

Public Consultation Analysis 4 March 2020 Fortis Green North Possible CPZ

The public consultation commenced 8 February 2020 and closed 3 March..

Q2 Is it difficult to park in your road

		Count	%
Is it difficult to park in your road?	Yes	65	15%
	No	247	57%
	Sometimes	125	29%
	Total	437	100%

Q3 Parking problems

		Count	%
Parking issues	Commuter parking	74	17%
	Multicar households	94	22%
	Trade vans / campers	110	26%
	Displacement from nearby CPZs	75	18%
	Shop customers / visitors	37	9%
	Shop / business staff	33	8%
	Other non-local vehicles	116	27%
	No problems	207	49%

Traffic Management
Level 1S River Park House
225 High Road
Wood Green
London N22 8HQ

020 8489 1000

www.haringey.gov.uk

Q4. Should your road be in a CPZ?

		Count	%
Should your road be in a CPZ?	Yes	94	22%
	No	343	78%
	Total	437	100%

Q4 Support by Road

		Should your road be in a CPZ?			
		Yes		No	
		Count	Row %	Count	Row %
Road name	Barrenger Rd 111	1	4%	23	96%
	Beech Drive 44	14	58%	10	42%
	Coldfall Ave 38	1	8%	12	92%
	Colney Hatch La 0	0	0%	7	100%
	Coppetts Rd 103	1	6%	16	94%
	Creighton Ave 141	16	36%	29	64%
	Eastwood Rd 22	0	0%	9	100%
	Everington Rd 43	0	0%	5	100%
	Greenham Rd 108	3	7%	43	93%
	Hill Rd 90	1	8%	11	92%
	Marriott Rd 52	2	18%	9	82%
	Osier Crescent 257	4	9%	41	91%
	Pages Hill 107	1	3%	29	97%
	Pages Lane 54	2	10%	19	90%
	Ringwood Ave 51	21	58%	15	42%
	Steeds Rd 97	1	3%	30	97%
	Tetherdown 141	13	50%	13	50%
	Twyford Ave 93	10	38%	16	62%
	Burlington Rd 16	3	75%	1	25%
	Nelson Mandela Cl 26	0	0%	5	100%
	Total	94	22%	343	78%

Roads which show some significant support for CP controls are: **Beech Drive, Ringwood Avenue, and Tetherdown (southern sector).**

Q5 Operating Days

		Count	%
If yes, what days should apply?	Mon-Fri	65	63%
	Mon- Sat	23	22%
	All week	15	15%
	Total	103	100%

Q7 Operating Hours

		Count	%
If yes, what operating hours should apply?	Four hours e.g.10am-2pm	24	16%
	All day & evenings e.g. to 9pm	10	7%
	All day e.g. 8am- 6.30pm	45	30%
	Two hours e.g 10am-12noon	73	48%
	Total	152	100%

Q6 If no, would you reconsider if neighbouring roads wanted controls?

		Count	%
If no to a CPZ, would you reconsider if neighbouring road...	Yes	66	19%
	No	273	81%
	Total	339	100%

		If no to a CPZ, would you reconsider if neighbouring road...			
		Yes		No	
		Count	Row %	Count	Row %
Road name	Barrenger Rd 111	2	9%	20	91%
	Beech Drive 44	3	30%	7	70%
	Coldfall Ave 38	4	33%	8	67%
	Colney Hatch La 0	1	14%	6	86%
	Coppetts Rd 103	5	31%	11	69%
	Creighton Ave 141	6	21%	23	79%
	Eastwood Rd 22	0	0%	8	100%
	Everington Rd 43	1	20%	4	80%
	Greenham Rd 108	3	7%	38	93%
	Hill Rd 90	1	9%	10	91%
	Marriott Rd 52	1	11%	8	89%
	Osier Crescent 257	8	20%	33	80%
	Pages Hill 107	2	7%	26	93%
	Pages Lane 54	5	26%	14	74%
	Ringwood Ave 51	5	31%	11	69%
	Steeds Rd 97	5	17%	25	83%
	Tetherdown 141	5	38%	8	62%
	Twyford Ave 93	6	38%	10	63%
	Burlington Rd 16	1	100%	0	0%
	Nelson Mandela Cl 26	2	40%	3	60%
	Total	66	19%	273	81%

Comments from respondents

Road name	Should your road be in a CPZ?	Comments
Barrenger Rd 111	Yes	Parents etc from local schools drive in to park here
Barrenger Rd 111	No	
Barrenger Rd 111	No	
Barrenger Rd 111	No	There is pressure for spaces in the evening but it works OK. No need for CPZ here
Barrenger Rd 111	No	Stop trying to make money out of us. Can you please mend the potholes in Barrenger and Coppets Wood roads
Barrenger Rd 111	No	
Barrenger Rd 111	No	
Barrenger Rd 111	No	No parking problems whatsoever in local roads, so we definitely don't need a CPZ
Barrenger Rd 111	No	
Barrenger Rd 111	No	Obstructive parking is more of a problem
Barrenger Rd 111	No	CPZ should be free and if put in, residents should be able to have it removed
Barrenger Rd 111	No	There is enough parking space outside homes in Barrenger Rd. Don't need CPZ
Barrenger Rd 111	No	I think we manage well as things are. People park reasonably and I don't think a CPZ is needed
Barrenger Rd 111	No	I have an electric car but no driveway so it would be great to have a charger. Parking is not a problem
Barrenger Rd 111	No	CPZ would cost money
Beech Drive 44	Yes	Very difficult to get in/out of my driveway because of parking congestion and because my driveway and the kerb are no aligned. Road is much easier at the weekend without all the commuters
Beech Drive 44	Yes	
Beech Drive 44	Yes	
Beech Drive 44	Yes	This would stop the tendency of people leavign abandoned cars in front of my house. I have reported 2 of these and now there is a third one.
Beech Drive 44	Yes	Since other CPZs came in, people park in this road to go to the local station and others leave cars here for days
Beech Drive 44	Yes	Beech Drive is busy with parked cars during the week. Some of this is displacement form East Finchley because our road is the closest uncontrolled road to East Finchley tube station
Beech Drive 44	Yes	
Beech Drive 44	Yes	Non local cars park here for schools in Creighton Ave and for Coldfall Woods
Beech Drive 44	Yes	
Beech Drive 44	Yes	Situation is terrible since CPZ in Church Vale. The road is now dangerous
Beech Drive 44	No	We don't need a CPZ. If one is forced on us then just have 1 hour on weekdays
Beech Drive 44	No	Not needed as everyone has large driveways for multicars

Beech Drive 44	No	
Beech Drive 44	No	
Beech Drive 44	No	Penalties are too high for single offences. Should be a sliding scale for multiple offenders
Beech Drive 44	No	Totally unnecessary
Beech Drive 44	No	
Beech Drive 44	No	
Beech Drive 44	No	
Coldfall Ave 38	No	ain problem is the school run and teachers parking here
Coldfall Ave 38	No	We have no issues with parking and don't want to pay for a CPZ
Coldfall Ave 38	No	
Coldfall Ave 38	No	Stop all the school run parking
Coldfall Ave 38	No	
Coldfall Ave 38	No	
Coldfall Ave 38	No	
Coldfall Ave 38	No	We're very concerned that our wishes won't be respected
Coldfall Ave 38	No	
Colney Hatch La 0	No	All this would do is ruin local businesses. There are always spaces to park so the only reason for a CPZ is council greed
Colney Hatch La 0	No	
Colney Hatch La 0	No	
Colney Hatch La 0	No	The proposed FGN CPZ is unnecessary as the area is away from stations and shops. It would be a problem for parents who have to travel to work after dropping off their children at school
Coppetts Rd 103	Yes	There's space for a Bikehangar at corner with Pages Lane - or at corner of Eastwood / Coppetts
Coppetts Rd 103	No	You would only do this in order to make money
Coppetts Rd 103	No	
Coppetts Rd 103	No	I'm disabled and taxis can't see my gate because builders and teachers park outside
Coppetts Rd 103	No	
Coppetts Rd 103	No	Please leave as is
Coppetts Rd 103	No	Please reinstate the pavement parking taken away when the pavement was repaired. There is no need for CPZ which would cost us more than council tax
Coppetts Rd 103	No	No room outside for EVCP but there is space opposite
Coppetts Rd 103	No	
Coppetts Rd 103	No	Not near tube, so no need for CPZ. Currently, new homes are being built on Osier Crescent and building workers are driving there and parking on side roads nearby
Coppetts Rd 103	No	No parking problems here. Just a money-making scheme like Ally Pally. It has been a joy to live and park here freely and I don't want this changed.
Coppetts Rd 103	No	
Coppetts Rd 103	No	
Coppetts Rd 103	No	CPZ is another way of making money for the council!
Coppetts Rd 103	No	Not needed. Too much stress for us and visitors

Creighton Ave 141	Yes	Severe congestion because of the school (incl Persian school) at Fortismere. Need CPZ to reduce pollution as well as congestion as cars sit with engine idling outside our house
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	Problems confined to school run
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	Cars often are left parked for weeks outside our house. Also large commercial vehicles park outside and obstruct the entrance to Church Vale. Lots of children walk to school (Fortismere and Eden) and this is potentially dangerous.
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	If it is put in then it will need proper enforcement
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	Parking is very difficult at times and we don't have off-street parking so we would welcome a CPZ
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	10am to 2pm would stop commuter parking
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	School drop off and pick up is main problem
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	Impossible to park between 2 - 4pm because of the schools. I hope a CPZ will be put in soon!
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	School traffic am to 9am and 2pm to 4pm causes massive problems
Creighton Ave 141	Yes	With 2 adjacent schools and a Saturday Persian school, parking is never available for residents and their visitors. People coming to the schools ignore all parking restrictions, so enforcement of the CPZ would be a priority.
Creighton Ave 141	No	
Creighton Ave 141	No	
Creighton Ave 141	No	We don't need a CPZ here
Creighton Ave 141	No	
Creighton Ave 141	No	Trade vans park overnight. I would only support a CPZ which operated from 5pm to 8pm.
Creighton Ave 141	No	The only problem is parents parking in front of driveways for the school runs. This does not justify a CPZ, as the parents move when asked.
Creighton Ave 141	No	Would only reconsider with a consultation. Generally we don't have any parking problems which would justify a CPZ on our road.
Creighton Ave 141	No	I'd really like Bikehangar cycle storage
Creighton Ave 141	No	Your map is inaccurate there are no controls on the Barnet section of Creighton Ave. The CPZ would likely cause displacement to that area
Creighton Ave 141	No	Only problems are at school run times but the situation soon improves as cars leave quickly. There is no reason for CPZ
Creighton Ave 141	No	CPZ is totally unnecessary
Creighton Ave 141	No	Bikehangars look too industrial - would spoil the simplicity of the avenue. EVCPs look like petrol pumps. and would attract non-local cars to park for hours
Creighton Ave 141	No	
Creighton Ave 141	No	
Creighton Ave 141	No	Main issue is school term time with inconsiderate parents blocking driveways morning and evening drop off and pick-up times.
Creighton Ave 141	No	CPZ is not needed, but an EVCP would be a useful addition on this street
Creighton Ave 141	No	Only issue is the school run when parents park in front of driveways

Creighton Ave 141	No	School times mean no parking available from 3-4pm
Creighton Ave 141	No	This is a road of houses but now Haringey has given permission for 9 new flats on a plot for one house. The council needs more joined up thinking instead of allowing developers to do anything to make more money through inappropriate building
Creighton Ave 141	No	The road is quite good for parking and there's no need for any change
Creighton Ave 141	No	
Creighton Ave 141	No	
Creighton Ave 141	No	Difficult when schools are open because of parents and staff parkign obstructively. But I don't want CPZ, only for the 3 schools to manage their staff and parents!
Creighton Ave 141	No	Main problem is Saturday afternoon when parents and children attending Persian school obstruct driveways and also DYL. Generally no problem with parents of Fortismere and Eden schools
Eastwood Rd 22	No	
Eastwood Rd 22	No	There is no problem with parking, but a CPZ would create problems
Eastwood Rd 22	No	
Eastwood Rd 22	No	CPZ would be an additional tax with minimal benefit
Eastwood Rd 22	No	Some parking stress from residents and from Our Lady of Muswell Hill primary school, and events at the synagogue
Eastwood Rd 22	No	
Eastwood Rd 22	No	Not wanted. Just a means of extracting money from residents and causing administrative hassle.
Eastwood Rd 22	No	We're not near shops or a station. Only busy times are school drop-off and pick up
Everington Rd 43	No	
Everington Rd 43	No	
Everington Rd 43	No	Ample parking space here. Biggest issue is dog waste
Everington Rd 43	No	Controls make life complicated and stressful for residents and visitors. There is currently no need for CPZ around Coldfall estate. Dropped kerbs on Wilton, Creighton, and Coppetts severely reduce parking space.
Greenham Rd 108	Yes	
Greenham Rd 108	Yes	
Greenham Rd 108	No	Speed controls needed on Colney Hatch Lane and Greenham Rd also zebra crossing in CHL
Greenham Rd 108	No	
Greenham Rd 108	No	NO CPZ!!
Greenham Rd 108	No	No CPZ thanks!
Greenham Rd 108	No	No need for this money-making scheme. Traffic calming is the priority for Greenham Rd
Greenham Rd 108	No	Need more speed control signs, or make this road one-way! Thank you.
Greenham Rd 108	No	The road is narrow so vehicles can't pass unless there is free space. Main problem time is 5pm - 7pm. A one way east to west would help this.
Greenham Rd 108	No	CPZ completely unnecessary
Greenham Rd 108	No	There's very little parking by non-residents so I see no reason for a CPZ - which would only cause inconvenience

Greenham Rd 108	No	CPZ not required. There is ample parking available. Parking by non-residents is not a problem here
Greenham Rd 108	No	Road occasionally gets busy but it is rare not to find a space
Greenham Rd 108	No	No need for controlled parking
Greenham Rd 108	No	
Greenham Rd 108	No	Parking is not a problem. A one-way system would be good to stop issues with passing cars
Greenham Rd 108	No	
Greenham Rd 108	No	I hope this is a genuine consultation and that our views will be listened to
Greenham Rd 108	No	Not needed or necessary
Greenham Rd 108	No	There are no problems here which a CPZ could deal with
Greenham Rd 108	No	Maybe a one-way would work?
Greenham Rd 108	No	CPZs are a bad idea
Greenham Rd 108	No	Parking is generally easy. No need for CPZ
Greenham Rd 108	No	Speeding cars are a problem
Greenham Rd 108	No	
Greenham Rd 108	No	I hope the results of this will be published. Thanks
Greenham Rd 108	No	Don't want or need CPZ. What we DO need are speed control measures. Cars race down Greenham Rd at dangerous speeds - day and night
Greenham Rd 108	No	Very much against CPZ
Greenham Rd 108	No	Greenham Rd absolutely doesn't need a CPZ. I've lived here for 20 years and never have parking issues
Greenham Rd 108	No	CPZ not needed. The only problem is speeding cars. Best way to stop this would be to only have access from Coppetts Wood and exit only into Colney Hatch Lane
Greenham Rd 108	No	Don't impose a CPZ here
Greenham Rd 108	No	Greenham should be one-way - lack of passing space when cars are parked.
Greenham Rd 108	No	You need to introduce EVCPs on an organised borough-wide basis - not on a house by house haphazard process
Greenham Rd 108	No	
Greenham Rd 108	No	Don't think a CPZ would help.
Greenham Rd 108	No	
Greenham Rd 108	No	
Greenham Rd 108	No	A lot of people park at this end to use Muswell Hill consulting rooms and The Backbone. This needs to be addressed as residents should have priority
Hill Rd 90	Yes	I'd like residents to have free parking
Hill Rd 90	No	Not needed on our estate
Hill Rd 90	No	
Hill Rd 90	No	Only problem is school runs so none of the hours offered are useful
Hill Rd 90	No	
Hill Rd 90	No	
Hill Rd 90	No	
Hill Rd 90	No	No need for CPZ here
Hill Rd 90	No	

Hill Rd 90	No	This is a very quiet area that does not have any of the above problems, currently.
Marriott Rd 52	No	
Marriott Rd 52	No	This is a joke. No need for CPZ. No one would be able to visit me. It's all about making money.
Marriott Rd 52	No	
Marriott Rd 52	No	
Marriott Rd 52	No	We don't have problems on weekdays but do have some problems with football traffic at weekends.
Marriott Rd 52	No	It is a waste of money
Marriott Rd 52	No	The only trouble is on Sundays when football players' families park here often blocking the road. But it's only a few hours
Marriott Rd 52	No	No need as there is always parking available
Marriott Rd 52	No	Always space to park in Marriott, so it doesn't need a CPZ
Osier Crescent 257	Yes	Parking on Osier Cres will only get worse when the new devt on Coppetts Rd hospital site is complete
Osier Crescent 257	Yes	Lot of problems at weekend because of football in the fields at back Also many households with large vans left parked and never driven. Also non-local cars left parked
Osier Crescent 257	Yes	Need CPZ all through the area
Osier Crescent 257	Yes	No residents park here. Speed bumps are needed. Also this road should be gritted in freezing weather.
Osier Crescent 257	No	I wouldn't have got my property if it was in a CPZ. We are far from tube
Osier Crescent 257	No	Residents would need to pay for permits which is not fair. Yes, it will benefit the council - but not residents
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	I'm elderly and can't use meters which don't take coins. Don't need any more controls in Muswell Hill. Main problem is shop and business employees making it impossible for visitors to park. Need more EVCPs. Parking in Muswell Hill is horrendous. We need a multi storey car park!!
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	Not interested in CPZ or EVCP, cycling. You should concentrate on repairing the roads
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	Much depends on the number of parking spaces allocated to the new flats being built next to us
Osier Crescent 257	No	Sometimes lorries and large vans park here, making it difficult to leave
Osier Crescent 257	No	CPZ would be too expensive and is neither necessary nor appropriate for a suburban area which already has limited parking
Osier Crescent 257	No	It would be a pain to live here and park my car with another charge. I neither want it nor can afford it
Osier Crescent 257	No	No need for CPZ at the moment
Osier Crescent 257	No	No need for CPZ - seems more like a money-making scheme
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	

Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	No need for this money-making scheme
Osier Crescent 257	No	We are happy with current arrangements. If we need an EVCP we will install one on our driveway
Osier Crescent 257	No	May need CPZ when the 77 new flats are completed on the old hospital site as there will be insufficient parking space.
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	Please ensure you allow plenty of parking in the new development being built on Coppetts Rd (between Osier and Strawberry Terrace)
Osier Crescent 257	No	There will be a need for EVCP and Bikehangars in the future - not sure it's required just yet
Osier Crescent 257	No	Not needed here
Osier Crescent 257	No	Osier Cres is not near shops or tube. Any CPZ would be a money-making exercise
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	This is a residential street - not even a through road and not near shops or the tube. No problems with parking and no need for a CPZ
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	I'm disabled and need people to get to my flat easily. I would not have bought my flat if there was a CPZ. We live far from the station and I'm very much against controlled parking
Osier Crescent 257	No	
Osier Crescent 257	No	Only problem is kids football on Muswell Hill playing fields. There should be more parking for this and parents should park in the designated area and not in residential streets
Pages Hill 107	Yes	
Pages Hill 107	No	I object to charges and think council tax should cover it. I especially object to having to pay for visitors when they may often want to stay all day.
Pages Hill 107	No	
Pages Hill 107	No	Only pressure is at school run times, so 11am to 1pm would just be a money-making scheme
Pages Hill 107	No	
Pages Hill 107	No	No need at all for CPZ - just a money making scheme for the council
Pages Hill 107	No	
Pages Hill 107	No	Never a problem. No shops or tube nearby so no need for CPZ
Pages Hill 107	No	Happy with current situation. No need for changes
Pages Hill 107	No	This is a dead end road - no through traffic. There's no need for a CPZ if the council would kindly bother to remove the occasionally dumped vehicle from our road
Pages Hill 107	No	
Pages Hill 107	No	Congestion late afternoon - early evening could be avoided by stopping parking on one side of the road. Currently the single lane is not enough for buses to pass. This is all that we need

Pages Hill 107	No	We pay a small fortune in council taxes. A CPZ would mean paying for family and friends to visit. Is this another way to raise revenue?
Pages Hill 107	No	It's working fine now but if any area gets CPZ it will enhance what works fine now
Pages Hill 107	No	
Pages Hill 107	No	Vehemently opposed to CPZ. The listed advantages do not in any way outweigh the disadvantages nor do they apply to Pages Hill
Pages Hill 107	No	Fine when it becomes necessary
Pages Hill 107	No	
Pages Hill 107	No	I don't want CPZ on my street
Pages Lane 54	Yes	
Pages Lane 54	No	
Pages Lane 54	No	School run parking is very challenging
Pages Lane 54	No	
Pages Lane 54	No	School run - parents is only issue
Pages Lane 54	No	School drop off and pick-up can cause problems for our neighbours. Otherwise it is good in this road
Pages Lane 54	No	
Pages Lane 54	No	
Pages Lane 54	No	No issues here apart from school drop off and pick up. The noise pollution from car horns and exhausts is terrible especially as this is a bus route
Pages Lane 54	No	CPZ not needed. Always possible to find parking places. There are no shops or stations nearby. What about parking provision for people in the Victoria cottages, or those adjacent to the mini roundabout - nos 4 - 10?
Pages Lane 54	No	Unnecessary and expensive
Pages Lane 54	No	No need for a CPZ - this road is not near shops or the
Pages Lane 54	No	
Pages Lane 54	No	No need for CPZ - parking is always available
Pages Lane 54	No	
Pages Lane 54	No	
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	Cars parked at top and bottom of the street makes the junction blind and dangerous
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	Need controls which are enforced rigorously
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	Our road is badly affected by the new primary school
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	Trade vans are a problem on our road. Some are left for weeks at a time
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	Problem is most acute at top of Ringwood (nos 1 - 6)
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	The 20mph limit is completely ignored. We urgently need traffic calming measures before more people are injured
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	Problems mainly caused by parents driving their children to and from school. They should walk more

Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	Teachers from the 2 schools nearby park in our road during the day
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	If the wider area is against a CPZ, could Ringwood Ave, Beech Drive and Twydord Ave be included in FG CPZ? These roads are the ones most used by commuters going to East Finchley tube.
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	Ringwood ave is a commuter car park at the top and a park for school staff and parents at the bottom. Please, Please, Please help!
Ringwood Ave 51	Yes	We have 3 schools nearby and speeding is a major problem as the humps don't help. Cameras are needed so fines can be issued
Ringwood Ave 51	No	
Ringwood Ave 51	No	Not needed at all
Ringwood Ave 51	No	Only real problem is Eden school runs
Ringwood Ave 51	No	EVCPs unnecessary as all houses have driveways. We have our own EVCP. CPZ is completely unnecessary
Ringwood Ave 51	No	We have a driveway and garage so can put in our own EVCP and keep our bikes in the garage
Ringwood Ave 51	No	
Ringwood Ave 51	No	Most houses on Ringwood have off-street parking for 2 or more cars. There is parking demand at some times for Eden school users. This problem would not be resolved by a CPZ but would probably become more confused.
Ringwood Ave 51	No	You should do something about noise from Eden School instead of CPZ
Ringwood Ave 51	No	Happy as we are. Definitely don't want CPZ
Ringwood Ave 51	No	
Ringwood Ave 51	No	
Ringwood Ave 51	No	
Steeds Rd 97	Yes	When you've been shopping and have to walk half way up the road, it's no fun
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	No CPZ!!!
Steeds Rd 97	No	We have a scholl at top of th eroad and a CPZ would make it impossible for parents to collect their children from the play group and school
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	School runs are a big problem. Restrictions not good for local businesses
Steeds Rd 97	No	No parking issues. Another attempt by the council to rake in revenue
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	CPZ not needed in this road. Terrible idea
Steeds Rd 97	No	Too many dropped kerbs take up space.
Steeds Rd 97	No	School is a challenge and occasional events eg Summer Fair.
Steeds Rd 97	No	There are several DBs at houses with no disabled - maybe old bays? School pick up and drop off parking takes up to 1/3 of the road, so it could be a problem if there was a CPZ.
Steeds Rd 97	No	

Steeds Rd 97	No	Everigton, Hill, Steeds, Barrenger and Marriott are an ex council estates nowhere near any shopping areas or tube stations. Absolutely no need for CPZ
Steeds Rd 97	No	Cars often park at the Marriott Rd / Coppetts Rd junction which makes it hard to turn
Steeds Rd 97	No	
Steeds Rd 97	No	No problems parking here. This is a purely residential area
Tetherdown 141	Yes	I am over 65 and find the lack of parking very upsetting and difficult
Tetherdown 141	Yes	Another DB is required. Problems will increase when Tetherdown new and large nursery opens
Tetherdown 141	Yes	Parking wors 10-12 and 2-4pm We are close to MH Broadway and shop workers an customers park in our road. Please consider multipel EVCPs in general areas. We don't all have driveways or whole houses. Thanks
Tetherdown 141	Yes	Parking is a massive problem in Tetherdown esp school run and sports. I often drive around for 30 mins to find a space
Tetherdown 141	Yes	Disproportionate number of schools in the area. Also the number of driveways reduces on-street parking. No need for EVCPs or hangars as there are front gardens with plenty of space.
Tetherdown 141	Yes	
Tetherdown 141	Yes	There's a secondary school on our road and we sometimes take 20 mins to find a space. CPZ will improve quality of life
Tetherdown 141	Yes	Parking has become very difficult since CPZ was introduced in Muswell Hill
Tetherdown 141	Yes	
Tetherdown 141	Yes	
Tetherdown 141	No	
Tetherdown 141	No	Please don't put in CPZ
Tetherdown 141	No	
Tetherdown 141	No	
Tetherdown 141	No	
Tetherdown 141	No	Money making for the council
Tetherdown 141	No	
Tetherdown 141	No	Skips!
Tetherdown 141	No	This feels like a money-maker for the council
Tetherdown 141	No	Not good for our business, or for customers and deliveries
Tetherdown 141	No	The council has allowed massive building developments and encouraged HGVs to use the roads. Proposed zone is huge and disproportionate - and unnecessary
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	Area certainly needs controls as I find it difficult to park
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	Our road is a dumping ground for vans and trucks. We also have people living in vehicles
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	Parking almost impossible since St Lukes was implemented.
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	Road has always had problems - made worse since the intro of St Lukes and Fortis Green CPZs

Twyford Ave 93	Yes	My drive is constantly blocked by builders vans and cars. Also it's dangerous because it reduces visibility when entering / leaving
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	
Twyford Ave 93	Yes	Any CPZ needs to stop parking spaces being used by staff at Fortismere School, and by trade vans left parked
Twyford Ave 93	No	CPZ is terrible idea. It would kill the high street as shop staff would have nowhere to park- not to mention the teachers at Fortismere. Please don't do this.
Twyford Ave 93	No	
Twyford Ave 93	No	
Twyford Ave 93	No	
Twyford Ave 93	No	
Twyford Ave 93	No	No need for CPZ here
Twyford Ave 93	No	You consulted a few years ago and about half of Twyford Ave said no. More CPZs cause displacement. Need to have affordable public transport
Twyford Ave 93	No	
Twyford Ave 93	No	
Twyford Ave 93	No	CPZs can work well when they are needed, but our roads simply don't need them and it would affect local shops, deliveries etc. Also our roads mostly have driveways and it is convenient to be able to park in front of them on occasions
Twyford Ave 93	No	Flats on Fortis Green Rd don't have off street parking, so CPZ would not help if those residents are all given permits. Cars also often block our driveway for days on end. Our driveway should be dropped kerb to prevent this
Burlington Rd 16	Yes	There's a lot of school parking and the Church Hall devt into a nursery school will doubtless add to this. I also here that Fortismere school lhas plans to seel redundant building for housing, so more problems if that happens
Nelson Mandela Cl 26	No	Problem with people using bins to reserve 'their' spaces
Nelson Mandela Cl 26	No	No problems in local roads and I'm not happy with you rmoney-making proposal. Try using your government and tax funding to repair the roads and potholes
334	334	334