

From: mercedes rosello
Sent: 24 February 2012 17:08
To: McGuirk Clodagh
Subject: Re: Haringey's Core Strategy. Consultation upon the updates to the Employment Land Study 2012

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red
Dear Haringey Core Strategy

I have comments to point 7.4 regarding the Pinkham Way site.

1- Firstly the Council should provide evidence of the alleged contamination of the Pinkham Way site.

2- Secondly, no mention is made of the fact that the proposed redesignation will have a negative impact on the site's current SINC status. The elimination of a site of ecological importance goes against Haringey's and the Government's own planning guidelines and undermines the whole purpose of ecological designations.

3- Thirdly, the proposed change of designation to the land is being put forward in order to build a waste processing plant, which will be there long term and will in fact do little to improve borough employment or market certainty. If anything it will have a negative impact to employment potential of surrounding land due to increased traffic and odour.

4- Lastly, the description of the site as unoccupied, unused, fenced and having suffered fly tipping is in fact irrelevant since it is circumstantial. The council should look for positive examples where ecological sites like this one have been opened to sustainable public use whilst respecting their environmental importance. This is particularly important since the air in the area where the site is located is highly polluted due to the already heavy traffic from the adjacent North Circular.

Regards
M. Rosello
Haringey