

DOG CONTROL ORDERS CONSULTATION

FINAL DATA ANALYSIS REPORT August 01 2012

INTRODUCTION

Following an informal information-gathering exercise in April / May; the official consultation commenced early June and ran until 23 July. 623 completed questionnaires were received by the closing date.

About 35% of responses were obtained through interviews 'on location' in parks; 40% through the post - often as a result of respondents collecting questionnaires from the interviewer; while the remaining 25% were completed online. Many questionnaires were distributed with the help of the Parks Department, Homes for Haringey, Libraries and Area Forums.

In-house resources have been used for the management and operation of the consultation and as a result, direct costs have been minimised. The only *direct* cost incurred was that of printing the consultation document.

Much of the analysis in this report is presented with reference to whether or not respondents are dog owners. Dog owners and non-owners have differing perspectives on a number of issues but there is also considerable common ground on matters such as aggressive dogs, irresponsible owners, and the problem of owners failing to clean up dog mess.

The analysis identifies extensive support for Dog Control Orders (DCOs) as proposed in Haringey; but also some concern at whether these will be enforced in a sensible and positive way. Specifically questions are raised on whether DCOs will deal with the 'real problems', or whether they will merely be additional regulations to be ignored by what many parks users see as the hard core of irresponsible owners and their often aggressive dogs. Dog owners and other parks users comment on groups of younger people who actively encourage and train their dogs to become aggressive.

TECHNICAL NOTE

Research surveys are designed statistically to be representative of the 'target population' and may be *weighted* to represent the total population, as with public opinion polls. Consultations can be similarly statistically adjusted and weighted, but in general they consist of a self-selected 'sample' which may or may not be representative of the wider population.

In the present instance, we are satisfied that views are representative of parks users because so many responses have been obtained through interviews in the parks and distributing of questionnaires to those users who did not have the time or inclination for an 'on site' interview. This method of data collection also has the advantage of providing first hand information from individual parks users and dog owners/walkers

DATA ANALYSIS

By questions

Q1 Name of road in which you live

Q2. Do you or others in your household currently have a dog?

Of the 623 responses; 36% are from those who do not have a dog, and 64% from dog owners.

		Count	%
Dog owner?	Yes	226	36%
	No	397	64%
Total		623	100%

Q3. Which local parks or open spaces do you most often visit for exercise or recreational purposes?

Haringey has many parks, walks and open spaces which are valued and enjoyed both by residents and by the many users from outside the Borough:


Alexandra Palace / park
Finsbury Park
Priory Park
Markfield (Crowland)
Highgate Woods
Not stated
Finsbury Gdns
Parkland Walk
Queens Wood
Bruce Castle Park
Other local space
Downhills
Albert Road Rec
Stationers
Lordship Rec
Chestnuts
Coldfall Woods
Cemetery / playing fields

Woodside
Russell Park
Springfield
Belmont
Hampstead Heath
Ducketts Common
Durnsford
River Lea towpath
Hidden River path
Tower Gardens
Waterlow Park
Noel Park
Avenue Gdns
Durnsford
Broomfield
Wood Green Common
Nightingale Gdns

The single most important problem cited by both dog owners and non owners on their visits to parks and open spaces is that of irresponsible dog owners failing to clean up the mess left by dogs. Those who do not have dogs are also concerned about what they see as dogs not being effectively supervised. This is seen as a separate issue to that of clearly aggressive and 'status' dogs.

Q4. Do you consider any of the following to be a problem in your local area?

The bar chart below gives a summary visual overview, while the table sets out greater detail. Respondents can identify as many as they think appropriate



	Dog owner?	
	No	Yes
	%	%
Dog fouling	90%	90%
Dogs not kept under adequate supervision by their owners	74%	55%
Dogs running around areas where children play	64%	23%
Aggressive behaviour by dogs	51%	48%
Dog fighting	27%	21%


There is agreement amongst the broad majority of respondents that that dog mess is a widespread problem. Similarly, there is shared concern at the incidence of aggressive behaviour by dogs.

There is rather less consensus over the question of dogs running round areas where children play. Comments by non-owners suggest that in many cases the mere presence of dogs tends to be frightening for children; and that dog owners may fail to appreciate this.

Dog owners comment that their dogs need exercise and do not need to be kept permanently on leads. Clearly there are differing views between owners and non owners on this aspect.

Q5. Please identify those DCOs you think should be applied in your area.

The bar chart gives a visual overview. The subsequent table provides more detail grouped by dog owners and non-owners.




	Dog owner?			
	Yes		No	
	Count	%	Count	%
An offence to fail to clean up after a dog	204	93%	348	89%
Maximum of 6 dogs that can be walked by one person	139	63%	255	65%
Dogs must be put on a lead when officially instructed to do so	144	65%	242	62%
Dogs must be kept on a lead	39	18%	218	56%
Dogs should be excluded (not allowed at all)	9	4%	128	33%
None of these should apply	7	3%	9	2%

There is shared majority agreement amongst parks users on application of three DCOs. Around 90% of all users agree it should be an offence not to clean up dog mess. A substantial majority agree on having a limit to numbers that can be walked by one individual. The figures on this aspect would be higher except that many respondents consider 6 to be an excessively generous limit. Most consider 4 to be a more sensible limit.

Where there is disagreement is over the suggestions that dogs should be kept on the lead, and that dogs should be excluded. 33% of non-owners consider dogs should be excluded from specific areas in local parks; whereas just 4% of dog owners accept there is any case for exclusion.

Q6. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the following controls suggested for Haringey



In general there are positive views on the DCO controls as proposed for Haringey. Some dog owners are concerned about having to keep dogs on leads in small areas of land, as discussed above, many disagree with the limit of 6 dogs being walked by one person because they think it should be less than this.

Looking at these results by dog owners and non-owners there is majority agreement on all the DCOs as proposed for the Borough. However on some DCO proposals there is markedly lower agreement from dog-owners.

		Dog owner?		
		Yes	No	Total
		%	%	%
Make it an offence to fail to clear up after a dog	Agree	96%	99%	98%
	Disagree	4%	1%	2%
Dogs to be put on a lead when officially instructed	Agree	78%	96%	90%
	Disagree	22%	4%	10%
Dogs to be kept on leads at all times on all public roads...	Agree	59%	90%	79%
	Disagree	41%	10%	21%
Dogs not allowed on any public play grounds, sports cour...	Agree	66%	88%	80%
	Disagree	34%	12%	20%
Limit to number of dogs walked by one person	Agree	76%	87%	83%
	Disagree	24%	13%	17%

Q11. Summary of comments

The table below shows comments made by respondents grouped into categories. This summary of themes from respondents' comments helps to illustrate the context of results set out in the charts and tables for the questions above. Further illustration and context is provided in Q12 which lists all the comments by individual respondents and with their local parks identified.

If we were to offer a single paragraph summary of comments it would be along the lines of: *DCOs are necessary but how would they deal with the real problem of out-of-control dogs and dog poo lying around and not be an ineffective set of regulations ignored by the worst offenders but penalising responsible dog owners.*

	Dog owner?	
	Yes	No
	%	%
No comments offered	36%	50%
Concern that DCOs will simply target responsible dog owners	24%	4%
How will DCOs deal with aggressive dogs and/or their irresponsible owners who ignore the rules ?	12%	9%
Dog poo not cleared up - lots lying around - need bags and more bins provided	6%	9%
Agree with the need for controls and fines for irresponsible owners	7%	6%
Alarming if dogs are not properly restrained or controlled - they should be on leads	3%	8%
How will the council enforce DCOs fairly and effectively? No action currently taken.	4%	4%
Other	5%	3%
The limit should be much less than six	1%	5%
Micro-chipping, tagging, muzzling or other form of control needed	3%	3%
Total	100%	100%

Case Summaries

	Which parks do you use?	Which parks do you use?	Dog owner?	Any other issues relating to DCOs
1	Albert Road Rec		. Yes	There should be signs such as 'No dogs Please' put up
2	Albert Road Rec		. Yes	Aggressive owners. More than six if covered by insurance.
3	Albert Road Rec		. Yes	Follow through on the fining of individuals who do not clean up. Let officials have bags with them to hand out so nobody can avoid doing so.
4	Albert Road Rec		. Yes	Staffordshire-cross breeds are a particular issue and I would like to see muzzling in public as compulsory. My dog and several others I know personally have been attacked by various staffies who were off the lead and unmuzzled. Ideally, I would like to see the breed outlawed!
5	Albert Road Rec		. Yes	Responsible dog ownership promotes community spirit. As a retired person I like letting my well trained dog walk without a lead in the park. Sometimes children who play there come and ask my permission to talk to my dog. It gives them an opportunity to learn about animals.
6	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes	don't forget that responsibility control dogs entrance the environment. One of the reasons Albert Road recreation ground is a nice safe place for children is because many nice responsible adults, uses to walk their nice friendly dogs. Also not all dog owners are thugs! many are older people for whom walking a dog is any important social activity, and are those can be off the lead
7	Albert Road Rec	Highgate Woods	Yes	Please put a dog waste bin at the entrance to Bluebell Wood. There are none at all in the wood, and as it is so small, it quickly becomes unpleasant if owners don't pick it up. However, if there are no bins they won't. Refuse collections already come to the entrance of the Wood; it would be so easy for them to empty a bin weekly.
8	Albert Road Rec	Highgate Woods	Yes	Dogs need areas where they can run freely and most dog owners do have control over their dogs. Council need to provide bins if they are serious about stopping dog fouling - for example in Albert Road Rec there are very few dog waste bins, and NONE in Bluebell Wood, where many dogs are exercised. For the vast majority of dogs to be safe in parks, I suggest that any breeds covered by the Dangerous Dogs Act should be ob leads, or muzzled at all times. Also, for everyone's safety, all dogs should be on leads in streets.
9	Albert Road Rec		. No	
10	Albert Road Rec		. No	Dogs are out of control in Albert Park, I am harassed by them and their awful owners. I've seen dog walkers with so many dogs they couldn't possibly clear up all their poo. Disgusting.
11	Albert Road Rec		. No	

12	Albert Road Rec		No	I think that we should accommodate dogs by creating areas where they can run freely in parks (obviously only applies to larger spaces). I've visited Kennington park in south London - it has a zone given over to dogs that is gated and allows them to run free whilst everyone else can use the park without feeling fearful/running any risks. I understand that have been issues in Albert rec, namely where one dog attacked another and this obviously demonstrates the dangers of letting animals run free. This space is used a lot by families and large dogs are often free without being under control - I worry about my daughter and other young children.
13	Albert Road Rec		No	
14	Albert Road Rec		No	
15	Albert Road Rec		No	
16	Albert Road Rec		No	
17	Albert Road Rec		No	No dog waste bin in Bluebell woods or on the green next to Lynton Gardens - both used for exercising dogs if owners bother to use dog poo bags they are often dumped by the entrance to the woods. Also I have often seen large dogs that are probably banned breeds or banned cross breeds been exercised in Albert Road recreation ground in the early evenings but I have never seen a dog warden patrolling the park.
18	Albert Road Rec		No	
19	Albert Road Rec		No	Should also be implemented to include school playgrounds...
20	Albert Road Rec		No	
21	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	No	There is a tax in Highgate Wood with a by-law that states that dogs must be kept on leads but this is often ignored.
22	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	No	
23	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	No	
24	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	No	There is a very aggressive dog behind a very ramshackle fence down Three Oaks Path on the way to Bounds Green school. The dog barks fiercely at passing children and I am terrified the fence won't hold out much longer as the dog jumps against it.
25	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	No	Is it possible to introduce 'dog walking' hours e.g. from 11am until 2pm dogs can be walked in this park etc.? Reason: Promptly at 3.30pm Albert Recreation Park's ground turns into a playground for dogs. Children are not able to play freely after school on the main fields. Dog fouling is frequent. Alexandra Park is basically a dog walkers paradise. Dog walkers meet for a chat and let their dogs roam around freely (very often unsupervised), racing up and down the hills and the grounds. It is sometimes very frightening to see pull terriers fighting and running around between pushchairs and pedestrians. I walk through Alexandra Park twice every day and I have not once experienced it without groups of dogs walking around freely. It is not a leisure area it's a dog walker's ground. I find the attitude of the dog walkers arrogant. The pedestrians have to make way for dogs. It's very intimidating. Talking to dog walkers is not advisable. A friend of mine was attacked by a dog, I was chased by

26	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	No	I am concerned as to how these control orders will be enforced. I have been worried about the increasing number of aggressive looking dogs (usually with aggressive looking owners) in our area but I've also seen them in Highgate Wood apparently being trained to be more aggressive. I wondered on those occasions who to phone. I have agreed with the six dog limit but I do wonder why anyone would even own six dogs - it seems excessive! Can't you limit the number of dogs that are owned in one household? And can you ban someone from owning a dog if they are caught even once encouraging/training it to be aggressive? I'm really pleased that the problem is being addressed and appreciate that you are recognising the need for dogs to get their exercise. Most dogs seem to have responsible owners and dealing with the increasingly large minority who are a nuisance and sometimes even pose a danger is really challenging.
27	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	No	Bad training of dogs - will not come to heel when told to by their owner. Enforced training else dog on lead all the time
28	Albert Road Rec	Alexandra Palace /park	No	
29	Albert Road Rec	Highgate Woods	No	
30	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	
31	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	
32	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	Need more park wardens or police patrolling open spaces at night as this is when the more dangerous and anti-social owners are out. DCOs need to be targeted correctly otherwise it will punish all decent dogs and owners.
33	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	
34	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	All dogs should be micro-chipped. All parks staff (Haringey Employees) should have authority to insist that leads should be on dogs as they can ruin trees/plants.
35	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	more in inspections of large dogs being kept in small flats and not exercised properly by council -especially council flats.
36	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	Why can't we have dog licences back? This proves people are happy to submit contact details and ownership of the dog. There are very few issues with the dog walkers in Ally Pally, I walk mine there 3 times a day, but for one person now and again with a dog that is badly trained. We should not be tarred with the same brush, as dogs need an outlet for proper exercise to behave properly and this is hard to find in London. We moved here as we could do this here so we will certainly think about moving if we couldn't let our well trained, friendly and popular dog off lead for a run!
37	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	
38	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	
39	Alexandra Palace /park		. Yes	

40	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	Please make sure that the majority are not punished by a small minority. In Alexandra Palace we have very few difficulties. Mainly later in the day at the Campsbourne end of the park. People generally clear up after their dogs but there are always those that don't. If Haringey enforced current legislation, there would be no need for any further measures. Will any new measures be of benefit? The law abiding citizens already clear up after their dogs, have them micro chipped and the idea that we should have to have our dogs on leads at all times is pretty harsh for those of us who have well behaved dogs. We are in the park 356 days of the year come rain or shine, that's worth remembering. We're often the eyes and ears for the police too. We make it a safer place for all to enjoy.
41	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	Some dogs need a lot of walking per day - say 2 hours - that is two walks. If you say we can't walk our dogs in places less than half a hectare, then our dogs will often be made to have every walk in one place. Not only does this add to the number of dogs in that place, considering fighting, but it is unfair on the dog and the owner, especially if this one place is further from your home than other, small places
42	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	
43	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	Dogs require exercise but should always be under control of owner or carer. Dog fouling in my area is usually by dogs left to go without supervision. Should there be an incident, whether dog fouling or behaviour problems (dog and owner) there should be a warning given, more than two warnings result in ban from public parks. It is only the owners not the dogs that should be punished. Fines may work.
44	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	Instead of worrying about people and their dogs enjoying the local parkland can we do something about the picnickers that leave disgusting amounts of litter behind them for my dog to choke on? How about some PCO's (picnicker control orders)? I look forward to being able to enjoy Alexandra Park in the future without having to wrestle food wrappers, burger containers and half eaten items of food from my dog's mouth! Thank you.
45	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	
46	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	Abusive owners. My main issue is one of the enforcement; many people are cynical of any new order which Haringey cannot afford to enforce. Local police (SNT) have reduced numbers and Haringey Park has suffered a 50% reduction. Who will enforce? Responsible owners will buy the new regulations. Irresponsible owners will not, no one will enforce the new order-so what has actually changed? It is essential that you specify the resources that will be allocated
47	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	Please continue to provide specific purpose dog waste bins
48	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	
49	Alexandra Palace /park	. Yes	Dog exercise is natural - can result in behaviour problems

50	Alexandra Palace /park	Finsbury Gdns	Yes	I believe Haringey should focus on controlling/screening for dangerous dogs on council estates and properties rather than introducing such orders throughout the entire borough. This is since the vast majority of dangerous dogs and irresponsible owners come from the estates. The wider responsible dog-owning public is being unfairly punished due to the poor regulation/screening of dogs on council estates/housing by Haringey. For example, I live close to several council tower blocks which have dog litter bins on the grounds. I often use these bins after cleaning up after my own dogs in my private garden, but I when I go to the bins at the council estates grounds I usually find that the estate residents have allowed their dogs to foul within a few feet from the dog bins. If the Councils can't/won't even control dog fouling/dangerous dogs on council estates, what hope is there of introducing dog control orders borough wide? It's my strong view that all dogs on council estates should be
51	Alexandra Palace /park	Finsbury Park	Yes	Dogs on buses and pavements can intimidate or offend - even if owners seem to have dog under control
52	Alexandra Palace /park	Hidden River path	Yes	there would need to be enforcement for it to work - small enclosed parks should be dog free. At Finsbury Gardens young mothers with children will be passing by
53	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	Yes	As a responsible dog owner, I do NOT want to have a situation where dogs cannot be off the lead in Haringey. They too need exercise to keep them healthy and sane.
54	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	Yes	I'm fed up with too many irresponsible dog owners. My neighbours are frightened to go into the parks
55	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	Yes	Responsible owners (majority) follow the rules anyway, how are you going to deal with thugs owners with aggressive dogs that won't pick up mess or put them on the lead when necessary as no officer ever tackled them out of fear for their own safety? Why not enforce the rules that already exist but some people ignore? Why not introduce into schools learning to be around dogs for children and parents so people that don't have a dog can educate themselves and their kids about dogs and being around dogs. Common sense is important key in all of this.
56	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	Yes	It is a very few individuals that result in problems with aggressive dogs. It would be a DREADFUL shame to have enforced 'dogs on leads at all times' applying on streets, as 10 years of training means that my dog can navigate the pavement while taking commands from me on my bicycle (on the road, not on the pavement). Introducing this sort of order would mean that I could no longer continue with our preferred exercise, cycling and dog walking at the same time. This means so much to me that i would consider moving if this was genuinely introduced. By all means, the 'dog on a lead if instructed to do so' ought to cover the eventuality of an unruly dog on the pavements.
57	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	Yes	I have great reservations as to whether any of this can be enforced. I believe the most serious offenders would skate and more people targeted by officials. I worried that if dogs are made unwelcome in parks they will become empty spaces and that's dangerous.
58	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	Yes	there are some aggressive dog owners. They should be targeted, but Haringey and police constantly ignoring reports and only act when dogs humans attack. DCO will not effect irresponsible owners
59	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	Yes	100% every dog should have a collar and tag and been chipped so owners can been fined if collar is lost

60	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	Yes	More enforcement of aggressive off lead dogs needed
61	Alexandra Palace /park	Parkland Walk	Yes	
62	Alexandra Palace /park	Parkland Walk	Yes	Dangerous Dogs and Dog Fouling laws exist already for irresponsible dog owners. Responsible owners should not be penalised. If the existing regulations were enforced, DCOs would not be necessary. Where is the evidence of complaints?
63	Alexandra Palace /park	Priory Park	Yes	
64	Alexandra Palace /park	Woodside	Yes	I am a responsible dog owner who pays Council Tax to enjoy amenities including parks. Whilst there are some problems, I do not believe that they can be cured by punishing everyone. I understand that there is a complete ban being considered for Wood Green, if this is the case I shall protest to my MP, and will consider withholding a portion of my tax if I cannot walk my dogs in the parks for which I pay.
65	Alexandra Palace /park	Durnsford	Yes	I strongly feel that there should be more enforcement with regards to seizing and destroying 'dangerous dogs'. This should not just include those covered under the 'Dangerous Dogs Act' but also those dogs which attack, cause injury or harm to others (people and dogs).
66	Alexandra Palace /park	Durnsford	Yes	Aggressive dogs should be muzzled as well as being on a lead. Fines for failing to clear up poo should be increased. Free poo bags should be supplied free in parks
67	Alexandra Palace /park	Other local space	Yes	Some owners will pick up after their dogs but the leave the bag or throw it in a bush, this should be addressed. Littering generally is a far bigger problem in our parks than dog control.
68	Alexandra Palace /park	Cemetery / playing fields	Yes	There are always a minority of dog owners who are irresponsible in public and private and powers should be in place to ensure that they are well controlled . I have seen the plan of the proposed controls proposed within Alexandra Palace park all of which I would agree with other than the absolute prohibition of dogs (even on a lead) with the leased area of the AP Club as watching football or cricket with a dog on a lead , which I have done here and at the other parks i visit on the borough is part of the joy of being a dog owner and a football fan and the plan to alienate dog owners from the sports usage in the palace is a bad decision . I speak as an individual but am also a member of the Club committee and of APYFC who play at many playing fields in the borough. Owners of out of control dogs and owners who do not clean up after their dogs should feel the full force of the law but good dog owners should not suffer because of their minority action.
69	Alexandra Palace /park		. No	
70	Alexandra Palace /park		. No	There is a real problem with dog walkers not been able to control their dogs.
71	Alexandra Palace /park		. No	Alexandra Palace are removing dog litter bins, this is a poor decision. Dog owners use these bins and it is unacceptable to put these wastes into the ordinary bins. Unhygienic for everyone
72	Alexandra Palace /park		. No	
73	Alexandra Palace /park		. No	

74	Alexandra Palace /park		No	I don't have time to do a detailed appraisal of dogs, but in principle all dogs should be under some form of control (on or off the leash) at all times. I have concerns about fierce dogs especially where there are children. Enforcement of pooper scoopers is vital to keep the environment clean. Maybe rather than just the stick for dog owners, there should perhaps be a carrot too (e.g. an award for best kept dog... or something (needs some thought.) The problem of professional dog walking is also becoming acute. You can have one dog walker with 6 dogs legally, but the dog walkers themselves seem to hunt in packs and if each has their legal quota of 6 (should be 4) and there are 3 dog walkers chatting together in the middle of a field, then you can theoretically have 18 dogs on the loose. Over to you.
75	Alexandra Palace /park		No	Rather than allowing dogs to run freely in large parks there should be space or spaces (which can be large enough for the exercise needed by a large dog) in which dogs can run free but that in the rest of the park dogs should be on a lead. A big problem in AP is dogs running after joggers.
76	Alexandra Palace /park		No	
77	Alexandra Palace /park		No	
78	Alexandra Palace /park		No	Dogs should not be allowed to reside in blocks of flats
79	Alexandra Palace /park		No	
80	Alexandra Palace /park		No	
81	Alexandra Palace /park		No	Have no dog zones. I'm tired of seeing dogs crap everywhere it is disgusting. What should I have to hop and skip and jump to avoid stepping in this mess they leave around; it's just not right.
82	Alexandra Palace /park		No	
83	Alexandra Palace /park		No	I've had several scary experiences by aggressive dogs and have been attacked and bitten by the same dog. I reported it to Ally Pally park manager and to the police but no action was taken. I had to give up walking and exercising. It seems we have to wait for someone to die before danger is realised. I like dogs and most are all right but some owners are fanatical idiots and should be punished. Dogs pick up their bad behaviour from their owners
84	Alexandra Palace /park	Albert Road Rec	No	
85	Alexandra Palace /park	Finsbury Gdns	No	
86	Alexandra Palace /park	Finsbury Gdns	No	
87	Alexandra Palace /park	Hampstead Heath	No	I feel that the maximum number of dogs that can be walked by an individual should only be 2 but a maximum of 3 may be acceptable if well behaved
88	Alexandra Palace /park	Highgate Woods	No	
89	Alexandra Palace /park	Parkland Walk	No	
90	Alexandra Palace /park	Priory Park	No	Enforcement is required - otherwise no point
91	Alexandra Palace /park	Priory Park	No	in this park there is no designated area for kids\dogs

92	Alexandra Palace /park	Priory Park	No	
93	Alexandra Palace /park	Priory Park	No	
94	Alexandra Palace /park	Queens Wood	No	Fouling is a big issue on nightingale lane - walkers allow their dogs to foul because there is no deterrent - and there is a school nearby.
95	Alexandra Palace /park	Stationers	No	
96	Avenue Gdns		. Yes	I submitted comments in the pre consultation phase. But a couple of additional things: (1) I haven't seen any signage informing dog owners and non dog owners alike of the consultation process. So how representative is the consultation? (2) the meet and greet sessions are all on weekdays during working hours, so people with jobs won't be able to have their say - if they even know about them as per point 1 above.
97	Avenue Gdns	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes	The yes/no nature of this survey will probably produce unnecessarily draconian controls. I think the restricted area threshold is too high.
98	Avenue Gdns		. No	Dog fouling is a problem because it isn't policed
99	Avenue Gdns	Finsbury Gdns	No	The amount of dog poo in the back alley joining Braemar, Cornwall, Northcote, Eastern and Imperial means that I do not use this route. It is FOUL
100	Belmont		. Yes	
101	Belmont		. Yes	
102	Belmont		. No	
103	Belmont		. No	
104	Belmont		. No	Limit the number of dogs per individual to three dogs should be left unsupervised with small children.
105	Belmont		. No	
106	Belmont		. No	Recently the enclosed children's area in Belmont was taken over by a group with a huge dog - I and my children left because we did not feel safe. It should be illegal for these people to enter such parks if they have dogs.
107	Belmont		. No	Dog fouling on Boundary Road. Dogs of a particular breed to be kept on lead.
108	Belmont		. No	Only ASB not related to dog. Dog poo on Boundary Road and Westbury.
109	Belmont		. No	I'm pleasantly surprised that doesn't seem to be many issues with dogs and their owners
110	Belmont		. No	
111	Bruce Castle		. Yes	Attack dogs should be put down; owners should be fined/jailed.
112	Bruce Castle		. Yes	Swings are chewed by dogs
113	Bruce Castle		. Yes	Dog owners should be educated to be more responsible
114	Bruce Castle		. Yes	This will need to be policed by someone to make it work.
115	Bruce Castle		. Yes	
116	Bruce Castle		. Yes	Responsible owners pick up after their dogs. They will be negatively affected because of the few irresponsible owners. Concern is lack of enforcement of any antisocial behaviour. Consultation in parks is restricted to weekdays 9 to 5 so working people can't attend.
117	Bruce Castle		. Yes	
118	Bruce Castle		. No	drinkers, we should have outside
119	Bruce Castle		. No	dogs fascinated with the owners carrying things
120	Bruce Castle		. No	
121	Bruce Castle		. No	

122	Bruce Castle		. No	Every now and then, they use dogs for fighting. Parks should have toilets just like dogs have bins.
123	Bruce Castle		. No	Sometimes even when a dog is on a lead it is clear by the way it pulls the owner along that it is not under control. Training should be suggested or enforced
124	Bruce Castle		. No	limit the number to one
125	Bruce Castle		. No	whether it should be kept in a lead should depend on the size and breed of dog
126	Bruce Castle		. No	
127	Bruce Castle		. No	
128	Bruce Castle		. No	
129	Bruce Castle		. No	
130	Bruce Castle		. No	Dogs should always be kept on a lead.
131	Bruce Castle		. No	I think all dogs should be muzzled in all public places. If they were always muzzled in parks then I would not mind them being let off their leads. They need to run about but the public need to be made safe.
132	Bruce Castle		. No	All dogs should be muzzled when outside their own home.
133	Bruce Castle		. No	Should not be allowed to own dangerous or aggressive types of dog
134	Bruce Castle		. No	
135	Bruce Castle		. No	
136	Bruce Castle		. No	Some people organise dog fights
137	Bruce Castle		. No	
138	Bruce Castle		. No	A nearby council estate has a resident with a rottweiler which bars entry to the flats, unless the owner is present.
139	Bruce Castle		. No	
140	Bruce Castle		. No	
141	Bruce Castle	Highgate Woods	No	needs to be some prosecutions for failing to clear up after your dog and publicity about convictions - not about the amount of fine but profile and embarrassment of offender
142	Bruce Castle	Lordship Rec	No	It would be acceptable for dogs to have to be walked on the lead in the small parks, cemeteries etc. but it is not necessary to exclude them.
143	Bruce Castle	Priory Park	No	In Bruce Castle dog is trained to bite tree branches
144	Bruce Castle	Other local space	No	People should also keep the dogs indoor if they (the person) are indoors; and not leave them in the front garden. They should always make sure the gate and front door are shut. I nearly got hurt from a dog because the owner left the front door open
145	Bruce Castle	Cemetery / playing fields	No	
146	Chestnuts		. Yes	
147	Chestnuts		. Yes	
148	Chestnuts	Finsbury Park	Yes	Any dog breaking a DCO to be humanely put down at owner's expense, and the owner prosecuted. Maximum fine to be increased by 100% and non-payment to carry a prison sentence.
149	Chestnuts		. No	This park must be without dogs
150	Chestnuts		. No	Muzzles on staffs
151	Chestnuts		. No	whilst training in Chestnut Park
152	Chestnuts		. No	I am living near by the Park however all around in the park is Dog poo and barking. This is dangerous for people who are walking or running in the park, dog fighting as well
153	Chestnuts		. No	Limit the number to 2 at any time
154	Chestnuts		. No	

155	Chestnuts		No	A very aggressive dog is chewing through the fence (from a council-owned property). I can find no information on the council website dealing with this kind of eventuality. Aggressive dogs on private property can be a problem too.
156	Chestnuts		No	
157	Chestnuts	Downhills	No	A LOT more action needs to be taken to ensure dogs are properly controlled (in parks and on the streets) and enforcing the clearing up of dog poop.
158	Chestnuts	Downhills	No	The real problems from status dogs with irresponsible owners. I'm not worried about a granny walking her poodle but I am worried about the gang of youths with pit bulls / crosses. Neither the youths nor their poor dogs have been raised properly. A solution is to ban anyone with a criminal record / ASBOs / council tenants from keeping a dog which can cause severe harm -whether on or off the lead. You need to target the irresponsible 5%, not penalise the responsible 95%
159	Chestnuts	Downhills	No	6 is far too many. There are far too many uncontrolled dogs in Haringey -my children are terrified of them. We've had balls burst and stolen by dogs over the years. Many owners appear incapable on disinterested in controlling their dogs. There are not enough parks wardens and the idea of one person controlling 6 dogs is a joke.
160	Chestnuts	Downhills	No	Enforcement. A phone number on the parks notice is no help when dog owners won't remove their dogs from the children's area in Brunswick Park - which doubles as a dog and drug zone
161	Chestnuts	Finsbury Park	No	
162	Chestnuts	Finsbury Park	No	
163	Chestnuts	Stationers	No	Dogs should not be kept in HfH flats which have communal entrances and communal gardens
164	Coldfall Woods		Yes	Dog fouling in play areas on short grass on paths and footways should be an offence if the owner does not clear up the mess. However my dog has been trained to defecate in thick undergrowth. Should he be ill for any reason I always carry bio degradable bags for clearing up the mess.
165	Coldfall Woods		Yes	
166	Coldfall Woods		Yes	Regarding the Councils' consultation on Dog Control Orders, I am a regular user of Coldfall Wood; both as someone exercising a pet and as walker out to enjoy the woods. I recently became aware of a poster and leaflet campaign against tighter regulation of dogs and their walkers. I AM IN FAVOUR OF REQUIRING OWNERS TO LEASH THEIR DOGS IN PUBLIC PARKS AND RECREATION AREAS such as Coldfall Woods. Although Coldfall woods maybe considered a large space, at busy periods (mornings, esp. weekends) there are simply too many dogs using this area. I urge the council to visit this location on a weekend mid-morning and witness numerous dogs allowed to roam loose and cause anxiety and distress to other pets, their owners and others not exercising their pets. Alongside professional dog walkers, you can expect to see people transporting their dogs by car so they may let them loose in the woods. I appreciate that dogs need exercise but
167	Coldfall Woods		Yes	
168	Coldfall Woods		Yes	

173	Coldfall Woods	Highgate Woods	Yes	I never see Wardens patrolling any of the areas I walk in. A visible regular presence would go a long way to stopping/inhibiting dog fouling/bad behaviour in dogs/fighting etc.
174	Coldfall Woods	Highgate Woods	Yes	Please lower the maximum number of spaces for people to walk dogs off lead
175	Coldfall Woods	Cemetery / playing fields	Yes	When marked public areas are not being used for football etc, dogs should be able to be off the lead, when the areas are being used, dogs should be on a lead but not excluded.
176	Coldfall Woods	Cemetery / playing fields	Yes	Camden seem to have organised their parks well, by fencing off children's play areas in parks etc. You need more dog bins in parks and woods and it's about time dog walkers started contributing toward the cost of the clean up. They are making money dog walking. I'm totally against draconian measures to prevent dogs and owners walk their dogs with the constant risk of breaking some law or other. You might like target football players who always leave litter on Coldfall field after matches.
177	Coldfall Woods		No	Somebody let a dog into children's play area, chasing little girl to be scared
178	Coldfall Woods		No	
179	Coldfall Woods		No	
180	Downhills		Yes	While I agree that dogs should and must be under the owners control at all times, they must also be allowed freedom to have a good run off leash and be able to play and socialise with other dogs. Unfortunately there is no equivalent to the Human Rights Act for dogs but they are living breathing loving animals and deserve to be treated with freedom under owners supervision.
181	Downhills		Yes	Humans leave more rubbish in the park than do dogs. They leave plastic bottles, cans, food and bones that are dangerous to all wildlife. Responsible owners keep their dogs under control with or without a lead. We also pick up after them. It's people who don't care that will be your problem.
182	Downhills		Yes	Consider muzzling all dogs in public as many dogs are ill trained/trained to be aggressive and are stronger than their owners who are unable to physically restrain them if they attack. This is not cruel to the dog and would also restrict their use as intimidatory weapons. I am unsure however how any of the proposals are to be enforced. I believe that irresponsible citizens will ignore them and that it will be the responsible dog owner who will suffer.
183	Downhills		Yes	How do you police these areas? Dog-wardens? Responsible owners should be allowed to exercise their dogs off lead. Irresponsible owners tend to take no notice and will not follow a control order. There is a problem with big, aggressive dogs off lead.
184	Downhills	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes	I agree that Dogs should be kept on lead near cafes and children's playgrounds. Human fouling near playing fields in park is a greater problem than dog fouling and some measure should be put in place to control this.
185	Downhills	Bruce Castle	Yes	I would like to know who will be trained to take the enforcement role and how they will demonstrate that they are engaging problem dog owners rather than picking off generally compliant dog owners
186	Downhills	Chestnuts	Yes	It is not fair to penalise responsible dog owners with DCOs. The irresponsible dog owners - many of them thugs - should be made to behave and have dogs taken from them

187	Downhills	Lordship Rec	Yes	Our concern is that no one will be there to police these policies, and nothing will therefore change
188	Downhills	Lordship Rec	Yes	one concern is the fact that there will be no want to police or the policies and nothing will change
189	Downhills	Lordship Rec	Yes	re Q6 - agree that dogs should be on leads rather everywhere mentioned with the exception of small areas of land - for some owners this may be the only place they can adequately exercise their dog. Re. being instructed by an official - who would this be and would that be for specific dogs only and would this be a judgement call for the official or would there be specific guidelines associated? The DCO don't seem to place much responsibility on the owner - banning or limiting the movement of dogs will not remove the problem of out of control dogs. Is a dog that runs near (but does nothing) a group of people who are scared of dogs scream and make a scene out of control... or is it the dog on a lead lunging at dogs and children. The latter is certainly more prevalent and wouldn't be addressed by these proposals.
190	Downhills	Lordship Rec	Yes	
191	Downhills		No	
192	Downhills		No	
193	Downhills		No	Not enough poo bins at Downhills. There should be bags by the side of bins
194	Downhills		No	
195	Downhills		No	12 Clyde Road there is an aggressive dog which could be a danger to children playing in the street, which they often do in the area.
196	Downhills		No	The majority of dog owners are very responsible, it is the irresponsible dog owners who should be targeted and sanctioned. Also some dog owners do not appreciate that there are some people who dislike or are frightened of dogs in general and these people should be able to enjoy a Park without being frightened by the attention of unwanted dogs. I hope that sensitive dog-owners are aware of this. Sometimes, in Downhills Park, aggressive dogs are allowed to run amok and damage tree bark and branches. Sometimes, dogs that are not on a lead, are allowed by their owners to run around near the new Cafe or near to where people are playing on the large grassed open playing area. Fortunately such incidents seem to be less frequent in recent months, but it is important that such behaviour should be discouraged. Downhills Park is big enough for dogs to be allowed off the lead providing they are properly controlled. I can see that free-roaming dogs could be a problem in very small park
197	Downhills		No	DOGS CONTINUALLY BARKING IN PEOPLES' BACK GARDENS
201	Downhills	Lordship Rec	No	We need authorised people to be able to enforce these measures. I feel very intimidated by some of the owners of dangerous dogs and do not feel comfortable to approach them. Without manpower these measures will not be effective.

202	Downhills	Lordship Rec	No	I think most dog owners in the vicinity are responsible and respectful of others. Public playing spaces like swing areas are already dog free spaces. Dogs need to run in the park it is important. Responsible dog owners know how to be in control of their dogs. The type of person who is an irresponsible dog owner is less likely to be affected by rules and regulations, especially as the resources needed to enforce them are not available with the cuts. A public awareness programme would be better, tackling the irrational fear that many people who have never been around a dog have and educating both dog owners and non dog owners to encourage a live and let live attitude.
205	Ducketts Common	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes	I don't see how you can enforce the dog fouling law - it's not currently enforced anyway. If very early in the morning and no-one is around, and I know my dog will not foul, the five a side court is very useful for training my dog - as we don't have a garden this is invaluable and helps me to be a responsible dog owner. I would always leave the court if someone came in - would I be breaking the law by using the court in this way at unsociable hours?
206	Ducketts Common		No	The amount of dog crap in Wood Green / Turnpike Lane / Tottenham is DISGUSTING. It should be #1 priority to tackle this and fine those responsible. Since moving to this borough I've been shocked at how bad the problem is.
207	Ducketts Common		No	As always, the problem here is "status" dogs - most of the young men around here who walk around with one don't seem to have trained their dogs, and certainly don't look like they could control them. Other dogs are fine, and I don't mind them running around Ducketts common without a lead.
208	Ducketts Common		No	
209	Ducketts Common		No	All dogs should have be licensed and chipped
210	Ducketts Common	Alexandra Palace /park	No	On one estate (The Sandlings) there are now dog "poo" bins so there is no excuse for owners living on the estate and others walking through not to clean up after their pets. It's not fair to residents or responsible dog owners or grounds staff having dog poo around. We are trying to make the estate nice - also have a gardening club and do not need dogs of the leads either.
211	Ducketts Common	Priory Park	No	
212	Finsbury Gdns		Yes	Responsible dog owners are being persecuted for irresponsible dog owners. Irresponsible dog owners are not going to listen to any legislation.
213	Finsbury Gdns		Yes	I don't think banning dogs from small open spaces is a solution to the problem of dog owners failing to pick up after their dogs as the irresponsible dog owners will continue to use the areas and let their dogs foul in them as has happened in the park on park rd behind bounds green school which is commonly known by parents as 'the dog poo park'!
214	Finsbury Gdns		Yes	Target irresponsible dog owners, why should responsible dog owners are punished?
215	Finsbury Gdns		Yes	Responsible dog owners should not be targeted because of irresponsible dog owners.
216	Finsbury Gdns		Yes	Concern is the responsible dog owners, vast majority, would be negatively affected if DCO are put in place

217					
	Finsbury Gdns		. Yes		the Bowes Park Community Association took an aggressive approach of telling its members to approach dog owners and ask if they had a poo bag; to tell their children to approach owners and tell them to put dogs on a lead in Finsbury gardens and to take pictures of owners walking their dogs off lead. They are a group of anti dog people and when I was nominated to that committee, they over ruled me about my opinions of fair play and tolerance to local residents. They are a clique who decide what local people should be doing, and implement changes that we don't agree with. There was no democratic process. They do much good work, but are completely unrepresentative.
218	Finsbury Gdns	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes		Please ensure that poo bins are emptied regularly. The ones in the park between Bounds Green Road and Nightingale are often overflowing for weeks on end.
219	Finsbury Gdns	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes		
220	Finsbury Gdns	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes		I was hear that will be problem to stay with dogs in Finsbury Garden park. I think that this will be stupid idea. Some people are much aggressive and dirty than dogs.
221	Finsbury Gdns	Hidden River path	Yes		
222	Finsbury Gdns	Woodside	Yes		Exclude dogs from local Finsbury Park <i>at certain times</i>
223	Finsbury Gdns		. No		
224	Finsbury Gdns		. No		Finsbury Gardens ideally should be open to all - including dogs and their owners. However I would like to see gods excluded. Because the park is very small making it difficult to have spaces that cater for dogs and no dogs. Although many dog owners are responsible and pick up, many do not. The park has been covered with dog excrement prior to works being done and now the park has been made over it would be great if people of all ages can enjoy the picnic and play areas without stepping in something.
225	Finsbury Gdns		. No		
226	Finsbury Gdns		. No		
227	Finsbury Gdns		. No		Finsbury Gardens is a small park overrun by dogs... I would comment that, even if dogs were allowed in on leads, this would still result in children playing on their wee, or picking up faeces on their shoes. I really do believe banning dogs would be only solution for this small space.

228	Finsbury Gdns	. No	I agree with the council's proposal to keep dogs on a lead in this park, I strongly disagree with the proposal of the friends of Finsbury Gardens to ban dogs from the park altogether. This park is there for the whole community and that includes families with children and dogs, old people who can't walk their dogs very far and people who just want to meet in this space and have a chat.
229	Finsbury Gdns	. No	Finsbury Gardens currently has signage requesting dogs to be kept on leads but there has never been any council or police presence to enforce this. Dogs are specifically brought by certain owners to Finsbury Gardens to foul, often under cover of darkness. I would like to see a clamp down with actual fines for owners offending in line with the zeal of Haringey Parking attendants - the council could raise a lot of money this way and very easily make our green spaces so much nicer.
230	Finsbury Gdns	. No	
231	Finsbury Gdns	. No	Dog fouling is a real problem in the area - on the streets as well as in local parks. I feel this is a public health hazard as well as an unsightly nuisance - every time I go out with my children I have to check that I am not bringing dog poo back into my house on the wheels of the pram or that my toddlers have not fallen over in the street and touched any.
232	Finsbury Gdns	. No	
233	Finsbury Gdns	. No	We live a 2 minutes' walk from Finsbury Gardens and use the playground on a nearly daily basis with our 2 children (7 years and 3 years). We love the park as it has a lovely family feel to it but hate that we have to prevent our children from stepping onto the grass as it is covered with dog poo. Especially our little one doesn't understand why he cannot play on the grass and it's a constant struggle to hold him by the hand and not allow him to run around freely. Not surprisingly we don't always succeed and EVERY time they do manage to get onto the grass we face the same issues: within a few moments the kids have stepped into fresh dog poo which ruins their shoes / trousers let alone has them in tears because they stink and have to go home (this happens at least once every other month). I have twice found my little son sitting on the grass verge eating dried dog poo because he thought it looked interesting. The park is an absolute disgusting mess and I cannot believe that dogs h
234	Finsbury Gdns	. No	I think in small spaces like Finsbury Gardens dogs should be banned. In slightly larger parks that are full of children and picnickers - like Priory Park - they should be on leads and ALWAYS cleaned up after. It's hard in Highgate and Queens Woods and Ally Pally because dogs need somewhere to roam, but we've had problems with dogs coming and eating picnic food and scaring children. Another problem is that many walkers seem unable to control all their dogs - even 6 seems too many to me! They don't watch them and don't see all their poos. And in these wilder places children still love to romp and we often get dog poo on our shoes, pushchair wheels and even on our hands etc if we are sitting down for a while. I'm VERY glad you are carrying out this survey. It makes me proud that I live in Haringey. I hope you will take tough measures. There is NO excuse for dog muck these days.

235	Finsbury Gdns		No	Desperate for Finsbury Gardens, N22 8PF (between Truro Road and Nightingale Road) to be made a dog-free area. Finsbury Gardens is Bowes Park's primary open space and is a central part of our community. Unfortunately irresponsible dog owners have turned it into pretty much a no-go area for anyone other than dog owners and their dogs. It has got to the point where the dog messes (literally hundreds of them) and unruly dog behaviour have made our local park unusable by large parts of the community and unsafe, particularly for children. Dogs are supposed to be kept on leads, and it is clearly signposted, but almost no-one abides by this. There is also a large area just across the road (Hidden River Path) particularly suited to letting dogs run free. Finsbury Gardens has also just won some lottery funding and is undergoing a major facelift geared to making the gardens a nicer place for children of all ages. It would be a tragic waste if all the good work, money and intentions went to was
236	Finsbury Gdns		No	DCO's are an excellent idea but only work if they are implemented and 'policed'. I have frequently seen dogs fouling the Gardens and the mess being left by owners, despite there being dog bins, official signs and requests asking dog owners to dispose of the poo. We have tried and it's an uphill battle to keep the grass poo-free which is a great shame for children and adults who want to use the space without worrying about what they might step in.
237	Finsbury Gdns		No	I am a grandmother of 4 small children who I bring often to Finsbury Gardens to play. I also have a litter-picker and regularly walk the Gardens and other green spaces and pick up litter that has been dropped. I know the Gardens very well. It is awful that there is always so much dog poo on the grass. It is probably only a few people who let their dogs do this, but it is spoilt for everyone. Some people also let their dogs off the lead and some children get very scared when they come up close to them 'to play'. Just over Nightingale Road (a few metres) all dogs can go where they like – and they can run all the way to Ally Pally. Dog walkers can easily walk around the outside of the Gardens and then the dogs can run free. Finsbury Gardens itself should be for people, and not for dogs. Children should be able to play on the grass without getting dangerous and messy dog poo on their feet, and we should all be able to sit and have a picnic on the grass without getting filthy.
238	Finsbury Gdns		No	Dog fouling is getting worse in the area please do something about this.
239	Finsbury Gdns		No	Exclude dogs from Finsbury gardens. More signs and patrolling of pathway that runs alongside railway line from Bowes to Palmers green as this area often has dog fouling. Also more signs along river walk as this area often has dog fouling.
240	Finsbury Gdns		No	
241	Finsbury Gdns	Albert Road Rec	No	Children's areas in parks probably take up 5% of the space, dogs can run free and mess on 95% of the space. I believe that dogs should be restricted to an area no bigger than that given to children's play areas. We would not tolerate humans excreting all over parkland and as you cannot tell when a dog will defecate or urinate, they should be kept in small enclosed areas. This is how Kennington Park in Lambeth is set up. Note: you cannot clean up urine at all or faeces from grassed areas.
242	Finsbury Gdns	Albert Road Rec	No	

243	Finsbury Gdns	Alexandra Palace /park	No	Our park is not officially locked at night and that is when the irresponsible dog owners come in. I also know of at least two pit bull types that do not seem to have been checked by Haringey. Why not?
244	Finsbury Gdns	Hidden River path	No	
245	Finsbury Gdns	Hidden River path	No	Please exclude dogs from Finsbury Gardens so that children can play there safely, without fear of the dogs and without getting covered in dog poo. It's ideal for children as it has railings and play equipment. It is no use for exercising dogs as it is too small so the only reason their owners take them there is to poo. Better that they take them over to Alexandra Park for exercise which is v nearby.
246	Finsbury Gdns	Parkland Walk	No	Finsbury Gardens is a small park with a children's play area and a green space which families use for picnicking and relaxation. In the past owners have been asked to keep their dogs on leads in the park and to clean up after their dogs with special bins being placed in the park. Although most dog owners respect this and behave responsibly there is a minority that do not and as a result children may be frightened and there is often a significant amount of dog fouling in the garden. It is easy for dog owners to walk to the side of the park and then move onto the open green area leading to Alexander Park station.
247	Finsbury Gdns	Parkland Walk	No	Too many irresponsible dog owners allow their pets to foul Finsbury Gardens and do not clean up after them. Children are at risk from toxicariasis, and everyone finds it unpleasant & disgusting. I have sometimes asked people to clean up after their dogs and have either been ignored or verbally abused. Dogs off leads can be frightening and intimidating, both to children and adults. They are also a risk to other pets, and can cause traffic accidents. Dogs can be exercised just over the road on the New River Path where there is open green space which runs all the way up to Alexandra Park. There is also the New River Path going in the opposite direction from Myddleton Road. Although I like dogs very much and sympathise with responsible dog owners, I believe the only way to solve these problems in Finsbury Gardens is for it to be made a Dog Exclusion Zone.
248	Finsbury Gdns	Other local space	No	
249	Finsbury Park		. Yes	
250	Finsbury Park		. Yes	Police patrols should be introduced at Finsbury Park. Immediate attention to young trees being vandalised by dogs and people.
251	Finsbury Park		. Yes	Agree dangerous dogs should be kept on a lead. Not at all times.
252	Finsbury Park		. Yes	Generally in favour but how will they be enforced? Park police patrols have been a loss.
253	Finsbury Park		. Yes	
254	Finsbury Park		. Yes	
255	Finsbury Park		. Yes	

256	Finsbury Park	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes	I strongly agree that dogs should be kept on a lead on public roads, but not on small areas of land. Dogs play an important part in many people's lives, most of whom are responsible dog owners. In caring properly for a dog it is essential to be able to provide adequate exercise - they need to run freely off the lead regularly - particularly in urban areas where most people do not have large gardens. It is therefore essential that we are not prevented from exercising our well-behaved dogs in local parks because a few individuals do not behave responsibly. It is also important not to 'demonise' dogs as a public nuisance when they, in fact, bring a lot of positive benefit to people's lives.
257	Finsbury Park	Hampstead Heath	Yes	
258	Finsbury Park	Hidden River path	Yes	
259	Finsbury Park	Highgate Woods	Yes	Some people freak on at me and yell to put her on a lead. I think the owners have rights too. Let us be responsible enough to know when and when not to. Trust dog owners
260	Finsbury Park	Parkland Walk	Yes	Homes for Haringey does not own any land. All housing land is owned by Haringey Council. I would include open areas such as that behind the civic centre , in front of the Bernie Grant Centre, leisure centres and the Council's Estate , including Supported Housing Schemes as areas where dogs must be on a lead at all times .
261	Finsbury Park	Parkland Walk	Yes	dealing with aggressive dogs even on a lead, reporting for something to be done
262	Finsbury Park	Parkland Walk	Yes	Problem: dealing with aggressive dogs - reporting system for action on offenders.
263	Finsbury Park		No	Dogs are not on leads and this could be very dangerous as they attack small children.
264	Finsbury Park		No	
265	Finsbury Park		No	People walking their dogs on adjacent roads and letting them foul these areas.
266	Finsbury Park		No	
267	Finsbury Park		No	Too many dogs are not on leads on the streets. This may be dangerous RE: Traffic. It is also intimidating to pedestrians afraid of dogs. Dogs should be chipped with owners details so that the owners can be held responsible for them.
268	Finsbury Park		No	
269	Finsbury Park		No	
270	Finsbury Park		No	
271	Finsbury Park		No	
272	Finsbury Park		No	To apply age restrictions to dog ownership would help prevent youngsters using dogs as weapons
273	Finsbury Park		No	If the dog is not on a lead have an enclosed space for dogs off lead.
274	Finsbury Park		No	A ridiculous number of owners who do not train their dogs.
275	Finsbury Park		No	Finsbury park is full in dogs that could be on the banned bread list. The dog warden and the police need to do a week long sweep of the park and taking dogs that may be of risk. Patrols need to be carried out in order to catch people who let their dogs foul the area
276	Finsbury Park		No	
277	Finsbury Park		No	
278	Finsbury Park		No	I'm shocked that this consultation does not address the use of dogs as a weapon for intimidation. Moreover it is plain to see abuse of dogs by owners who train them to be aggressive. These issues must be addressed.
279	Finsbury Park		No	

286	Finsbury Park		No	we need better enforcement facilities. More dog wardens
291	Finsbury Park	Markfield	No	these should be some dog free paths, picnic areas as other children's playground areas too
292	Finsbury Park	Parkland Walk	No	I do not own a dog, but have not noticed any particular problems with dog walkers in Finsbury Park or along the parkland walk and would oppose any Draconian measures that limit the freedom of local dog owners unnecessarily.
293	Finsbury Park	Parkland Walk	No	
294	Finsbury Park	Parkland Walk	No	My proposition is the installation of at least one enclosed area in most of big parks where dogs can run and exercise freely. These areas should be big and also pleasant for both owners and dogs. Apart from these enclosed areas, dogs should be kept on leads at all times. This disposition would sort out both issues of cleaning after dogs and unsafe feeling for the other people and children.
295	Finsbury Park	Parkland Walk	No	
296	Finsbury Park	Queens Wood	No	How do you propose to enforce DCOs. Dog fouling is already an offence and yet I see little evidence of any consequence for the owners.
300	Hampstead Heath		Yes	it should be easier to report dangerous dogs
301	Hampstead Heath		Yes	
302	Hampstead Heath	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes	Only law abiding owners who already control and socialise their dogs will pay attention to any new regulations. These will be a waste of time as the people and dogs you are targeting will take no notice of the rules. You need to reintroduce the dog license and ensure all dangerous dogs have special licenses and pass strict training - else their dog will be removed
303	Hampstead Heath	Lordship Rec	Yes	Some of the questions are duplicated. Is this, is what called fixing tax vote? I think so, be honest, if that is all possible, I think not.
304	Hampstead Heath	Parkland Walk	Yes	
305	Hampstead Heath		No	
306	Hidden River path		Yes	Bins to be installed so that poo can be disposed, this is the priority
307	Highgate Woods		Yes	
308	Highgate Woods		Yes	
309	Highgate Woods	Queens Wood	Yes	If people would educate themselves properly about dogs, whether a dog owner or not, many of these issues would be resolved.
310	Highgate Woods		No	Limit number to 4
313	Highgate Woods		No	Mainly dog fouling but also accidentally people not controlling their dogs.
314	Highgate Woods		No	
315	Highgate Woods	Albert Road Rec	No	Owners of dogs which historically have an aggressive nature. Pit bulls, Rottwilers etc ought to be obliged to have a separate, renewable license which required each dogs being microchips. Enforcement of these requirements should reduce the numbers of such inappropriate animals.

316	Highgate Woods	Hampstead Heath	No	I love animals, particularly dogs is I have nothing much against them but the owners. However, some breeds are well known to be aggressive, therefore I think those dogs should have a muzzle. I have found that these dogs are the favourites of "tough" looking guys usually wearing a hood, quite scary!
317	Highgate Woods	Priory Park	No	
318	Highgate Woods	Queens Wood	No	Pet owners allow their dogs to run into front gardens. some dog owners can be very aggressive when told to control their dog
319	Highgate Woods	Waterlow Park	No	
320	Highgate Woods	Other local space	No	
321	Lordship Rec		. Yes	Dogs of a type known to be vicious should be on a lead at all times
322	Lordship Rec		. Yes	
323	Lordship Rec		. Yes	
324	Lordship Rec	Bruce Castle	Yes	I am unable to agree with the question on marked sports grounds question 6 because unless the sports ground/pitch is fenced a dog would occasionally walk on it and would then be subject to a breach of the DCO. If the sports pitch or ground was fenced off then I would agree. Any dog owner would be mad to agree to it because if you throw a ball a dog will sometimes continue running forward this could well be on an unfenced pitch/sports ground. The questions could have been phrased better. Dog Control Orders will not stop people with vicious dogs entering parks, my dogs who have no aggression have been attacked by youths with the pit-bull or Staffordshire bull terrier type. How will DCO's stop this? They can't. The youths would not even listen if told by an official to put a dog on a lead; the officer would probably end up being bitten if he/she tried to push it. Ban youths from taking these type of dogs in parks. The police always see these youths on the street with their dogs off lead,
325	Lordship Rec	Downhills	Yes	I am in a wheelchair and would be upset if I have to keep my dog on a lead as it gets tangled with the wheels
326	Lordship Rec	Downhills	Yes	
327	Lordship Rec	Downhills	Yes	There are already rules in place but these are not enforced. How do you intend to enforce any more dog control orders. It should be an offence to ignore these and be enforced by fines through the Courts.
328	Lordship Rec	Downhills	Yes	Make it an offence to keep a dog off the lead in the street and public highways. My dog has been attacked twice by such dogs. I once also witnessed a dog getting run over by a car because it saw a cat on the other side of the road and run across the road to chase it. Have patrols in parks and other open spaces in the afternoons and evenings as it is usually a time when irresponsible dog owners like to show off their aggressive dogs and encourage them to fight and attack other dogs; make it an offence to use status dogs to intimidate people especially schoolchildren like I have seen in Wood Green High Road at the end of the school day. Basically, concentrate your restrictions and legislations on those dog owners who own dogs for all the wrong reasons (such as status, intimidation, dog fighting, etc) and not penalise those who love their dog(s), regardless of the breed, and do all the right things by their dog and the public.
329	Lordship Rec	River Lea towpath	Yes	

330	Lordship Rec	Tower Gardens	Yes	Although I have a dog, The amount of dog faeces on the Seward Road and surrounding roads is a health hazard. There has been up to 42 when going to the park. I'm so glad that stricter rules could be enforced. Thank you.
331	Lordship Rec		No	Dogs off lead except for aggressive breeds. It's not fair to penalise responsible owners and dogs
335	Lordship Rec		No	I just do not understand where did you get number six, how a single person can control six dogs. This is a joke please use common sense. Again I have to admit that because of dogs we are restricted to live with dogs unfortunately.
336	Lordship Rec		No	
337	Lordship Rec	Bruce Castle	No	All dogs should be on leads otherwise they should wear muzzles and should have designated areas in the park for dogs and dog owners where irresponsible dog owners can walk in their dogs and other dogs mess. Dogs should be with responsible adults not minors. Parks should be monitored using patrols and CCTV on a regular basis to ensure that the DCOs are working
338	Lordship Rec	Downhills	No	
339	Markfield		Yes	More policing of parks where there are dogs. People under 21 should not be allowed to own a dog - most problems with youths who cannot control their dogs where there is a problem. Dogs should be compulsory chipped.
340	Markfield		Yes	
341	Markfield		Yes	As a dog owner, owners must be responsible. Dog owners use the park 365 days of the year, more than most people in the community. They must pick up after the dogs and have control.
342	Markfield		Yes	Dogs should be on leads in public places where people meet e.g. Markfield and Springfield parks cafes. I wouldn't mind if half the park is OK for dogs on leads, and the other half free to roam. Agree with no dogs in playgrounds and pitches.
343	Markfield		Yes	
344	Markfield	River Lea towpath	Yes	it would seem more appropriate for untrained dogs to be kept under control as some are trained very well already and owners do not need to put them on a lead. No area should be excluded from a dog which is kept under control. There are kids in the area a lot worse than some dogs.
345	Markfield		No	humans are at least as important as dogs
346	Markfield		No	
347	Markfield		No	Since we don't own ourselves a dog and very few people in our area own a dog, my little children are prettified when they see a dog in the distance. In their fear they run - often dangerously in the middle-of-the-road where there's heavy traffic. I do try best to keep them calm. I have explained to them numerous times not be frightened by practically it doesn't help.
348	Markfield		No	
349	Markfield		No	My young children are extremely frightened of dogs and I can't take them to the local parks due to their fears. Please make at least one park that is totally dog free.
350	Markfield		No	Even a dog on lead can sometimes be used to tease and many people who are afraid. This should be an offence
351	Markfield		No	I think six dogs is too many, shouldn't be more than one. So the owner can keep an eye on his dog properly. More than two occasions there was a dog in Markfield Park with no adults around. Laws have to be in force
352	Markfield		No	I understand the need for the dog walkers but I think it would be a good idea to have some dog free Spaces, parks, green grass area just for people, children.

353	Markfield	.	No	Having DCOs for 'on-lead' is unfair to responsible owners. DCOs should take into account the type of dog. Concern that it's some dog owners that make their dogs how they are.
354	Markfield	.	No	
355	Markfield	.	No	Stray dogs are a problem. Who collects them the time it takes to pick up the dogs. Park Keepers need dog leads to hold dogs. Dog owners can be very aggressive + fighting each other.
356	Markfield	.	No	
357	Markfield	.	No	Limit numbers to 1
358	Markfield	.	No	I don't want dogs to be in the park where children play and any other are where there are children. Dogs not to be allowed in flats or picnic areas.
359	Markfield	.	No	I have 3 children and I feel intimidated coming to Markfield where some people have 'status' dogs. Young people should not be allowed to own dogs especially the 'fashionable' dogs. Dogs should not be off lead in picnic areas.
360	Markfield	.	No	
361	Markfield	.	No	Limit number to 2. It is already an offence not to pick up dog mess. Why not enforce this and the Dangerous Dogs Act?
362	Markfield	.	No	
363	Markfield	.	No	Limit number to 1 not 6
364	Markfield	.	No	
365	Markfield	.	No	Bags need to be supplied to pick up poo. Children should be allowed to play freely in open spaces
368	Markfield	.	No	Responsibility of owners: enforcement if owners fail to comply.
369	Markfield	.	No	6 dogs is too many. Max of 1 so the owner can manage the dog properly. On more than two occasions there was a dog in Markfield Park where no dogs are allowed. Laws have to be enforced. Thank you
372	Markfield	.	No	I think that in local parks it should be an offence to walk a dog without a lead. As I have had experience with dogs chasing me and I have been very frightened. I thank you for your help.
379	Markfield	.	No	Dogs often have irresponsible owners. I can see a 7 year old child alone with a dog - totally irresponsible. Also owners can be wild with their dogs and this makes the dogs go totally out of control.
380	Markfield	.	No	I would like all dogs to be kept on leads at all times. My children are frightened of dogs not on leads.
381	Markfield	.	No	Control orders have to be enforced, I use the kids park in Markfield (Crowland Road) and even though there is a sign excluding dogs there were dogs running around the playground on a few different occasions. Dogs fouling in the South Tottenham streets are very bad. I am stepping over dirt all the time and it's very disgusting
382	Markfield	.	No	It seems that dogs rule - why? Why are authorities so scared to request an owner to put their dog on a lead? This is an uncivilised country where dogs run around wildly and foul. It should be a law that all dogs should always be on a lead - everywhere.
383	Markfield	.	No	
384	Markfield	Springfield	No	Dogs should never be allowed in children's playgrounds or lawns even but no one is there as they foul areas. How can children play there or people play games or lie on the grass. It's a healthy hazard and disgusting.

385	Markfield	Springfield	No	The dogs in this area seem to own the parks, as opposed to the people who pay the Council Tax. Families who do not own dogs are intimidated by dog owners who do not respect the fear caused by their dogs. Ideally we would like one dog-free day a week (or month), when we could enjoy the parks - and the peace from intrusion and barking.
386	Noel Park	Russell Park	Yes	Nearly all the dog owners whom use Russell Park/Noel Park know and respect each other, the park, pick up after their dog and have full control of their dogs. There are, however, people that use the park late in the evening (even when the park is "locked" - though there are broken fences and gates left open) who allow their dogs to foul and use the children's play areas. Banning dogs will not stop these people, only the responsible owners whom should not be punished. I use the park two times a day with my dog and I pick up his mess, and I also pick up the litter that children and homeless people leave behind. It's better to allow dogs and control the situation with fines and officers that occasionally enter the park, rather than try to ban dogs. Not all dogs are problem dogs and parks are for EVERYONE.
387	Noel Park		. No	There seems to be no problems with dogs at the park when my friends and I visit. They all seem quite friendly?
388	Noel Park	Alexandra Palace /park	No	ID for dogs + dog owners. Licensing for dog owners.
389	Parkland Walk		. Yes	
390	Parkland Walk		. Yes	there are no caged of areas for dogs to run around in parks like Finsbury park off he lead where big dogs can be exercised there should be a limit on the amount of dogs allowed in at once.
391	Parkland Walk		. Yes	
392	Parkland Walk		. Yes	There should be areas where dogs can be off lead, making it a family area and safe because there would be lots of people around
393	Parkland Walk		. Yes	Disagree with half hectare provision. People should not be penalised for acting responsibly
394	Parkland Walk		. Yes	I'm confused where DCOs meet Dangerous Dogs Act. How will enforcement be achieved
395	Parkland Walk		. Yes	There's a concern that once restrictions are imposed on dog owners, then other restrictions will follow on e.g joggers and cyclists. Controls should start with signage rather than immediate restrictions
396	Parkland Walk		. Yes	Most dog walkers along the Parkland Walk are extremely responsible and I feel it would be sad not to be able to let well-behaved dogs off the lead on this walk, especially in the winter months when Highgate Woods closes early. Also, we often let our dog off the lead in the small park at the other end of the Parkland Walk, the other side of Muswell Hill (on the way to Alexandra Palace). If dog owners are responsible and pick up after their dogs, this shouldn't be a problem.

397	Parkland Walk	Finsbury Park	Yes	It would be unreasonable to force responsible dog owners, who are in the vast majority, to have to restrict their dogs simply because of a minority. It should be sufficient to empower officials to target problem dog owners- not penalise everybody. The "anti dog" lobby seems to proceed on the ridiculous assumption that all dogs are dangerous. This is plain nonsense. Dogs have mixed with humans including on walks for hundreds of years in London - and children love dogs- it is their parents who put fear in them. And how many cases of Toxocariasis have there been in Haringey in the last 5 years? Could this figure be made known before using it as an excuse to simply pass yet more restrictive measures on people in Haringey? Finally, if anything needs controlling on Parkland walk it is the cyclists who now use it as a racetrack following Haringey council having effectively made it a vehicular road. I have been run into 3 times in the last month by cyclists.
398	Parkland Walk	Finsbury Park	Yes	I think any blanket response - e.g. limit the number of walked dogs to six - would throw out the baby with the bathwater. We need dogs to be suitably controlled and not intimidating to others, however many or few there are, and most owners and walkers are able to control their dogs. I walk dogs most days and haven't found any problems recently with intimidation or dogs attacking mine. So to step in now with measures like this seems out of scale. Dog fouling is a problem though - and we regularly pick up others' mess along with our own dogs' - particularly on Parkland Walk. We as responsible owners also hate it! Fair enough to keep them off play pitches and playgrounds, though, because (sadly) people don't pick up and it's horrible to fall into dog mess when you're playing sport.
399	Parkland Walk	Finsbury Park	Yes	
400	Parkland Walk	Finsbury Park	Yes	As a dog owner I am supportive of the attempts to control difficult owners with dangerous, but the proposals are a step too close to overkill in order to deal with a very small minority of dog owners. I support making a failure to clean up an offence, but would not support the requirement that dogs on streets need to be on leads at all times. The case for this provision is not strong.
401	Parkland Walk	Finsbury Park	Yes	It already is an offence not to clean up after a dog. It already is an offence not to be in control of your animal. You just need to police the rules that are already in existence. It is useful to note that responsible dog owners already clean up after their animals, control them, and exercise them plentifully. It's easy to spot animals that are not looked after properly. I would also suggest that responsible owners take care to walk their animals early in the morning so as not to interfere with other park users. They do not need any more regulation.
402	Parkland Walk	Finsbury Park	Yes	I agree that dogs should be on leads on all public roads etc but NOT so in areas of half an hectare
403	Parkland Walk	Finsbury Park	Yes	
404	Parkland Walk	Highgate Woods	Yes	

405	Parkland Walk	Queens Wood	Yes	So much depends on the owner that one rule for everyone is very difficult to apply fairly. I'm concerned that the quality of life for me and others like me, with ordinary happy dogs will be compromised by rules intended to stop a few people. I wonder how Parkland Walk would be classified. I wouldn't like to see officials using the on-lead on request rule to prevent people exercising their dogs freely and carefully. Dogs on leads are sometimes more nervous and can therefore be more inclined to 'defend' themselves. Of course I want to see dangerous dogs - or aggressive owners, to be more exact, restricted. But not at the cost of the thousands of normal dogs and owners. More education, well informed, would be useful to owners and non owners alike. Many more bins for dog waste might encourage more people to use them. And I think although fouling is still an issue, it is already way better than even, say 10 years ago. I'm also slightly alarmed that I only just heard about this
406	Parkland Walk		. No	The council, not dogs, needs restraining.
407	Parkland Walk		. No	Who would police and enforce dog fouling - which is the worst problem?
408	Parkland Walk		. No	
409	Parkland Walk		. No	I'm concerned about proposals to put dogs on leads in Parkland Walk. This would put owners off using the Walk and people walking dogs in this area helps to keep Parkland Walk safe.
410	Parkland Walk		. No	Maximum number should be 4
411	Parkland Walk		. No	Dogs are intimidating when not kept on a lead. Even 'friendly' dogs can be overenthusiastic with people they don't know and can cause worry or harm. They should not be allowed to intimidate members of the public who use their local park facilities. More than once I have felt compelled to leave an area to get away from a highly-strung dog. Keeping them on leads would resolve this (in most cases).
412	Parkland Walk	Alexandra Palace /park	No	Generally dogs are not appropriate in an urban environment. A total ban, as in some European cities, would be the best solution, but probably not enforceable in London. Responsible ownership is therefore essential and a separation, as much as possible, from other users of open spaces. Perhaps a designated dog exercise area could be provided where space is available e.g. at the back of Alexandra Park.
413	Parkland Walk	Highgate Woods	No	more signs of streets, Finsbury Park needs lots more signs, to dog owners and the general public to pick up their Shit and use a bin or take it home. Humiliate them into action
414	Parkland Walk	Queens Wood	No	it's a shame dogs get such bad press, not all are bad
415	Priory Park		. Yes	Limit to 2 or 3. Regarding clear up of mess, what if the dog has diarrhoea? More dog bins are needed
416	Priory Park		. Yes	
417	Priory Park		. Yes	Government is without eloquence and reason - it is a force like fire; a fearful master and dangerous servant. I generally find the medicine is worse than the disease
418	Priory Park		. Yes	
419	Priory Park		. Yes	Cemeteries should not require dogs to be on lead. Don't follow Islington's example where residents are encouraged to snoop and use a hotline to inform on irresponsible dog owners. Dogs should not be allowed on public transport
420	Priory Park		. Yes	

421	Priory Park		. Yes	
422	Priory Park		. Yes	
423	Priory Park		. Yes	There could be more red dog waste bins in the parks and on the roads. I would like to see dog wardens patrolling and warning owners about dog fouling.
424	Priory Park		. Yes	
425	Priory Park		. Yes	Please do not penalise responsible dog owners. Take aggressive action to those that do not clear up after a dog
426	Priory Park		. Yes	
427	Priory Park	Highgate Woods	Yes	Please take into account the many responsible dog owners in the borough. We walk all through the year in all weathers and are eyes and ears for local problems. Priory Park suffers from not having enough park staff; it would be good to have a permanent park warden to stop vandalism, littering etc. The dogs are not a problem!
428	Priory Park	Highgate Woods	Yes	We are responsible dog owners who walk every day throughout the year. We are the eyes and ears in the local parks, aware of any anti-social behaviour. E.g., we have been sharing information about the attacks in the local parks. we wonder why there are no notices for people to be aware? Please do not discriminate against us in favour of fair weather yummy mummies etc
429	Priory Park	Highgate Woods	Yes	We dog walkers walk every day throughout the year, rain or shine. We are mostly responsible and aware of other park users. We are also the eyes for the local parks which aren't adequately resourced, e.g. vandalism, littering etc. Please do not discriminate against us
430	Priory Park		. No	
431	Priory Park		. No	Clear up mess!
432	Priory Park		. No	
433	Priory Park		. No	Limit number to 4
434	Priory Park		. No	Limit should be fewer than 6
435	Priory Park		. No	Council must enforce effectively otherwise the regulations serve no purpose. I've never seen any council or police officer enforcing any order in Priory Park.
436	Priory Park		. No	Limit numbers to 3
437	Priory Park		. No	Have designated area in Priory Park where dogs can be off lead - east side park by Middle Lane entrance. . Limiting the number that can be walked by one person should depend on type of dog.
438	Priory Park		. No	Lots of dog mess all over Rectory Gdns. I do argue with the owner but can't get them to take action. Please look into this
439	Priory Park		. No	Limit number to 4.
440	Priory Park		. No	Dangerous and status dogs to be muzzled in public places - including public transport
444	Priory Park		. No	Dog owners not been able to controlling dogs especially where children are playing. It is a problem when dog owners think it is acceptable for their dog to bite a child's football on multiple occasions, what is next the child?
445	Priory Park		. No	Dog walking should be limited to 2 per individual
446	Priory Park		. No	
447	Priory Park		. No	Regulated not enough if you do not enforce orders, at Priory Park, where dogs must not be on lead at all times they hardly are ever. Never saw any council/ Police officer enforcing the order
448	Priory Park		. No	
449	Priory Park		. No	
450	Priory Park		. No	I think dog should be registered with the council and number. There are far too many in Crouch end, sometimes crooners pickup pooh they put it in domestic dustbins

451	Priory Park	Alexandra Palace /park	No	The number of dogs walked by an individual should be further limited. There is no way one person can control two dogs.
452	Priory Park	Alexandra Palace /park	No	Nightingale Lane is constantly littered with dog's poo. The children of Campsbourne School periodically put up posters to urge dog owners to cleanup it is impossible to walk to school without stepping into something
453	Priory Park	Alexandra Palace /park	No	
454	Priory Park	Highgate Woods	No	Most people walking their dogs do not pick up after their dogs have fouled the pavement and Park. Some dog owners pick up their dogs poo and throw it by the side of the road. All dog owners to register their pets, an annual registration licence fee, and compulsory insurance cover
455	Priory Park	Highgate Woods	No	People with aggressive dogs are basically carrying a weapon. This needs to be recognised and treated accordingly. These dogs should not be in parks with our children.
456	Priory Park	Highgate Woods	No	Most dog owners and walkers don't bother to pick up their dogs mess.
457	Priory Park	Stationers	No	It should be an offence for dog supervisors to put poo in waste bins (as I've seen them do) as young children tend to find bins interesting
460	Queens Wood		. Yes	We should go overboard with H&S. We need to educate not enforce.
461	Queens Wood		. Yes	In this park, dogs should not have to be put on leads, as it is used by mostly by dog owners.
462	Queens Wood		. Yes	
463	Queens Wood		. Yes	There is a non secure garden open to dogs.
466	Queens Wood		. Yes	Consultation in parks on weekends & evening (DCO) affects owners who are responsible. Breeds off lead should be targeted and there should be on lead and off lead areas in parks. Who will police/enforce DCOs? Dogs off lead can upset dogs on lead. Restriction should be imposed at certain times and certain days (weekends).
467	Queens Wood	Highgate Woods	Yes	Dog chipping should be compulsory. It is the small majority of dog owners and their dogs who give the rest of us a bad name. On the whole people are reasonable.
468	Queens Wood	Highgate Woods	Yes	
469	Queens Wood	Highgate Woods	Yes	Before imposing any DCOs the council must be clear how exactly these new rules can be applied. The irresponsible aggressive minority of owners, whose dogs are not chipped., tagged or even on a lead will never comply with rules. They do not care and will walk away from any confrontation. As ever the law abiding minority and their dogs will suffer. We do not want to become like the U.S. where dogs are unable to run free.
470	Queens Wood		. No	
471	Queens Wood		. No	More guidance to cafe owners with outdoor space regarding animals.
472	Queens Wood		. No	in Queens woods-and other more natural spaces, free running dogs upset birds, other wildlife
473	Queens Wood		. No	Dog poo Alley (public path in between green). Dogs shouldn't be controlled and not allowed to jump up on people

474	Queens Wood	Highgate Woods	No	
475	Queens Wood	Highgate Woods	No	These changes are vital; one of our children is now scared of dogs almost paranoid because an out of control dog literally knocked him flying in Highgate Woods. Additionally it is like a minefield walking to and from the park/school/shops etc because of dog's muck that has not been picked up by the owners. We are trying to encourage walking but frankly taking the car guarantees that we will not end up with dog's muck on shoes etc. It is disgusting to have to clean off shoes and clothes when children either step or fall into it. We also struggle uncontrolled dogs coming onto our front garden and using it as a toilet. Enough is enough, dogs should be properly controlled and owners should be punished if they do not control their animal.
476	Queens Wood	Parkland Walk	No	Problems a particular dogs\people not always limited to off-road, can be often road near grass verge. May often be smaller sized dogs, her sustained street not in green space.
477	Russell Park		. Yes	I think that your questionnaire has been very poorly designed. I work in research and have to say that this is not an appropriate way of collecting data. You have merged too many items into a 'closed question'. I think a lot of local residents with dogs are disappointed by the way that the council has been seen to deal with this issue. We use Russell Park - and are keen to be able to continue to walk our dog there. I think that the park is very poorly designed at the moment. You need to create an area where it is ok for dogs to go. Frankly, a lot of the litter in the park is created by people not picking up food litter / drinks cans. I don't think dogs are really responsible for this are they!? I agree with the idea of enforcing people to clear up after their dogs. You need to put more bins in between Moselle avenue and the park. There are no bins. Thanks
478	Russell Park		. Yes	I am completely opposed to outright bans of dogs in any park, especially Russell Park as there's no viable alternative nearby. I believe the majority of local residents do not want a total ban of dogs in Russell Park. I was never approached by the council about a ban of dogs and have not met any residents who expressed such desire as was claimed in your proposal document. I would like to know how many people really did ask for such a ban to be introduced. Also at 4.5 acres, Russell Park falls outside the proposed rule, which the council would like to introduce. Dog owners are the most regular users of public parks and open spaces and therefore our opinions and requirements should be considered by the council in those proportions. Any decisions made by the council with regards to DCOs need to reflect, that we use the parks more than any other member of the public as we are there at least twice a day every day.

479				I moved to the area 18-months ago. One of the reasons I chose to buy a house on Moselle Avenue was because it would give me access to Russell Park to exercise my dog. My dog is a large breed (Labrador) and needs a lot of exercise. I need to be able to let her off her lead in order for her to burn off her excess energy. I'm very concerned to hear about the proposal to exclude dogs from Russell Park. There is a friendly community of responsible dog owners. I visit the park at least once per day and it is mostly other dog walkers that are in there. There is already a fenced off children's play area and a separate picnic area, where dogs are not allowed. There are no other parks within easy walking distance and I would be very upset if this proposal went through. I have not had any problems with irresponsible dog owners. However, I would suggest that these individuals are the least likely to respect a ban.
480				Any controls that are applied will be useless unless they can be rigorously enforced. At present there appear to be no enforcement powers and most of the suggestions are in fact already in place. Areas locally where dogs can be exercised are essential. Estates such as mine will become a dog walkers problem without areas where dogs can roam
481				
482				
				Most people are responsible dog owners and obviously saying that dogs can't exercise in their local park (outside of play areas) is a disgrace. A dog can become very unhealthy without proper exercise. If you want to catch people who aren't responsible then make sure fines are issued for dog fouling (I always pick up) but don't discriminate against good dogs and their owners. I have a retriever and a Newfoundland, both breeds are known for their friendliness towards humans and other animals. I appreciate that dog fighting is an issue, but by alienating good dogs and their owners you will not solve the issue of dog fighting. This can only be solved by tackling dog fighters themselves. Stop all dogs going into the park and those bad/fighting dogs will still go in with their owners. Don't discriminate please against the majority of dog owners. The park is frequented by mainly lovely people and their dogs.
483				Restrict the area of dog walkers. Children and teenagers use dogs to bully people and for dog fighting. Age should be limited 25 +with an instant fine and dogs taken into. Major problem is that wardens do not approach big dog owners they are scared, the only approach old ladies with small Jake Russell. Wardens should be able to rule fairly.
484				Currently young men with 'status' dogs don't clear up poo. They also exercise their dogs in the areas designed for children. As they disregard all signs, the introduction of more restrictions will only penalise responsible dog walkers - it makes no sense to have more rules for people who disregard rules!
485				Teenage boys taking dogs to park purely for fighting. Police/Wardens should have authority to instantly fine or even take dog away from owners
486				
487				Homes for Haringey should enforce the dogs must be kept on leads on estates clause of their tenancy agreement.

488	Russell Park	Noel Park	No	Current steps that Haringey Council has taken are not having much affect. Signs put up on lamp posts informing of fines being issued for dog fouling have been cut with knives. The dog free area in Russell Park often has dogs and their owners in it. We need strong control of this "I shall do what I like" mentality. It deeply concerns me that family's are too scared to use Russell Park because of the anti social activity. Can this please be resolved to improve the quality of our lives.
489	Stationers		. Yes	Stationers Park is confusing as dogs are allowed off lead near Sport Courts.
490	Stationers		. Yes	Types of dog are the issue and behaviour. Small dogs in small park. Types of dogs should be forced to be muzzled
491	Stationers	Alexandra Palace /park	Yes	Concerned that and the DCOs would affect responsible dog owners in a negative way
492	Stationers	Queens Wood	Yes	
493	Stationers		. No	People to be encourage to report "dog training" where clearly the dogs are being trained to be aggressive. To do something about it.
498	Stationers		. No	Dog Control Orders are a good idea, but there needs to be good enforcement. There also needs to be better public education about the risks that dog fouling poses, especially to young children. Posters should mention toxocariasis and state that this can cause blindness and major organ damage in severe cases. There also need to be more dog mess bins, especially in Weston Park, where dog fouling is a real problem.
499	Stationers		. No	Having DCOS in parks which are designated off lead. This works well in Toronto and Chicago
500	Stationers		. No	Doesn't work with two separate areas, one for dogs on lead one without. Threatening breeds of dogs are and issues. Ban dogs from this park times 8.45 - 9.30 second 3.15-5.00
501	Stationers		. No	Better cleaning of pavements/Park where there is dog mess
502	Stationers		. No	
503	Stationers		. No	
504	Stationers		. No	Unless orders are enforced they are useless. Signs should be clear. Exclusion zones should be highlighted on the ground. Please make sure someone will enforce DCOS
505	Stationers		. No	Dangerous dogs should not be allowed anywhere
506	Stationers		. No	Stationers Park contains an entrance to Weston Park primary School. The infants enter and leave school through the park. It is not right people exercising dogs while small children are flooding into the area. Dogs are currently not allowed off lead or at all in some areas.
507	Stationers		. No	There is a primary school adjacent to stationers Park. I think large dogs should not be walked in the park (certainly not without a lead) in the 15 minutes before or after the start and end of school.
508	Stationers		. No	

509	Stationers		No	Thanks you for the opportunity to add additional comments. I have 'disagreed' to Q10 as I think up to 6 dogs in Stationers Park would be completely out of the question. In this park 2 would be maximum although my preference would be none at all in any of the areas of this park. My feelings about dogs in Stationers Park have been informed by my recent experience and I feel that in such a small park dogs should not be allowed at all. As you will know the lower area instructs for dogs on leads. St. Park (upper area) states 'no dogs allowed'. On 28th June I took my two grandchildren aged 2 and 4 to the park around lunchtime. This will be the last time I will take them there as I do not feel it is safe for young children. The local dog owners - and I spoke to a number of them - within the space of about 30 minutes - in the lower (dogs on lead area) - were completely unwilling to accept that their dogs should be on a lead. These were apparently
510	Stationers	Finsbury Park	No	
511	Stationers	Priory Park	No	I am no longer able to use local parks due to irresponsible dog owners allowing them to run amok. This is a particular issue in Stationers Park which is too small to allow dogs off the lead. In my area dog fouling has increased a great deal over the last 2 years or so, I believe this is due to the increase in ownership of small yappy dogs by people who do not understand the dogs needs and leave them home alone [and barking full-time] all day, then do not bother to clean up after them as they just take them for a minimal walk after dark. I do not think it is acceptable to have dogs off leads anywhere in public, except designated [and I hope well-fenced] areas
512	Stationers	Priory Park	No	Proper policing and consistency in sanctions are essential if dog owners are to take these measures seriously.
513	River Lea towpath		No	I would be concerned if dogs had to be kept on leads along the river path. It's the owners who are the problems, not the dogs and surely work needs to be done to educate them or ban them from keeping animals where necessary?
514	Wood Green common		No	Bring back dog licences to take away the criminals from Queens when they fail to look after the dog and pick up the poo.
515	Wood Green common		No	I think that persistent 'dog fouling' owners ought to have their animal removed. I think dog licenses should be bought back and enforced rigidly. Every time I step out of my home, I have to be on constant alert to ensure that my children don't step in dog mess, which means we also run the gauntlet of cyclists on pavements, as we're so busy looking down all the time.
516	Waterlow Park		No	
517	Tower Gardens		No	There are cases of dogs chewing tree bark on the encouragement of their owner this should be a very serious offence as the trees often die.
518	Tower Gardens	Finsbury Park	No	
519	Woodside		Yes	Groups of people usually drinking in the park with a loose dog that they are not supervising or don't care if the dog runs off on its own. This happened to me in Woodside park when 2 loose dogs attacked my dog. The owners only appeared after they heard me screaming and shouting as the dogs savaged my elderly dog.

520	Woodside		. Yes	Groups of people drinking in the park with dogs not supervised or don't care if the dog runs off on its own. This happened to me in Woodside park when 2 loose dogs attacked my dog. The owners only appeared after they heard me screaming and shouting as the dogs savaged my elderly dog.
521	Woodside		. Yes	Dogs are walked throughout the year and only come into contact with non dog owners for a couple of months in the year. We generally tend to police ourselves.
522	Woodside		. Yes	
523	Woodside		. Yes	
524	Woodside		. Yes	There are a group of dog owners in Woodside Park. We know our own dogs and they are safe. If people ask us to put our dogs on leads we do, our dogs are well trained and safe.
525	Woodside	Finsbury Gdns	Yes	What about a People Control Order? Woodside is full of litter (estate agents boards, a bed, even a vacuum cleaner along with broken glass etc which is dangerous). Likewise Finsbury Gdns where the 'Friends' want dogs excluded. What about those who can't walk very far and elders who like to sit in the gardens with their dogs?
529	Woodside	Albert Road Rec	No	I imagine that it is highly unlikely that many people will be caught failing to clear up after their dogs. Clearly for the order to be of any deterrent effect whatsoever there must at the very least be comprehensive public signage indicating that the restriction is in place. Perhaps you might consider mounting cctv cameras in high risk areas
530	Woodside	Cemetery / playing fields	No	Six dogs is far too many - two is as many as one person can handle in a difficult situation (e.g. when faced with an aggressive dog). My mother is a dog breeder, so I know about these things. If anyone claims that they could handle six, or four, or even three dogs, and ensure public safety while doing so, is either misguided, or being disingenuous. Residents should not be allowed to leave their dogs in the garden all day, where they bark and howl for attention to the annoyance of other residents. A neighbour in my road is guilty of this, having acquired two enormous and uncontrollable foreign hunting dogs, with the most savage temperaments that I have ever seen. The elderly mother is supposed to take care of these dogs day and night while her adult daughters, the real owners, prance off without a care in the world. The old lady has had cancer and is obviously quite unable to cope with the dogs, shoving these hell hounds out of the house and into the back garden in desperation e
531	Durnsford		. Yes	Not cleaning up after your dog is already an offence but there is nobody around to enforce it
534	Durnsford		. No	You need to stop people running aggressive dogs. They are status dogs and are bought to scare people. They succeeded - they scare me and my kids.

535				I think your questions are all far too extreme. At one time there used to be a section of Priory Park (which I no longer use) which was forbidden to dogs, but they could use the rest. This seems reasonable. Also you don't mention muzzles, which would make those of us who fear dogs feel a lot better. There need to be some places for those people who have dogs which are excessively large for urban areas, and therefore need huge spaces, to run around on their own; and there need to be ways of stopping people putting dogs out of their car to have a poo (which happens at the bottom end of Alexandra Park). I think most of the dog owners round here have a garden, which is where the animal should a) run around, and b) do its business; I am sure they can be trained to do so, if the owners can be trained in the notion that not everybody wants their animal and its traces around the place.
538	Other local space		. Yes	There are very responsible dog owners who don't have vicious dogs and your questionnaire isn't a very good one, 1) dog owners who warn you not to let your dog near, so why are these dogs allowed off lead??? 2) dog owners who find it funny when they let their dog attack yours..
539	Other local space		. Yes	Dogs need to be exercised to stay fit and healthy so it's unfair to make all areas lead only. In my experience most dog owners in the Grove Park, responsible and have control over their dogs when of the lead. The dog community is very friendly and strong here and it would be wrong to penalised majority.
540	Other local space		. Yes	I will be submitting additional comments on the proposed control orders. I have found it very difficult to determine exactly what control orders apply to particular areas as the map system on your website isn't a very efficient method of conveying the proposed areas.
541	Other local space	River Lea towpath	Yes	
542	Other local space	River Lea towpath	Yes	I have said I disagree with an official being able to instruct an owner to put a dog on a lead. In fact, when it is reasonable to ask, then I do not have a problem with it. I have only said I disagree because I fear it will not be fairly applied e.g. breed discrimination.
543	Other local space		. No	There are lots of dog incidents around the borough, how many can we count, a lots of difference less children as well as adults are always attacked by these so-called mad dogs, read most of them from the newspapers. And I believe that now is the high time for authority to do something, before someone is killed by dog
544	Other local space		. No	I feel should be chipped and dog owner should have a licence, I feel all pets should be neutered unless officially used for breeding. I feel council tenants and pets, should only be allowed neutered, chipped and licensed dogs.
545	Other local space		. No	Please can dog owners keep their dogs close to them? Thank you
546	Other local space		. No	I think your proposals are balanced and thoughtful
547	Other local space		. No	Too many big dogs in the area and they are free to attack smaller dogs whilst the owners have fun, Digs should be registered and electronically tagged. Dogs without electronic tags should be sent to dog home(s) for better care. There must be an age limit for people who have dangerous dogs.

548	Other local space		No	One man - one dog; not 6. Dogs need to be exercised so maybe there could be a time for this - e.g. up to 10:00 am after which time the pets should be back at home. Dog owners need to be made to understand that dogs are not human and should not be treated as though they are.
551	Other local space		No	The only problem are aggressive dogs number of dogs allowed to be kept in council flats limit to one including any visiting drop staying overnight. If dogs are not allowed in public spaces then dog spaces where they could run free need to be created
552	Other local space	Alexandra Palace /park	No	it should be an offence if dogs are not vaccinated against rabies.
553	Other local space	Finsbury Gdns	No	There can be hideous amounts of dog poo in the street, often near Bowes park Station. I've had to clear up dog poo in the road outside my home at least twice.
554	Bowes Park	Finsbury Gdns	No	I live in Bowes Park N22. In recent years there has been an increase in no of dogs in the area and increase in amount of dog mess on pavements. Would be great if you could tackle this. I used to help run the community garden on Myddleton Road and we have a no dogs policy there (with Haringey council's backing). We found if we let dogs in there was a problem with fouling and trees being damaged (one guy let his dog strengthen its jaws and claws on the yew tree and almost killed it!). I understand there is a similar problem with dog fouling in Finsbury Gardens. I personally feel there should be a "no dogs" policy there as well. It's a small space used by lots of young children (like Myddleton road garden) who can be scared by dogs and also affected by the dog mess. It would be fine to let dogs in if all owners were responsible re clearing up mess and keeping dogs on leads, but unfortunately this isn't the case. I think there are plenty of other spaces where dogs can
555	Other local space	Highgate Woods	No	Access to dog\owner training should be more widespread. Most dog owners that need DSO they behave responsible. The few who consistently behaved in an antisocial way. - enforce on lead but not banned
559	Other local space	Cemetery / playing fields	No	dog fouling on front gardens almost every day
560	Springfield		Yes	Dogs should be on lead in public places where people meet like Markfield Park cafe Springfield cafe and I wouldn't mind for half park ok or dogs on lead and half can run and no dogs in playground and pitches
561	Springfield		No	
562	Springfield		No	Our children who are not used to dogs might be afraid. Some owners think it's their job to train these children so they especially extend the lead, go near etc. It is not a crime to dislike dogs
563	Springfield		No	Dog owners should be aware that as much as they like and enjoy their dogs, others may not like dogs at all. And if someone doesn't like a dog or is afraid they should understand it and not say: she's very friendly etc. Friendly or not, there are MANY people who dislike dogs and they need to know that.
564	Springfield	Markfield	No	
565	Springfield	Markfield	No	Dog owners must understand that some people are allergic to dogs or have a real fear. Many people adults don't go to parks on walk by the river or marshes for fear of dogs. Six dogs to one owner is outrageous. Too many people have been attacked. One adult cannot control more than two or three dogs. In any case dogs should always be on a lead, adults pay tax dogs don't. Why should they rule?

570	Durnsford	Broomfield	No	Distinction need to be made between dogs and aggressive status dogs where possible as these are the real threat.
571	Cemetery / playing fields		. Yes	For 2 years now 2 women allow their 2 dogs off the leads to Tottenham Cemetery about 1 to 2.30 everyday. Many people have complained to police and cemetery staff and they both refuse to keep their dogs on a lead and under control, one women insist she will not keep her dog on a lead, these dogs have pinned myself up a tree, attacks other dogs and people she insist she wont use a lead she leaves her child in a buggy to argue regarding each incident.
572	Cemetery / playing fields		. Yes	I think that dogs should be kept on a lead ALL the time or if the owner can control them then they should be close by at all times ready to be put back on a lead if another dog comes into contact. I have had several bad experiences with owners that just let their dogs of and let them roam too far for them to get them back. On three occasions in the last 2 months this has happened to me and my dog and has been involved in fights because the other owners have not had their dog on a lead and couldn't control them and get them back until right up close to get the lead back on the dog. This has been very upsetting for me and I'm fed up with it now as I'm always very weary if dogs come towards me and are not on a lead. I would definitely welcome it to become compulsory to have dogs on leads at ALL times unless the owner can totally control them to keep away from other dogs. Only today another women was not in control and her dog was off its lead, she clearly had no control and I was struggling t
573	Cemetery / playing fields		. Yes	Guide dogs should be exempt.
574	Cemetery / playing fields	Coldfall Woods	Yes	I am a regular walker at Muswell Hill Playing Fields; it would be madness if dogs had to be kept on a lead in this area as most of the time it is mainly used by dog walkers and is only used for sport at weekends. When I walk my dog at weekends I keep him on a lead if sport is being played, I am a responsible dog owner and can make a good judgement as to when I should keep my dog on a lead. Most of the time Muswell Hill playing fields are used by responsible dog owners who enjoy their dogs having the freedom to run and play with other dogs off lead. My dog is a whippet and needs to run off lead to keep him happy, healthy and it would be terrible if I could not let him off lead to have a run. I do think all dogs should be kept on a lead when walking on pavements and in public places and I do believe that all dog owners should be responsible for cleaning up after their dogs and that they should be fined for not doing so.
575	Cemetery / playing fields		. No	
576	Cemetery / playing fields		. No	Why has it taken so long to arrive. I work in Islington and we've had dog controls in place for years now... we also have a Dog Warden (Joe Clark) - why not make links with him to put a stop to aggressive dog behaviour and irresponsible dog owners?
577	Cemetery / playing fields		. No	needs to go into how enforce the DCO may be a DCO officer
578	Not stated		. Yes	
579	Not stated		. Yes	
580	Not stated		. Yes	Several dog owner I know, including myself, have been attacked by large dogs off lead in graveyards (Tottenham).There was no collar either when I phone the police, nothing done and there was only one dog warden on duty.

581	Not stated	. Yes	Dog on Dog attacks should be a serious offence, my dog has been attacked by a dog and the owner was not bothered, these type of dogs will go on to attack people. There should be a more visible presence of park wardens to enforce control on dog fouling etc. Dogs should be allowed to use designated areas for running off lead, Coldfall Wood good example. All dogs should be micro-chipped, on the lead once out in public and should never be allowed in children's play areas. Dog fouling on pavements needs to be stopped as quickly as possible.
582	Not stated	. Yes	
583	Not stated	. Yes	A family keep 2 Staffs bulldogs in the rear garden of council house. Dogs broke down 2 fences of council properties. The council repaired the fences but took no action against the tenants. Who pays?
587	Not stated	. Yes	it should be made compulsory that young children under 16 should not be allowed to have a dog in public. Dogs are often used to intimidate people and there are more likely to be able to control the dog in public
595	Not stated	. No	
596	Not stated	. No	I was in a local park with my children and lady was sitting on a bench while her dog was running. Her dog pushes my toddler down by pulling at her ball. My toddler screamed while the dog crowded all over her, then snatched and burst her ball. the owner totally ignored. And she did see what was going on
597	Not stated	. No	Dogs mess in Gloucester road N17, other areas also streets
598	Not stated	. No	Around my area every where dogs mess. people don't clean up after their dogs. this is disgusting. When I take my children to the parks we can not sit on the grass or do picnic. Also we can see the dog mess on the pavements around this area. This is so irritating. my children are scared of dogs because there is a dog on the same floor with us and his owner and dog behaved very badly when we came off from the lift. That is why my daughter has phobia for dogs. She runs away when she sees the dogs. I believe that dogs shouldn't be allowed in the flats.
604	Not stated	. No	Reintroduce dog permits?
605	Not stated	. No	There's too much excrement in Myddleton road.
606	Not stated	. No	Dogs should be muzzled on buses. Dogs should have psychological evaluations to see if they're safe from attacking people.
607	Not stated	. No	
608	Not stated	. No	Generally good about picking up dog letter. On the streets they do not
609	Not stated	. No	Dog fouling on pavement.
610	Not stated	. No	
611	Not stated	. No	Some London boroughs have designated areas within parks as the only places dogs are permitted to be off lead. Parks are for people. Too many dog owners are irresponsible and many dogs are large and aggressive. People are scared to go into the parks. These are too precious resource to be dominated by dogs and dog owners.
612	Not stated	. No	
613	Not stated	. No	Dogs should be kept on lead in public areas and children playgrounds. Make it an offence if they fail to clean up after their dog.

617					As agreed all the above I have selected because I've got concerns for myself and local residents using the public highways and parks. Fear and anxiety arises when you see very strong, bold dogs (e.g. pit bulls). They are on strong leads upon entering but the leads are then taken off. This means there is no chance of being safe and must avoid parks and highways to avoid confrontation.		
	Not stated	.	No				
618	Not stated	.	No		Dog mess in Gloucester Rd N17 next to Chester Rd		
619	Not stated	.	No				
620	Not stated	.	No		Dogs barking endlessly in the day and dog fouling near schools		
621	Not stated	.	No				
622	Not stated	.	No		I think that acutely dangerous dogs should be muzzled		
623	Not stated	.	No				
Total	N			623	193	623	623