

From: Linda Alliston
Sent: 03 November 2011 14:19
To: LDF
Subject: Pinkham Way - core strategy re-consultation

- I object to the re-designation as It is not based on robust or credible evidence, There is no evidence that Haringey considered whether this was the most appropriate strategy against alternatives, is not consistent with national policy: PPS 9 is the overarching framework in which policies should be developed - particularly para 9, which states that networks of natural habitats provide a valuable resource. This proposal flies in the face of Haringey's Biodiversity policy
- It does not accord with Regional Policy: See The London Plan in particular Policy 7 (7.14 and 7.18-7.21)
- It is not deliverable: The LSIS designation is only deliverable if the Grade 1 Borough Importance for Nature designation is removed or substantially compromised. The Council's own additional evidence points out in relation to the Friern Barnet site in particular that any development on the site has potential to have biodiversity impacts because it is a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (p6 of Hyder Addendum SA). The bigger the development the bigger the impact.

This planet is in severe difficulty – the future is extraordinarily grim – we spend a lot of time telling the developing world not to follow in our footsteps of environmental damage yet carry on regardless in putting development over conservation of our limited number of sites

Sites of importance for nature conservation should be at the top of the list for protection.

Thanks
Linda Alliston

