenses for no reason - particularly when we already pay such high Council Tax - I expect Councillors to understand this view, or is this just a money-grabbing exercise? [See photos]
Woodfield Way	Object	Parking is OK. It is well known that a group have petitioned the council because they are willing to pay to keep commuters away and park by their houses. We cannot afford this luxury
Woodfield Way	Object	No benefits to homeowners - council makes money from VP
Not stated	Object	It would be great if you could provide free parking for at least 2 cars per household. All that happens with CPZs is that parking problems are moved to nearby streets
Not stated	Object	we have had no issues with parking our cars , neither has any one else in this postcode , my neighbours and i have gone around a while back when there was a proposal for the cpz to get votes on our thoughts on it , and everyone was happy to leave it how it was , and that proved to be the correct decision because nothing changed after that and were are doing the same again now to make sure everyone shares there view on keeping it this way , this is something that clearly works for us and has not been problem so trying to change something that is not broken may lead to issues which is not of any benefit to anyone so please take all this into consideration
223	223	223