From: Andrew Sutherland
Sent: 24 February 2012 19:16
To: LDF
Subject: Comments on Haringey Employment Land Update
To: Planning Team
Re: Atkins report "Haringey Employment Land Update, February 2012"

I would like to object to the content of some of the document referenced above, especially with regard to the re-designation of both DEA2 (Bounds Green Industrial Estate) and DEA6 (Friern Barnet Sewage Works) as LSISs.

The document states that "Boroughs are asked to make explicit what types of uses are accepted in LSIS and distinguish these from broader based Defined Employment Areas (DEA)."

The document then states that various B-class activities are anticipated to be allowed, specifically B1,2 and 8.

I specifically fail to see any logical support for B2 uses in the document, nor do I see any explicit list of what types of uses will be allowed.   In the meeting on 22nd February, I heard it mentioned by the planning department, for instance, that "no 24 hour use is anticipated" for DEA6.   This is not specified in the document.

Furthermore there is no evidence, other than the author's contention, that B2 use would be appropriate in DEA6.

Furthermore, the document states that the LSIS designation for DEA2 is to safeguard its status.   However, the re-designation will effectively allow B2 uses.   I contend that the uses of the site are currently only B1 (light industrial, which do not affect the surrounding community) or B8.   There are no B2 uses, and this is in effect a major change. This change would need further hearings.

In short, the document is well researched with regard to the needs of the community in terms of jobs and development, but is very light-weight in terms of community impact.

I would suggest explicitly removing B2 uses from both new LSIS designations. There is no overwhelming need for B2 uses (especially given the development plan's anticipated decline in manufacturing) and the removal of B2 would allay the fears and suspicions of the community.

Kind regards,

Andrew Sutherland