

Dear Sir or Madam,

As a resident who will be affected by the proposed waste plant to be built at Pinkham Way. I have lived in Brownlow Road for the past 8 years and have witnessed the area slowly redeveloping over the years, especially with regard to the North Circular. Amongst my concerns about the proposed waste plant, I am deeply concerned at the preservation of green spaces in the area, as we are surrounded by the North Circular, the traffic on which will only increase if the waste plant goes ahead, and since in the past month alone I have experienced trees being chopped down and gardens being built over in my local area.

I support the modification to SP8 made by the Inspector. However, it would be helpful if your report reflected the evidence given at the hearing by the Council, that the Pinkham Way site is not an established industrial site. I believe a statement to this effect would remove ambiguity as to the status of this Employment Land site.

I would welcome a statement in your report that the site is open space and that it is not brownfield/previously developed land because it is excluded from this definition under the London Plan and the NPPF definitions of previously developed land. Evidence was produced to support that at the inquiry which was not disputed by the Council.

I consider that the protection of the SINC status of the Pinkham Way site has been weakened. In the UDP it stated that development would be allowed on the site **provided there was no impact on the nature conservation value of the site.** This direct proviso has been delinked in the new strategy and reworded.

I would like to see unambiguous protection of SINCS within the Biodiversity Policy (rather than in the narrative to this policy). For example, in the policy box, after the statement "All development shall protect and improve sites of biodiversity and nature conservation etc, add a fourth bullet point to the effect:

- "The Council will not permit development on SINCS and LNRs unless there are exceptional circumstances and where the importance of any development coming forward outweighs the nature conservation value of the site."

Yours faithfully,

Tim Parkinson